arXiv:1701.02268v2 [math.QA] 10 Jan 2017

TWIST AUTOMORPHISMS ON QUANTUM UNIPOTENT CELLS AND DUAL CANONICAL BASES

YOSHIYUKI KIMURA AND HIRONORI OYA

Abstract. In this paper, we construct twist automorphisms on quantum unipotent cells, which are quantum analogues of the Berenstein-Fomin-Zelevinsky twist automorphisms on unipotent cells. We show that those quantum twist automorphisms preserve the dual canonical bases of quantum unipotent cells.

We also prove that quantum twist automorphisms admit the quantum analogues of Geiß-Leclerc-Schröer's description of (non-quantum) twist automorphisms. Namely, they are described by the syzygy functors for representations of preprojective algebras. Geiß-Leclerc-Schröer's results are essentially used in our proof. In particular, we show that quantum twist automorphisms are compatible with quantum cluster monomials. The 6-periodicity of specific quantum twist automorphisms is also discussed.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Preliminaries 5
3. Quantum unipotent cell and the De Concini-Procesi isomorphism 23
4. Quantum twist isomorphisms 29
5. Twist automorphisms on quantum unipotent cells 34
6. Quantum twist automorphism and quantum cluster algebras 36
7. Finite type : periodicity 48
References 50

1. Introduction

1.1. **Canonical bases and cluster algebras.** For simplicity, let G be a connected, simply-connected, complex simple algebraic group with a fixed maximal torus H, a pair of Borel subgroups $B_\pm$ with $B_+ \cap B_- = H$, a Weyl group $W = \mathrm{Norm}_G(H)/H$ and the maximal unipotent subgroups $N_\pm \subset B_\pm$. Let $\mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ be the Drinfeld-Jimbo quantized enveloping algebra of the corresponding Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, and $\mathbf{U}_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ be its negative part which arises from the triangular decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$. In [Lus90], Lusztig constructed the canonical bases $\mathbf{B}$ of $\mathbf{U}_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ using perverse sheaves on the varieties of quiver representations when

The work of the first author is supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (S) 24224001. The work of the second author is supported by Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows (No. 15J09231) and the Program for Leading Graduate Schools, MEXT, Japan.

$\mathfrak{g}$ is simply-laced. In [Kas91], Kashiwara constructed the lower global bases $G^{\mathrm{low}}(\mathscr{B}(\infty))$ of $\mathbf{U}_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ in general. Lusztig proved that the two bases of $\mathbf{U}_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ coincide. In this paper, we call the bases the *canonical base*s. The canonical bases have interesting structures; one is positivity of structure constants of multiplications and (twisted) comultiplication, and another is the combinatorial structure which is called Kashiwara crystal structure. Using the positivity of the canonical bases, Lusztig generalized the notion of the total positivity for reductive groups and related algebraic varieties.

Since $\mathbf{U}_q^-$ has a natural non-degenerate Hopf pairing which makes it into a (twisted) self-dual bialgebra, we can consider $\mathbf{U}_q^-$ as a quantum analogue of the coordinate rings $\mathbb{C}[N_-]$. The combinatorial structure of $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{low}}$ and its dual basis $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{up}}$ (with respect to the non-degenerate Hopf pairing), called the *dual canonical bases*, has intensively studied by Lusztig and Berenstein-Zelevinsky (in the type A-case) and it became one of the origin of cluster algebras introduced by Fomin-Zelevinsky.

1.2. **Quantum unipotent subgroups and dual canonical bases.** For a Weyl group element $w \in W$ (and a lift $\dot{w} \in \mathrm{Norm}_G(H)$), the unipotent root subgroups $N_-(w) := N_- \cap \dot{w} N \dot{w}^{-1}$ or Schubert cells $B_+ \dot{w} B_+ / B_+$ in the full flag varieties G/B_+ has attracted much attention in the development of the theory of total positivity for reductive groups. Geiß-Leclerc-Schröer [GLS11] introduced a cluster algebra structure on $\mathbb{C}[N_-(w)]$ using representation theory of preprojective algebras, called an additive categorification, and they proved that the dual semicanonical bases $\mathcal{S}^*$ is compatible with $\mathbb{C}[N_-(w)]$, that is $\mathcal{S}^* \cap \mathbb{C}[N_-(w)]$ gives a basis of $\mathbb{C}[N_-(w)]$ and the set of cluster monomials, which are monomials of cluster variables in a single cluster, is contained in the dual semicanonical bases $\mathcal{S}^*$. Here we note we identify the coordinate rings $\mathbb{C}[N_-(w)]$ of the unipotent subgroups $N_-(w)$ as invariant subalgebras $\mathbb{C}[N_-]^{N_- \cap \dot{w} N_- \dot{w}^{-1}}$ fixing a splitting $N_- \simeq (N_- \cap \dot{w} N_- \dot{w}^{-1}) \times N_-(w)$ as varieties.

For a nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_-(w)$ associated with the subgroup $N_-(w)$, a quantum analogue $\mathbf{U}_q^-(w)$ of the universal enveloping algebras $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{n}_-(w))$ are introduced by De Concini-Kac-Procesi [DKP95] and also by Lusztig [Lus10] as subalgebras of the quantized enveloping algebras $\mathbf{U}_q^-$ which are generated by quantum root vectors defined by Lusztig's braid group symmetry on the quantized enveloping algebras $\mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and they are the linear spans of their Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt type orthogonal monomials with respect to the non-degenerate pairing on $\mathbf{U}_q^-$. In [Kim12], the first author proved that the subalgebras $\mathbf{U}_q^-(w)$ are compatible with the dual canonical bases, that is $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{up}} \cap \mathbf{U}_q^-(w)$ is a base of $\mathbf{U}_q^-(w)$ and the specialization of $\mathbf{U}_q^-(w)$ (using the dual canonical basis) at $q = 1$ is isomorphic to the coordinate rings $\mathbb{C}[N_-(w)]$, hence $\mathbf{U}_q^-(w)$ are also considered as a quantum analogue of the coordinate rings $\mathbb{C}[N_-(w)]$ of the unipotent subgroups.

Geiß-Leclerc-Schröer [GLS13] proved that $\mathbf{U}_q^-(w)$ admits a quantum cluster algebra structure in the sense of Berenstein-Zelevinsky if $\mathfrak{g}$ is symmetric via the additive categorification and Goodearl-Yakimov [GY16b, GY14] proved using the frame work of quantum nilpotent algebras. Kang-Kashiwara-Kim-Oh [KKKO14, KKKO15] showed that the set of

quantum cluster monomials is contained in the dual canonical bases via symmetric quiver Hecke algebras if $\mathfrak{g}$ is symmetric.

1.3. **Unipotent cells and cluster structure.** For a pair (w_+, w_-) of Weyl group elements, the intersections $G^{w_+,w_-} := B_+\dot{w}_+B_+ \cap B_-\dot{w}_-B_-$ are called double Bruhat cells and the maximal torus H acts G^{w_+,w_-} by left (or right) multiplication. For certain lift $\overline{w}_- \in G$ of $w_- \in W$, the intersection $B_+\dot{w}_+B_+ \cap N_-\overline{w}_-N_-$ is a section of the quotient $G^{w_+,w_-} \to H \setminus G^{w_+,w_-}$. The unipotent cells $N_- \cap B_+\dot{w}_+B_+$ are special cases of reduced double Bruhat cells with w_- is the unit. The (upper) cluster structure of the double Bruhat cells and unipotent cells are studied in details, see Berenstein-Fomin-Zelevinsky [BFZ05] (see also Geiß-Leclerc-Schröer [GLS11] and Williams [Wil13]). In fact, in [GLS11], it is shown that the coordinate ring of the unipotent subgroup has a cluster algebra structure with unlocalized frozen variables, and the coordinate ring of the unipotent cell has a cluster algebra structure with fully localized frozen variables.

For a Weyl group element $w \in W$, Berenstein-Fomin-Zelevinsky [BFZ96] (in the type A-case) and Berenstein-Zelevinsky [BZ97] (in general) introduced twist automorphisms which are automorphisms on unipotent cells $N_- \cap B_+\dot{w}B_+$ for solving the factorization problems, called the Chamber Ansatz, which describe the inverse of the "toric chart" of the Schubert varieties.

In [GLS11, GLS12], Geiß-Leclerc-Schröer studied the additive categorification of the twist automorphism using representation theory of preprojective algebras, in fact, it is given by the syzygy on the Frobenius subcategory associated with w. In fact, they treated the coordinate ring of the unipotent cells as the localization of the coordinate rings unipotent subgroups with respect to the (unipotent) minors associated with Weyl group elements, using the "multiplicative property" of dual semicanonical bases, they introduced the "dual semicanonical bases" of the coordinate ring of the unipotent cells.

In this paper, we study the construction of the quantum analogue of the twist automorphisms on the quantum unipotent cells, which is the "quantized coordinate rings of the unipotent cells" and its relation to the additive categorification.

1.4. **Quantum unipotent cells.** Quantum coordinate rings of double Bruhat cells, called quantum double Bruhat cells, are introduced by De Concini-Procesi [DP97] in the study of representation theory of quantum groups at root of unity and also intensively studied by Joseph [Jos95] in the study of prime spectra of quantized coordinate ring of G. Berenstein-Zelevinsky [BZ05] conjectured that quantum double Bruhat cells admit a structure of quantum cluster algebras via quantum minors. Goodearl-Yakimov [GY16a] proved the conjecture using a quantum analogue of the Fomin-Zelevinsky twist of the double Bruhat cells.

In [DP97], De Concini-Procesi studied the relation between the quantum unipotent subgroups and the quantum unipotent cells in finite type case. In [Kim12], the injectivity result of De Concini-Procesi is generalized via the study of crystal bases.

Berenstein-Rupel [BR15] studied the quantum unipotent cells via the Hall algebra technique and they constructed quantum analogue of the twist maps under the conjecture concerning the quantum cluster algebra structure and they showed that the quantum twist

automorphisms preserve the triangular bases (in the sense of Berenstein-Zelevinsky [BZ14]) of the quantum unipotent cells when the Weyl group element w is the square of the acyclic Coxeter elements c_Q with $\ell(w) = 2\ell(c_Q)$, where Q is an acyclic quiver on the Dynkin diagram associated with G. We note that Qin [Qin16] proved that the triangular bases (=localized dual canonical bases) in the sense of [Qin15] coincide with the triangular bases in the sense of Berenstein-Zelevinsky when $\mathfrak{g}$ is symmetric.

1.5. **Quantum unipotent cells and the dual canonical bases.** Our main results in this paper are as follows:

(1) We generalize the De Concini-Procesi isomorphisms for the localizations $\mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w) \cap \dot{w}G_0]$ of the quantum unipotent subgroups $\mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w)]$ and the quantum unipotent cells $\mathbf{A}_q\left[N_-^w\right]$ for arbitrary symmetrizable Kac-Moody Lie algebras (see Theorem 3.17). The quantum cluster structure on the quantum unipotent cells can be proved as a corollary of the De Concini-Procesi isomorphism (see Corollary 6.14).
(2) We introduce a quantum analogue γ_w of the twist isomorphism between the unipotent cells N_-^w and $N_-(w) \cap \dot{w}G_0$ which is defined using the Gauss decomposition (See Theorem 4.21).
(3) We introduce a quantum analogue of the twist automorphism of unipotent cells on the quantum coordinate ring $\mathbf{A}_q\left[N_-^w\right]$ of the unipotent cells (without referring the quantum cluster algebra structure) and show that the quantum twist preserves the dual canonical bases (see Theorem 5.4). In fact, we introduce a quantum analogue of the twist automorphism as a composite of the De Concini-Procesi isomorphism and the quantum twist isomorphism. The result that the dual canonical bases are preserved under the twist automorphism is proved as a consequence of the properties of two isomorphisms and the dual canonical bases. We note that our construction is independent of the construction by Berenstein-Rupel [BR15].
(4) We relate the quantum twist automorphisms and the quantum cluster structure under the additive categorification (see Theorem 6.19). We also study the 6-periodicity of the twist automorphisms in finite type cases (see Theorem 7.1).

1.6. **Outline of the paper.** The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we fix the convention in this paper. In particular, we give a brief review of the quantum unipotent subgroups and the dual canonical bases. In Section 3, we define the dual canonical bases of the localized quantum coordinate rings and prove De Concini-Procesi isomorphisms in general. In Section 4, a quantum analogue of the twist isomorphism is introduced. In Section 5, we define a quantum analogue of the twist automorphism as a composite of quantum twist isomorphism and the De Concini-Procesi isomorphism. In Section 6, we relate the quantum twist automorphisms and the quantum cluster algebra structures on the quantum unipotent cells via Geiß-Leclerc-Schröer's additive categorification and the De Concini-Procesi isomorphisms. In Section 7, we study the periodicity of the specific twist automorphisms in finite type.

1.7. **Further work.** The comparison between the construction by Berenstein-Rupel [BR15] and the quantum analogue of the Chamber Ansatz will be discussed in another paper.

There is another type of "quantum twist maps" which is not an automorphism, introduced by Lenagan-Yakimov, which are a quantum analogue of the Fomin-Zelevinsky twist isomorphism and the authors showed that they also preserve the dual canonical bases of $\mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w)]$ [KO16].

Acknowledgement. The authors are grateful to Bernard Leclerc for his enlightening advice concerning cluster algebras and their categorifications. The authors would like to express our sincere gratitude to Yoshihisa Saito, the supervisor of the second author, for his helpful comments. The authors wishes to thank Ryo Sato and Bea Schumann for several interesting comments and discussions. The second author thanks the University of Caen Normandy, where a part of this paper was written, for the hospitality.

2. Preliminaries

Notation 2.1. (1) Let k be a field. For a k-vector space V, set $V^* := \mathrm{Hom}_k(V, k)$. Denote by $\langle\ ,\ \rangle\colon V^* \times V \to k$, $(f, v) \mapsto \langle f, v\rangle$ the canonical pairing.

(2) For a k-algebra $\mathcal{A}$, we set $[a_1, a_2] := a_1a_2 - a_2a_1$ for $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{A}$. An Ore set $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ stands for a left and right Ore set consisting of non-zero divisors. Denote by $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{M}^{-1}]$ the algebra of fractions with respect to the Ore set $\mathcal{M}$. In this case, $\mathcal{A}$ is naturally a subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{M}^{-1}]$. See [GW89, Chapter 6].

(3) An $\mathcal{A}$-module V means a left $\mathcal{A}$-module. The action of $\mathcal{A}$ on V is denoted by $a.v$ for$a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $v \in V$. In this case, V^* is regarded as a right $\mathcal{A}$-module by $\langle f.a, v\rangle = \langle f, a.v\rangle$ for $f \in V^*, a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $v \in V$.

(4) For two letters i, j, the symbol δ_{ij} stands for the Kronecker delta.

2.1. **Kac-Moody Lie algebras and associated flag schemes.** In this subsection, we fix the notations on (symmetrizable) Kac-Moody Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ and associated (not necessarily a group) schemes G . Since we do not need the group structure on the whole G itself, we use the scheme introduced by Kashiwara [Kas89] (see also Kashiwara-Tanisaki [KT95]).

Definition 2.2. A *root datum* consists of the following data;

(1) I : a finite index set,
(2) $\mathfrak{h}$: a finite dimensional $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space,
(3) $P \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$: a lattice, called weight lattice,
(4) $P^* = \{h \in \mathfrak{h} \mid \langle h, P\rangle \subset \mathbb{Z}\}$ with the canonical pairing $\langle -, -\rangle : P^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} P \to \mathbb{Z}$, called the coweight lattice,
(5) $\{\alpha_i\}_{i\in I} \subset P$: a subset, called the set of simple roots,
(6) $\{h_i\}_{i\in I} \subset P^*$: a subset, called the set of simple coroots,
(7) $(-, -) : P \times P \to \mathbb{Q}$: a $\mathbb{Q}$-valued symmetric $\mathbb{Z}$-bilinear form on P.

satisfying the following conditions:

(a) $(\alpha_i, \alpha_i) \in 2\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ for $i \in I$,
(b) $\langle h_i, \lambda\rangle = 2\,(\alpha_i, \lambda)\,/\,(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)$ for $\lambda \in P$ and $i \in I$,
(c) $A = (\langle h_i, \alpha_j\rangle)_{i,j\in I}$ is a symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrix, that is $\langle h_i, \alpha_i\rangle = 2$, $\langle h_i, \alpha_j\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ for $i \neq j$ and $\langle h_i, \alpha_j\rangle = 0$ is equivalent to $\langle h_j, \alpha_i\rangle = 0$,

(d) $\{\alpha_i\}_{i\in I} \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$, $\{h_i\}_{i\in I} \subset \mathfrak{h}$ are linearly independent subsets.

The $\mathbb{Z}$-submodule $Q = \sum_{i\in I} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i \subset P$ is called the *root lattice*, $Q^\vee = \sum_{i\in I} \mathbb{Z}h_i \subset P^*$ is called the *coroot lattice*. We set $Q_+ = \sum \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha_i \subset Q$ and $Q_- = -Q_+$. For $\xi = \sum_{i\in I} \xi_i\alpha_i \in Q$, we set $\mathrm{ht}(\xi) = \sum_{i\in I} \xi_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $P_+ := \{\lambda \in P \mid \langle h_i, \lambda\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \text{ for all } i \in I\}$ and we assume that there exists $\{\varpi_i\}_{i\in I} \subset P_+$ such that $\langle h_i, \varpi_j\rangle = \delta_{ij}$. Set $\rho := \sum_{i\in I} \varpi_i \in P_+$.

A triple $(\mathfrak{h}, \Pi, \Pi^\vee, (-,-))$ is called a realization of A. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$ be an associated Kac-Moody Lie algebra and its triangular decomposition. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathfrak{h}^*} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ be its root space decomposition and $\Delta = \{\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \neq 0\} \setminus \{0\}$ be the set of roots, and $\Delta_\pm$ be the subsets of positive and negative roots.

Let us fix a root datum $(A, P, P^\vee, \Pi, \Pi^\vee)$ which gives a realization of A and $H = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[P])$ be the algebraic torus whose character lattice is P and $\mathbb{C}$-valued point is $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P, \mathbb{C}^*)$.

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we set $\Delta_\pm^{\geq k} := \{\alpha \in \Delta_\pm \mid \pm\mathrm{ht}(\alpha) \geq k\}$ and $\mathfrak{n}_\pm^{\geq k} := \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\Delta_\pm^{\geq k}} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. Then we have $\left(\Delta_\pm^{\geq k} + \Delta_\pm\right) \cap \Delta_\pm \subset \Delta_\pm^{\geq k}$ and $\mathfrak{n}_\pm^{\geq k}$ is an ideal and $\mathfrak{n}_\pm/\mathfrak{n}_\pm^{\geq k}$ is a finite dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra.

We set

$$\hat{\mathfrak{n}}_\pm = \varprojlim \mathfrak{n}_\pm/\mathfrak{n}_\pm^{\geq k} = \prod_{\alpha\in\Delta_\pm} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha.$$

Let $N_\pm$ be the pro-unipotent group scheme whose pro-nilpotent pro-Lie algebra is $\hat{\mathfrak{n}}_\pm$ that is defined by

$$N_\pm = \varprojlim \exp\left(\mathfrak{n}_\pm/\mathfrak{n}_\pm^{\geq k}\right) = \mathrm{Spec}\left(U(\mathfrak{n}_\pm)^*_{\mathrm{gr}}\right),$$

that is we have $\mathbb{C}[N_\pm] = \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{n}_\pm)^*_{\mathrm{gr}}$.

Let $B_\pm = H \ltimes N_\pm$ be the Borel subgroup. For $i \in I$, let $P_i^\pm$, G_i, $G_i^\pm$ and $N_i^\pm$ be the group schemes associated with

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Lie}\left(P_i^\pm\right) &= \hat{\mathfrak{n}}_\pm \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\mp\alpha_i},\\
\mathrm{Lie}\left(G_i\right) &= \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_i},\\
\mathrm{Lie}\left(G_i^\pm\right) &= \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\pm\alpha_i}.
\end{aligned}$$

We introduce the scheme G_∞ and its open subscheme G.

Definition 2.3. (1) For $\lambda \in P_+$, let $V(\lambda)$ be the integrable highest weight $\mathfrak{g}$-module with highest weight vector u_λ of highest weight λ.

(2) Let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathfrak{g})$ be the category of P-weighted integrable $\mathfrak{g}$-modules satisfying the following condition.

(1) $M = \bigoplus_{\mu\in M} M_\mu$ with $M_\mu = \{m \in M \mid h.m = \langle h, \mu\rangle m \text{ for all } h \in \mathfrak{h}\}$ and $\dim M_\mu < \infty$ for $\mu \in P$,
(2) there exists finitely many $\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_m \in P_+$ such that $P(M) := \{\mu \in P \mid M_\mu \neq 0\} \subset \bigcup_{1\leq j\leq m} \lambda_j + Q_-$.

It is well-known that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathfrak{g})$ is semisimple with its simple object being isomorphic to the integrable highest weight modules $\{V(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in P_+\}$. By definition, $M \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathfrak{g})$ is equivalent that $M = \bigoplus_{\mu \in M} M_\mu$ with $M_\mu = \{m \in M \mid h.m = \langle h, \mu \rangle m \text{ for all } h \in \mathfrak{h}\}$, $\dim M_\mu < \infty$ for $\mu \in P$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{p}_i) m < \infty$ for $i \in I$ and $m \in M$.

Let $\varphi \colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ be the anti-involution defined by $\varphi(e_i) = f_i, \varphi(f_i) = e_i, \varphi(h) = h$ for $i \in I$ and $h \in \mathfrak{h}$. For $M \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathfrak{g})$, we denote $\mathbf{D}_\varphi M$ be the $\mathfrak{g}$-module on $\bigoplus_{\mu \in M} \mathrm{Hom}(M_\mu, \mathbb{C})$ defined by

$$\langle x.f, m \rangle = \langle f, \varphi(x).m \rangle \text{ for } x \in \mathfrak{g} \text{ and } m \in M.$$

We note that $\mathbf{D}_\varphi M \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathfrak{g})$. For a $\mathfrak{g}$-module M, we denote M^{r} be the $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{op}}$-module $\{m^{\mathrm{r}} \mid m \in M\}$ with the action of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{op}}$ given by

$$x.(m^{\mathrm{r}}) = (\varphi(x).m)^{\mathrm{r}} \text{ for } x \in \mathfrak{g} \text{ and } m \in M.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{r}}_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathfrak{g})$ be the category of integrable $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{op}}$-modules M^{r} such that $M \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathfrak{g})$. We interpret the category of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{op}}$-modules as the category of right $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$-modules.

We define the scheme $G_\infty := \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{g}))$ by as the spectrum of the ring of "strongly regular functions" introduced by Kac-Peterson, that is

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{g}) := \left\{ f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}), \mathbb{C}) \middle| \begin{smallmatrix} \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}) f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathfrak{g}) \\ f\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \in \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{r}}_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathfrak{g}) \end{smallmatrix} \right\},$$

where we consider bimodule structure on $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}), \mathbb{C})$ defined by

$$\langle x.f.y, u \rangle = \langle f, y.u.x \rangle \ (x, y \in \mathfrak{g}, f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}), \mathbb{C}), u \in \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})).$$

Let

$$\Phi = \sum_{\lambda \in P_+} \Phi_\lambda \colon V(\lambda) \otimes V(\lambda)^{\mathrm{r}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{g})$$

be the map defined by $\langle \Phi_\lambda(v_1 \otimes {v_2}^{\mathrm{r}}), u \rangle = (v_2, uv_1)_\lambda$ for $v_1, v_2 \in V(\lambda)$ and $u \in \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$, where $(-,-)_\lambda : V(\lambda) \otimes V(\lambda) \to \mathbb{C}$ be the symmetric bilinear form on $V(\lambda)$ such that $(u_\lambda, u_\lambda)_\lambda = 1$ and $(x.v_1, v_2)_\lambda = (v_1, \varphi(x).v_2)_\lambda$ for $v_1, v_2 \in V(\lambda)$ and $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. It is known that it is an isomorphism of bimodules, called the Peter-Weyl isomorphism for Kac-Moody Lie algebras.

The multiplications $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{p}_i^-) \otimes \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{p}_i^+) \to \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ induce coaction morphisms $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathbb{C}[P_i^-] \otimes \mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathbf{R}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[P_i^+]$, where $\mathbb{C}[P_i^+]$ is the coordinate ring of $P_i^\pm$. Hence we have the morphisms of schemes $P_i^- \times G_\infty \to G_\infty$ and $G_\infty \times P_i^+ \to G_\infty$ which give rise to the left action of P_i^- and the right action of P_i^+.

The scheme G_∞ contains a canonical point e and is endowed with a left action of P_i^- and a right action of P_i^+.

Definition 2.4. Let G be the open subset of G_∞ which is given by the union of $P_{i_1}^- \cdots P_{i_m}^- e P_{j_1}^+ \cdots P_{j_n}^+$, that is

$$G = \bigcup_{i_1, \cdots, i_m, j_1, \cdots, j_n \in I} P_{i_1}^- \cdots P_{i_m}^- e P_{j_1}^+ \cdots P_{j_n}^+.$$

Proposition 2.5. (1) *The left P_i^- action on G is free and the right P_i^+ action on G is free.*
(2) *The restricted left B_- action on G and the restricted right B_+ action on G are independent of $i \in I$.*
(3) *The left and right action of $\overline{s_i}$ and $\overline{\overline{s_i}}$ on G coincides.*

Let $N_- \times H \times N_+ \to G$ be the open immersion defined by the "multiplication" $(x, y, z) \mapsto xyz$ and its image is denoted by G_0. Let

$$[\ ]_- \times [\ ]_0 \times [\ ]_+ : G_0 \to N_- \times H \times N_+$$

be the inverse morphism of the "multiplication". We note that we use only the left B_--action and the right B_+-action on G.

For the Lie algebra anti-involution $\varphi\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$, let $\varphi\colon \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ be the induced anti-involution as a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra. We note that φ induces the anti-isomorphism of group schemes $P_i^{\pm} \xrightarrow{\sim} P_i^{\mp}$ for $i \in I$ and we have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{U}\left(\mathfrak{p}_i^-\right) \otimes \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathbf{U}\left(\mathfrak{p}_i^+\right) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \end{array},$$

where the horizontal homomorphisms are multiplications, the left vertical homomorphism is given by flip $\circ\, (\varphi \otimes \varphi)$ and the right vertical homomorphism is φ. Hence we have the "anti-involution" $(\ )^T$ on G_∞, that is a morphism which intertwines the left P_i^--action into the right P_i^+-action and vice versa. It is clear that $(\ )^T$ preserves G and G_0 by its construction.

Definition 2.6. The flag scheme X is defined as a quotient scheme G/B_+.

It is known that G/B_+ is an essentially smooth and separated (in general, not quasi-compact) scheme over $\mathbb{C}$. Let $e_X = B_+/B_+ \in X$ be the image of $e \in G$.

2.2. **Unipotent subgroups and Schubert varieties.**

Definition 2.7. Let W be the Weyl group associated with the above root datum, that is, the group generated by $\{s_i\}_{i\in I}$ with the defining relations $s_i^2 = e$ for $i \in I$ and $(s_i s_j)^{m_{ij}} = e$ for $i, j \in I$, $i \neq j$. Here e is the unit of W, $m_{ij} = 2$ (resp. $3, 4, 6, \infty$) if $a_{ij}a_{ji} = 0$ (resp. $1, 2, 3, \geq 4$), and $w^\infty := e$ for any $w \in W$. We have the group homomorphisms $W \to \operatorname{Aut}\mathfrak{h}$ and $W \to \operatorname{Aut}\mathfrak{h}^*$ given by

$$s_i(h) = h - \langle h, \alpha_i\rangle h_i, \qquad\qquad s_i(\mu) = \mu - \langle h_i, \mu\rangle \alpha_i$$

for $i \in I$, $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ and$\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$.

For an element w of W, $\ell(w)$ denotes the length of w, that is, the smallest integer ℓ such that there exist $i_1, \ldots, i_\ell \in I$ with $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_\ell}$. For $w \in W$, set

$$I(w) := \{\boldsymbol{i} = (i_1, \ldots, i_{\ell(w)}) \in I^{\ell(w)} \mid w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{\ell(w)}}\}.$$

An element of $I(w)$ is called a reduced word of w.

For a Weyl group element $w \in W$, we specify two lifts $\overline{w}, \overline{\overline{w}} \in G$ of $w \in W$. For a simple reflection s_i, set

$$\overline{s_i} = \gamma_i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \exp(-e_i)\exp(f_i)\exp(-e_i),$$
$$\overline{\overline{s_i}} = \gamma_i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \exp(e_i)\exp(-f_i)\exp(e_i).$$

It is known that $\{\overline{s_i}\}_{i\in I}$ and $\left\{\overline{\overline{s_i}}\right\}_{i\in I}$ satisfy braid relations. It follows that the lifts $\overline{w}$ and $\overline{\overline{w}}$ can be uniquely defined by the condition

$$\overline{w'w''} = \overline{w'} \cdot \overline{w''},$$
$$\overline{\overline{w'w''}} = \overline{\overline{w'}} \cdot \overline{\overline{w''}}$$

for $w', w'' \in W$ with $\ell(w'w'') = \ell(w') + \ell(w'')$.

Proposition 2.8 ([FZ99, Proposition 2.1])**.** *For $w \in W$, we have the following properties:*

$$\overline{w}^{-1} = \overline{w}^T = \overline{\overline{w^{-1}}},$$
$$\overline{w^{-1}} = \overline{\overline{w}}^T = \overline{\overline{w}}^{-1}.$$

Notation 2.9. For a H-stable subset Y of G and $w \in W$, we denote $\overline{w}Y$ (resp. $Y\overline{w}$) by wY (resp. $Y\overline{w}$).

For $w \in W$, let us denote by $N_\pm(w) = N_\pm \cap wN_\mp w^{-1}$ the group scheme associated with

$$\mathfrak{n}_\pm(w) := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_\pm \cap w\Delta_\mp} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha.$$

In fact, $N_\pm(w)$ are unipotent subgroups of $N_\pm$ with $\dim(N_\pm(w)) = \ell(w)$.

We have the following isomorphisms

$$N_\pm \simeq \left(N_\pm \cap wN_\pm w^{-1}\right) \times (N_\pm(w))$$
$$\simeq (N_\pm(w)) \times \left(N_\pm \cap wN_\pm w^{-1}\right),$$

as schemes, where $N_\pm \cap wN_\pm w^{-1}$ is the pro-unipotent group scheme associated with $\bigoplus_{\alpha\in\Delta_\pm\cap w\Delta_\pm} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$.

Notation 2.10. For a H-stable subset Y of X and $w \in \dot{W}$, we denote $\overline{w}Y$ by wY.

Definition 2.11. (1) For $w \in W$, we set $\mathring{X}_w = w(N_- \cap w^{-1}N_+w)e_X \subset G/B_+$ be the locally closed subscheme of X. Let X_w be the Zariski closure of $\mathring{X}_w$ endowed with the reduced scheme structure. X_w (resp. $\mathring{X}_w$) are called (finite) Schubert varieties (resp. cells).

(2) For $w \in W$, we set $\mathring{X}^w := B_-\overline{w}e_X = N_-\overline{w}e_X \subset G/B_+$ be the locally closed subscheme of X. Let X^w be the Zariski closure of $\mathring{X}^w$ endowed with the reduced scheme structure. X^w(resp. $\mathring{X}^w$) are called cofinite Schubert schemes (resp. cells).

(3) For $w \in W$, we set $U_w := wB_-e_X = wN_-e_X \subset G/B_+$.

Proposition 2.12 ([KT95, Proposition 1.3.2])**.** (1) *X_w is the smallest subscheme of X that is invariant by G_i^+'s and contains we_X.*

(2) *There is an isomorphism*

$$N_+ \cap wN_-w^{-1} \to \mathring{X}_w$$

given by $x \mapsto xwe_X$. In particular $\mathring{X}_w$ is isomorphic to the affine space $\mathbb{A}^{\ell(w)}$.

(3) *We have $X_w = \bigsqcup_{y \le w} \mathring{X}_y$, where $\le$ is the Bruhat order on W.*

We note that the morphism $(N_- \cap wN_+w^{-1}) \times B_+ \to B_+\overline{w}B_+$ given by $(x, y) \mapsto x^T\overline{w}y$ is an isomorphism in G.

Remark 2.13. We note that the union $\bigcup_{w\in W} X_w \subset X$ has a structure of an ind-scheme over $\mathbb{C}$ and it is also called the flag variety.

Proposition 2.14 ([KT95, Proposition 1.3.1])**.** (1) *There is an isomorphism*

$$N_- \cap wN_-w^{-1} \to \mathring{X}^w$$

given by $x \mapsto x\overline{w}e_X$. In particular, $\mathring{X}_w$ is affine space with $\mathrm{codim} X^w = \ell(w)$.

(2) *We have $X = \bigsqcup_{w\in W} \mathring{X}_w$.*

(3) *We have $X_w = \bigsqcup_{y\ge w} \mathring{X}_y$ for $w \in W$.*

Corollary 2.15 (Birkhoff decomposition)**.** *We have $G = \bigsqcup_{w\in W} B_-\overline{w}B_+$.*

Proposition 2.16 ([Kas89])**.** *U_w is an affine open subset of X and there is an isomorphism*

$$\left(N_- \cap wN_-w^{-1}\right) \times \left(N_+ \cap wN_-w^{-1}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} U_w$$

which is given by $(x, y) \mapsto xywB_+$. In particular, $N_- \to G/B_+$ given by $n_- \mapsto n_-e_X$ is an open immersion.

2.3. **Quantized enveloping algebras.** In this subsection, we present the definitions of quantized enveloping algebras.

Notation 2.17. Set

$$q_i := q^{\frac{(\alpha_i,\alpha_i)}{2}},\ [n] := \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}} \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} := \begin{cases} \dfrac{[n][n-1]\cdots[n-k+1]}{[k][k-1]\cdots[1]} & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \\ 1 & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{Z}, k = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$[n]! := [n][n-1]\cdots[1] \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, [0]! := 1.$$

For a rational function $R \in \mathbb{Q}(q)$, we define R_i as the rational function obtained from X by substituting q by q_i $(i \in I)$.

Definition 2.18. The quantized enveloping algebra $\mathbf{U}_q$ associated with $\left(P, I, \{\alpha_i\}_{i\in I}, \{h_i\}_{i\in I}, (\ ,\ )\right)$ is the unital associative $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-algebra defined by the generators

$$e_i, f_i\ (i \in I), q^h\ (h \in P^*),$$

and the relations (i)-(iv) below:

(i) $q^0 = 1,\ q^h q^{h'} = q^{h+h'}$ for $h, h' \in P^*$,
(ii) $q^h e_i = q^{\langle h,\alpha_i\rangle} e_i q^h,\ q^h f_i = q^{-\langle h,\alpha_i\rangle} f_i q^h$ for $h \in P^*, i \in I$,
(iii) $[e_i, f_j] = \delta_{ij} \dfrac{t_i - t_i^{-1}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}$ for $i, j \in I$ where $t_i := q^{\frac{(\alpha_i,\alpha_i)}{2} h_i}$,
(iv) $\displaystyle\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ k \end{bmatrix}_i x_i^k x_j x_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} = 0$ for $i, j \in I$ with $i \neq j$, and $x = e, f$.

The $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-subalgebra of $\mathbf{U}_q$ generated by $\{e_i\}_{i\in I}$ (resp. $\{f_i\}_{i\in I}$, $\{q^h\}_{h\in P^*}$, $\{e_i, q^h\}_{i\in I, h\in P^*}$, $\{f_i, q^h\}_{i\in I, h\in P^*}$) will be denoted by $\mathbf{U}_q^+$ (resp. $\mathbf{U}_q^-$, $\mathbf{U}_q^0$, $\mathbf{U}_q^{\geq 0}$, $\mathbf{U}_q^{\leq 0}$).

For $\alpha \in Q$, write $(\mathbf{U}_q)_\alpha := \{x \in \mathbf{U}_q \mid q^h x q^{-h} = q^{\langle \alpha, h\rangle} x \text{ for all } h \in P^*\}$. The elements of $(\mathbf{U}_q)_\alpha$ are said to be homogeneous. For a homogeneous element $x \in (\mathbf{U}_q)_\alpha$, we set $\operatorname{wt} x = \alpha$. For any subset $X \subset \mathbf{U}_q$ and $\alpha \in Q$, we set $X_\alpha := X \cap (\mathbf{U}_q)_\alpha$.

The algebra $\mathbf{U}_q$ has a Hopf algebra structure. In this paper, we take the coproduct $\Delta\colon \mathbf{U}_q \to \mathbf{U}_q \otimes \mathbf{U}_q$, the counit $\varepsilon\colon \mathbf{U}_q \to \mathbb{Q}(q)$ and the antipode $S\colon \mathbf{U}_q \to \mathbf{U}_q$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta(e_i) = e_i \otimes t_i^{-1} + 1 \otimes e_i, && \varepsilon(e_i) = 0, && S(e_i) = -e_i t_i,\\
&\Delta(f_i) = f_i \otimes 1 + t_i \otimes f_i, && \varepsilon(f_i) = 0, && S(f_i) = -t_i^{-1} f_i,\\
&\Delta\left(q^h\right) = q^h \otimes q^h, && \varepsilon\left(q^h\right) = 1, && S\left(q^h\right) = q^{-h}.
\end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.19. Let $\vee\colon \mathbf{U}_q \to \mathbf{U}_q$ be the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-algebra involution defined by

$$e_i^\vee = f_i, \qquad f_i^\vee = e_i, \qquad \left(q^h\right)^\vee = q^{-h}.$$

Let $\overline{\ }\colon \mathbb{Q}(q) \to \mathbb{Q}(q)$, $\overline{\ }\colon \mathbf{U}_q \to \mathbf{U}_q$ be the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra involutions defined by

$$\overline{q} = q^{-1}, \qquad \overline{e_i} = e_i, \qquad \overline{f_i} = f_i, \qquad \overline{q^h} = q^{-h}.$$

Let $*, \varphi, \psi\colon \mathbf{U}_q \to \mathbf{U}_q$ be the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-anti-algebra involutions defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
&*(e_i) = e_i, && *(f_i) = f_i, && *\left(q^h\right) = q^{-h},\\
&\varphi(e_i) = f_i, && \varphi(f_i) = e_i, && \varphi\left(q^h\right) = q^h\\
&\psi(e_i) = q_i^{-1} t_i^{-1} f_i, && \psi(f_i) = q_i^{-1} t_i e_i, && \psi\left(q^h\right) = q^h.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark that ψ is also a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-coalgebra homomorphism, and $\varphi = \vee \circ * = * \circ \vee$.

In this paper, we use the following variant $\check{\mathbf{U}}_q$ of the quantized enveloping algebra $\mathbf{U}_q$.

Definition 2.20. A variant $\check{\mathbf{U}}_q$ of the quantized enveloping algebra $\mathbf{U}_q$ is the unital associative $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-algebra defined by the generators

$$e_i, f_i\ (i \in I), q^\mu\ (\mu \in P),$$

and the relations (i)-(iv) below:

(i) $q^0 = 1,\ q^\mu q^{\mu'} = q^{\mu+\mu'}$ for $\mu, \mu' \in P$,
(ii) $q^\mu e_i = q^{(\mu,\alpha_i)} e_i q^\mu,\ q^\mu f_i = q^{-(\mu,\alpha_i)} f_i q^\mu$ for $\mu \in P, i \in I$,
(iii) $[e_i, f_j] = \delta_{ij} \dfrac{t_i - t_i^{-1}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}$ for $i, j \in I$ where $t_i := q^{\alpha_i}$ (abuse of notation),

(iv) $\displaystyle\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ k \end{bmatrix}_i x_i^k x_j x_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} = 0$ for $i, j \in I$ with $i \neq j$, and $x = e, f$.

The $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-algebra $\check{\mathbf{U}}_q$ has a Hopf algebra structure given by the same frmulae as $\mathbf{U}_q$. The notions, notations and maps defined in Definition 2.18 and 2.19 are immediately translated into those for $\check{\mathbf{U}}_q$. Note that $\check{\mathbf{U}}_q^{\pm}$ can be identified with $\mathbf{U}_q^{\pm}$ respectively in an obvious way.

2.4. **Drinfeld pairings and Lusztig pairings.** Some nondegenerate bilinear forms play a role of bridges between quantized enveloping algebras and their dual objects.

Proposition 2.21 ([Dri87, Tan92])**.** *There uniquely exists a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-bilinear map $(\ ,\ )_D \colon \check{\mathbf{U}}_q^{\geq 0} \times \mathbf{U}_q^{\leq 0} \to \mathbb{Q}(q)$ such that*

(i) $(\Delta(x), y_1 \otimes y_2)_D = (x, y_1 y_2)_D$ *for* $x \in \check{\mathbf{U}}_q^{\geq 0}, y_1, y_2 \in \mathbf{U}_q^{\leq 0}$,
(ii) $(x_2 \otimes x_1, \Delta(y))_D = (x_1 x_2, y)_D$ *for* $x_1, x_2 \in \check{\mathbf{U}}_q^{\geq 0}, y \in \mathbf{U}_q^{\leq 0}$,
(iii) $(e_i, q^h)_D = (q^\lambda, f_i)_D = 0$ *for* $i \in I$ *and* $h \in P^*,\ \lambda \in P$,
(iv) $(q^\lambda, q^h)_D = q^{-\langle \lambda, h \rangle}$ *for* $\lambda \in P, h \in P^*$,
(v) $(e_i, f_j)_D = -\delta_{ij} \dfrac{1}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}$ *for* $i, j \in I$.

This bilinear form is called the Drinfeld pairing. It has the following properties:

(1) For $\alpha, \beta \in Q_+$, $(\ ,\ )_D \,|_{(\check{\mathbf{U}}_q^{\geq 0})_\alpha \times (\mathbf{U}_q^{\leq 0})_{-\beta}} = 0$ unless $\alpha = \beta$.
(2) $(\ ,\ )_D|_{(\mathbf{U}_q^+)_\alpha \times (\mathbf{U}_q^-)_{-\alpha}}$ is nondegenerate.
(3) $(q^\lambda x, q^h y)_D = q^{-\langle \lambda, h \rangle} (x, y)_D$ for $\lambda \in P,\ h \in P^*$ and $x \in \mathbf{U}_q^+, y \in \mathbf{U}_q^-$.

Definition 2.22. For $i \in I$, define the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-linear maps e_i' and ${}_ie' \colon \mathbf{U}_q^- \to \mathbf{U}_q^-$ by

$$e_i'(xy) = e_i'(x)\, y + q_i^{\langle \mathrm{wt}\, x, h_i \rangle} x e_i'(y), \qquad e_i'(f_j) = \delta_{ij},$$
$${}_ie'(xy) = q_i^{\langle \mathrm{wt}\, y, h_i \rangle}\, {}_ie'(x)\, y + x_i e'(y), \qquad {}_ie'(f_j) = \delta_{ij}$$

for homogeneous elements $x, y \in \mathbf{U}_q^-$. For $i \in I$, define the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-linear maps f_i' and ${}_if' \colon \mathbf{U}_q^+ \to \mathbf{U}_q^+$ by

$$f_i'(xy) = f_i'(x)\, y + q_i^{-\langle h_i, \mathrm{wt}\, x \rangle} x f_i'(y), \qquad f_i'(e_j) = \delta_{ij},$$
$${}_if'(xy) = q_i^{-\langle h_i, \mathrm{wt}\, y \rangle}\, {}_if'(x)\, y + x_i f'(y), \qquad {}_if'(e_j) = \delta_{ij}$$

for homogeneous elements $x, y \in \mathbf{U}_q^+$.

Definition 2.23. Define the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-bilinear form $(\ ,\ )_L \colon \mathbf{U}_q^- \times \mathbf{U}_q^- \to \mathbb{Q}(q)$ by $(x, y)_L := (\psi(x), y)_D$ for $x, y \in \mathbf{U}_q^-$. Then this bilinear form satisfies

$$(1,1)_L = 1, \qquad (f_i x, y)_L = \frac{1}{1-q_i^2}(x, e_i'(y))_L, \qquad (x f_i, y)_L = \frac{1}{1-q_i^2}(x, {}_ie'(y))_L.$$

This is a symmetric bilinear form, and called the Lusztig pairing. In fact, $(\ ,\ )_L$ is the unique symmetric $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-bilinear form satisfying the properties above. Moreover, $(\ ,\ )_L$ is

non-degenerate and has the following property:

$$(*(x), *(y))_L = (x, y)_L \tag{2.1}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbf{U}_q^-$.

Define the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-bilinear form $(\ ,\ )_L^+ \colon \mathbf{U}_q^+ \times \mathbf{U}_q^+ \to \mathbb{Q}(q)$ by $(x, y)_L^+ := (x, \psi(y))_D$ for $x, y \in \mathbf{U}_q^+$. Then this bilinear form satisfies

$$(1,1)_L^+ = 1, \qquad (e_i x, y)_L^+ = \frac{1}{1-q_i^2}(x, f_i'(y))_L^+, \qquad (xe_i, y)_L^+ = \frac{1}{1-q_i^2}(x, {}_if'(y))_L^+.$$

The forms $(\ ,\ )_L$ and $(\ ,\ )_L^+$ are related as follows:

$$(x, y)_L = (x^\vee, y^\vee)_L^+ \tag{2.2}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbf{U}_q^-$. See [Lus10, Chapter 1] for more details.

The following Lemma can be proved easily from the definition, it is left as an exercise for readers.

Lemma 2.24. *For $\mu \in P$, $h \in P^*$, $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbf{U}_q^-$ and $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbf{U}_q^+$, we have*

$$(\psi(y_1 q^\mu), y_2 q^h)_D = q^{-\langle \mu, h\rangle}(y_1, y_2)_L, \qquad (x_1 q^\mu, \psi(x_2 q^h))_D = q^{-\langle \mu, h\rangle}(x_1, x_2)_L^+.$$

Definition 2.25. For a homogeneous $x \in \mathbf{U}_q^-$, we define $\sigma(x) = \sigma_L(x) \in \mathbf{U}_q^-$ by the property that

$$(\sigma(x), y)_L = \overline{(x, \overline{y})_L}$$

for an arbitrary $y \in \mathbf{U}_q^-$. By the nondegeneracy of $(\ ,\ )_L$, the element $\sigma(x)$ is well-defined. This map $\sigma \colon \mathbf{U}_q^- \to \mathbf{U}_q^-$ is called the dual bar-involution.

Proposition 2.26 ([Kim12, Proposition 3.2], [KO16, Proposition 2.1])**.** *For a homogeneous element $x \in \mathbf{U}_q^-$, we have*

$$\sigma(x) = (-1)^{\mathrm{ht}(\mathrm{wt}\, x)}\, q^{(\mathrm{wt}\, x, \mathrm{wt}\, x)/2 - (\mathrm{wt}\, x, \rho)}\, (\overline{\ } \circ *)(x).$$

In particular, for homogeneous elements $x, y \in \mathbf{U}_q^-$, we have

$$\sigma(xy) = q^{(\mathrm{wt}\, x, \mathrm{wt}\, y)}\sigma(y)\sigma(x).$$

Definition 2.27. Define a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-linear isomorphism $c_{\mathrm{tw}} \colon \mathbf{U}_q^- \to \mathbf{U}_q^-$ by

$$x \mapsto q^{(\mathrm{wt}\, x, \mathrm{wt}\, x)/2 - (\mathrm{wt}\, x, \rho)} x$$

for every homogeneous element $x \in \mathbf{U}_q^-$. Set $\sigma' := c_{\mathrm{tw}}^{-1} \circ \sigma \colon \mathbf{U}_q^- \to \mathbf{U}_q^-$. We call σ' the twisted dual bar involution. By Proposition 2.26, $\sigma'(x) = (-1)^{\mathrm{ht}(\mathrm{wt}\, x)}\, (\overline{\ } \circ *)(x)$ for every homogeneous element $x \in \mathbf{U}_q^-$. In particular, σ' is a $\mathbb{Q}$-anti-algebra involution.

Remark 2.28. Let $x \in \mathbf{U}_q^-$ be a homogeneous element. Then,

$$\sigma(x) = x \text{ if and only if } \sigma'(x) = q^{-(\mathrm{wt}\, x, \mathrm{wt}\, x)/2 + (\mathrm{wt}\, x, \rho)} x.$$

2.5. **Canonical/Dual canonical bases.** In this subsection, we briefly review the properties of canonical/dual canonical bases of the quantized enveloping algebras and its integrable highest weight modules. See, for example, [Kas95] for the fundamental results on crystal bases and canonical bases.

Definition 2.29. For $\lambda \in P_+$, denote by $V(\lambda)$ the integrable highest weight $\mathbf{U}_q$-module generated by a highest weight vector u_λ of weight λ. Define the $\mathbf{U}_q^-$-module surjective homomorphism $\pi_\lambda \colon \mathbf{U}_q^- \to V(\lambda)$ by

$$\pi_\lambda(y) = y.u_\lambda.$$

Definition 2.30. Let $\lambda \in P_+$. There exists a unique $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-bilinear form $(\ ,\ )_\lambda^\varphi \colon V(\lambda) \times V(\lambda) \to \mathbb{Q}(q)$ such that

$$(u_\lambda, u_\lambda)_\lambda^\varphi = 1 \qquad\qquad (x.u_1, u_2)_\lambda^\varphi = (u_1, \varphi(x).u_2)_\lambda^\varphi$$

for $u_1, u_2 \in V(\lambda)$ and $x \in \mathbf{U}_q$. Then the form $(\ ,\ )_\lambda^\varphi$ is nondegenerate and symmetric. For $u \in V(\lambda)$, define $u^* \in V(\lambda)^*$ by $u' \mapsto (u, u')_\lambda^\varphi$.

Set $\mathcal{A} := \mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]$. Denote by $\mathbf{U}_\mathcal{A}^-$ the $\mathcal{A}$-subalgebra of $\mathbf{U}_q^-$ generated by the elements $\{f_i^{(n)}\}_{i\in I, n\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ and we set $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]}[N_-] := \left\{x \in \mathbf{U}_q^- \mid \left(x, \mathbf{U}_\mathcal{A}^-\right)_L \subset \mathcal{A}\right\}$.

Lusztig [Lus90, Lus91, Lus10] and Kashiwara [Kas91] have constructed the specific $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-basis $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{low}}$ (resp. $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{low}}(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in P_+$) of $\mathbf{U}_q^-$ (resp. $V(\lambda)$), called the canonical basis (or the lower global basis), which is also an $\mathcal{A}$-basis of $\mathbf{U}_\mathcal{A}^-$ (resp. $V(\lambda)_\mathcal{A} := \mathbf{U}_\mathcal{A}^- u_\lambda$). Moreover the elements of $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{low}}$ (resp. $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{low}}(\lambda)$) are parametrized by the Kashiwara crystal $\mathscr{B}(\infty)$ (resp. $\mathscr{B}(\lambda)$). We write $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{low}} = \{G^{\mathrm{low}}(b)\}_{b\in\mathscr{B}(\infty)}$ and $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{low}}(\lambda) = \{G_\lambda^{\mathrm{low}}(b)\}_{b\in\mathscr{B}(\lambda)}$. We follow the notation in [Kas95] concerning the crystal $(\mathscr{B}(\infty); \mathrm{wt}, \{\tilde{e}_i\}_{i\in I}, \{\tilde{f}_i\}_{i\in I}, \{\varepsilon_i\}_{i\in I}, \{\varphi_i\}_{i\in I})$, $(\mathscr{B}(\lambda); \mathrm{wt}, \{\tilde{e}_i\}_{i\in I}, \{\tilde{f}_i\}_{i\in I}, \{\varepsilon_i\}_{i\in I}, \{\varphi_i\}_{i\in I})$. The unique element of $\mathscr{B}(\infty)$ with weight 0 is denoted by u_∞, and the unique element of $\mathscr{B}(\lambda)$ with weight $w\lambda$ is denoted by $u_{w\lambda}$ for $\lambda \in P_+$ and $w \in W$ by abuse of notation.

Denote by $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{up}}$ (resp. $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{up}}(\lambda)$) the basis of $\mathbf{U}_q^-$ (resp. $V(\lambda)$) dual to $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{low}}$ (resp. $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{low}}(\lambda)$) with respect to the bilinear form $(\ ,\ )_L$ (resp. $(\ ,\ )_\lambda^\varphi$), that is, $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{up}} = \{G^{\mathrm{up}}(b)\}_{b\in\mathscr{B}(\infty)}$ (resp. $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{up}}(\lambda) = \{G_\lambda^{\mathrm{up}}(b)\}_{b\in\mathscr{B}(\lambda)}$) such that

$$(G^{\mathrm{low}}(b), G^{\mathrm{up}}(b'))_L = \delta_{b,b'} \quad (\text{resp. } (G_\lambda^{\mathrm{low}}(b), G_\lambda^{\mathrm{up}}(b'))_\lambda^\varphi = \delta_{b,b'})$$

for any $b, b' \in \mathscr{B}(\infty)$ (resp. $b, b' \in \mathscr{B}(\lambda)$).

Proposition 2.31 ([Kas91, Theorem 5, Lemma 7.3.2], [Lus10, Theorem 14.4.11])**.** *Let $\lambda \in P_+$. There exists a surjective map $\pi_\lambda \colon \mathscr{B}(\infty) \to \mathscr{B}(\lambda) \coprod \{0\}$ such that*

$$\pi_\lambda(G^{\mathrm{low}}(b)) = G_\lambda^{\mathrm{low}}(\pi_\lambda(b))$$

for $b \in \mathscr{B}(\infty)$, here we set $G_\lambda^{\mathrm{low}}(0) := 0$ as a convention. Moreover, π_λ induces a bijection $\pi_\lambda^{-1}(\mathscr{B}(\lambda)) \to \mathscr{B}(\lambda)$.

Definition 2.32. Let $\lambda \in P_+$. Define $j_\lambda \colon V(\lambda) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{U}_q^-$ as the dual homomorphism of π_λ given by the non-degenerate bilinear forms $(\ ,\ )_\lambda^\varphi : V(\lambda) \times V(\lambda) \to \mathbb{Q}(q)$ and $(\ ,\ )_L : \mathbf{U}_q^- \times \mathbf{U}_q^- \to \mathbb{Q}(q)$, that is

$$(j_\lambda(v), y)_L = (v, \pi_\lambda(y))_\lambda^\varphi \ (= (v, y.u_\lambda)_\lambda^\varphi).$$

The following proposition immediately follows from Proposition 2.31.

Proposition 2.33. *There is an injective map $\overline{\jmath}_\lambda \colon \mathscr{B}(\lambda) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{B}(\infty)$ such that*

$$\left(G_\lambda^{\mathrm{up}}(b), G^{\mathrm{low}}(b').u_\lambda\right)_\lambda^\varphi = \delta_{b',\overline{\jmath}_\lambda(b)}$$

for any $b \in \mathscr{B}(\lambda)$ and $b' \in \mathscr{B}(\infty)$. That is, we have $j_\lambda(G_\lambda^{\mathrm{up}}(b)) = G^{\mathrm{up}}(\overline{\jmath}_\lambda(b))$.

Remark 2.34. Let $\lambda \in P_+$. Then,

- $\mathrm{wt}\,\overline{\jmath}_\lambda(b) = \mathrm{wt}\, b - \lambda$ for $b \in \mathscr{B}(\lambda)$, and
- $\overline{\jmath}_\lambda(\pi_\lambda(b)) = b$ for $b \in \pi_\lambda^{-1}(\mathscr{B}(\lambda))$.

Proposition 2.35 ([Kas91, Lemma 7.3.4], [Lus10, 13.1.11])**.** *For all $b \in \mathscr{B}(\infty)$, we have $\overline{G^{\mathrm{low}}(b)} = G^{\mathrm{low}}(b)$. Note that this implies $\sigma(G^{\mathrm{up}}(b)) = G^{\mathrm{up}}(b)$ for $b \in \mathscr{B}(\infty)$.*

Proposition 2.36 ([Kas93a, Theorem 2.1.1])**.** *There exists an bijection $*\colon \mathscr{B}(\infty) \to \mathscr{B}(\infty)$ such that*

$$*(G^{\mathrm{low}}(b)) = G^{\mathrm{low}}(*b)$$

for $b \in \mathscr{B}(\infty)$. Note that this condition is equivalent to the condition that $*(G^{\mathrm{up}}(b)) = G^{\mathrm{up}}(*b)$ for $b \in \mathscr{B}(\infty)$. See the equality (2.1).

Definition 2.37. The bijections $*$ give new crystal structures on $\mathscr{B}(\infty)$, defined by the maps

$$\mathrm{wt}^* := \mathrm{wt} \circ * = \mathrm{wt},\ \varepsilon_i^* := \varepsilon \circ *,\ \varphi_i^* := \varphi \circ *,\ \tilde{e}_i^* := * \circ \tilde{e}_i \circ *,\ \tilde{f}_i^* := * \circ \tilde{f}_i \circ *.$$

Proposition 2.38 ([Kas91, Theorem 7][Kas93a, Theorem 2.1.1])**.** *Let $\lambda \in P_+$. Then we have*

$$\overline{\jmath}_\lambda(\mathscr{B}(\lambda)) = \{\tilde{b} \in \mathscr{B}(\infty) \mid \varepsilon_i^*(\tilde{b}) \le \langle h_i, \lambda \rangle \text{ for all } i \in I\}.$$

Proposition 2.39 ([Kas93b, Lemma 5.1.1])**.** *For $i \in I$, $\lambda \in P$, $b \in \mathscr{B}(\lambda)$ and $\tilde{b} \in \mathscr{B}(\infty)$, we have*

$$e_i^{(\varepsilon_i(b))}.G_\lambda^{\mathrm{up}}(b) = G_\lambda^{\mathrm{up}}(\tilde{e}_i^{\varepsilon_i(b)}b) \qquad e_i^{(k)}.G_\lambda^{\mathrm{up}}(b) = 0 \text{ if } k > \varepsilon_i(b),$$
$$f_i^{(\varphi_i(b))}.G_\lambda^{\mathrm{up}}(b) = G_\lambda^{\mathrm{up}}(\tilde{f}_i^{\varphi_i(b)}b) \qquad f_i^{(k)}.G_\lambda^{\mathrm{up}}(b) = 0 \text{ if } k > \varphi_i(b),$$
$$(e_i')^{(\varepsilon_i(\tilde{b}))}G^{\mathrm{up}}(\tilde{b}) = (1-q_i^2)^{(\varepsilon_i(\tilde{b}))}G^{\mathrm{up}}(\tilde{e}_i^{\varepsilon_i(\tilde{b})}\tilde{b}) \qquad (e_i')^{(k)}G^{\mathrm{up}}(\tilde{b}) = 0 \text{ if } k > \varepsilon_i(\tilde{b}),$$
$$({}_ie')^{(\varepsilon_i^*(\tilde{b}))}G^{\mathrm{up}}(\tilde{b}) = (1-q_i^2)^{(\varepsilon_i^*(\tilde{b}))}G^{\mathrm{up}}((\tilde{e}_i^*)^{\varepsilon_i^*(\tilde{b})}\tilde{b}) \qquad ({}_ie')^{(k)}G^{\mathrm{up}}(\tilde{b}) = 0 \text{ if } k > \varepsilon_i^*(\tilde{b}).$$

Here $(e_i')^{(n)} := (e_i')^n/[n]_i!$ and $({}_ie')^{(n)} := ({}_ie')^n/[n]_i!$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$.

2.6. **Quantum unipotent subgroups.** In this subsection, we review the *quantum unipotent subgroup* $\mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w)]$ which is a quantum analogue of $\mathbb{C}[N_-(w)]$ following [Kim12, Section 4].

Notation 2.40. Set $x_i^{(n)} := x_i^n/[n]_i! \in \mathbf{U}_q$ for $i \in I$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $x = e, f$.

Definition 2.41. Following Lusztig [Lus10, Section 37.1.3], we define the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-algebra automorphism $T_i \colon \mathbf{U}_q \to \mathbf{U}_q$ for $i \in I$ by the following formulae:

$$T_i\left(q^h\right) = q^{s_i(h)},$$

$$T_i(e_j) = \begin{cases} -f_i t_i & \text{for } j = i, \\ \displaystyle\sum_{r+s=-\langle h_i,\alpha_j\rangle} (-1)^r q_i^{-r} e_i^{(s)} e_j e_i^{(r)} & \text{for } j \neq i, \end{cases}$$

$$T_i(f_j) = \begin{cases} -t_i^{-1} e_i & \text{for } j = i, \\ \displaystyle\sum_{r+s=-\langle h_i,\alpha_j\rangle} (-1)^r q_i^{r} f_i^{(r)} f_j f_i^{(s)} & \text{for } j \neq i. \end{cases}$$

Its inverse map is given by

$$T_i^{-1}\left(q^h\right) = q^{s_i(h)},$$

$$T_i^{-1}(e_j) = \begin{cases} -t_i^{-1} f_i & \text{for } j = i, \\ \displaystyle\sum_{r+s=-\langle h_i,\alpha_j\rangle} (-1)^r q_i^{-r} e_i^{(r)} e_j e_i^{(s)} & \text{for } j \neq i, \end{cases}$$

$$T_i^{-1}(f_j) = \begin{cases} -e_i t_i & \text{for } j = i, \\ \displaystyle\sum_{r+s=-\langle h_i,\alpha_j\rangle} (-1)^r q_i^{r} f_i^{(s)} f_j f_i^{(r)} & \text{for } j \neq i. \end{cases}$$

The maps T_i and T_i^{-1} are denoted by $T''_{i,1}$ and $T'_{i,-1}$ respectively in [Lus10].

It is known that $\{T_i\}_{i\in I}$ satisfies the braid relations, that is, for $w \in W$, the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-algebra automorphism $T_w := T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_\ell} \colon \mathbf{U}_q \to \mathbf{U}_q$ does not depend on the choice of $(i_1, \ldots, i_\ell) \in I(w)$. See [Lus10, Chapter 39].

Definition 2.42. (1) For $w \in W$, we set $\mathbf{U}_q^-(w) = \mathbf{U}_q^- \cap T_w\left(\mathbf{U}_q^{\geq 0}\right)$. These subalgebras of $\mathbf{U}_q^-$ are called quantum nilpotent subalgebras.

(2) Let $w \in W$ and $\boldsymbol{i} = (i_1, \cdots, i_\ell) \in I(w)$. For $\boldsymbol{c} = (c_1, \cdots, c_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\ell$, we set

$$F^{\mathrm{low}}(\boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{i}) := f_{i_1}^{(c_1)} T_{i_1}\left(f_{i_2}^{(c_2)}\right) \cdots \left(T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_{\ell-1}}\right)\left(f_{i_\ell}^{(c_\ell)}\right),$$

$$F^{\mathrm{up}}(\boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{i}) := F^{\mathrm{low}}(\boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{i}) \,/\, \left(F^{\mathrm{low}}(\boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{i}), F^{\mathrm{low}}(\boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{i})\right)_L.$$

Proposition 2.43 ([DKP95, Proposition 2.2], [BCP99, Proposition 2.3], [Lus10, Proposition 38.2.3])**.** (1) *$F^{\mathrm{low}}(\boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{i}) \in \mathbf{U}_q^-(w)$ for $\boldsymbol{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\ell$ and $\{F^{\mathrm{low}}(\boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{i})\}_{\boldsymbol{c}\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\ell}$ forms a basis of $\mathbf{U}_q^- \cap T_w\left(\mathbf{U}_q^{\geq 0}\right)$.*

(2) $\{F^{\mathrm{low}}(\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{i})\}_{\boldsymbol{c}\in\mathbb{Z}^{\ell}_{\geq 0}}$ *is an orthogonal basis of* $\mathbf{U}^{-}_{q}(w)$*, in fact we have*

$$(F^{\mathrm{low}}(\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{i}),F^{\mathrm{low}}(\boldsymbol{c}',\boldsymbol{i}))_{L}=\delta_{\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{c}'}\prod_{k=1}^{\ell}\prod_{j=1}^{c_k}(1-q_{i_k}^{2j})^{-1}. \tag{2.5}$$

In particular, $\{F^{\mathrm{up}}(\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{i})\}_{\boldsymbol{c}\in\mathbb{Z}^{\ell}_{\geq 0}}$ is also a basis of $\mathbf{U}^{-}_{q}(w)$. The basis $\{F^{\mathrm{low}}(\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{i})\}_{\boldsymbol{c}\in\mathbb{Z}^{\ell}_{\geq 0}}$ is called the (lower) Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt type basis associated with $\boldsymbol{i}\in I(w)$, and the basis $\{F^{\mathrm{up}}(\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{i})\}_{\boldsymbol{c}}$ is called the dual (or upper) Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt type basis.

Definition 2.44. For $w\in W$, we set

$$\mathbf{U}^{+}_{q}(w):=\left(\mathbf{U}^{-}_{q}(w)\right)^{\vee},$$
$$\mathbf{A}_{q}[N_{-}(w)]:=*\left(\mathbf{U}^{-}_{q}(w)\right).$$

We call $\mathbf{A}_{q}[N_{-}(w)]$ a quantum unipotent subgroup. The quantum unipotent subgroup has a Q_{-}-graded algebra structure induced from that of $\mathbf{U}^{-}_{q}$. Note that $\varphi(\mathbf{A}_{q}[N_{-}(w)])=\mathbf{U}^{+}_{q}(w)$.

Remark 2.45. In this paper, we regard $\mathbf{A}_{q}[N_{-}(w)]$ as a quantum analogue of the unipotent subgroup $N_{-}(w)$ associated with $w\in W$.

Proposition 2.46 ([Kim12, Theorem 4.25, Theorem 4.29])**.** *Let* $w\in W$ *and* $\boldsymbol{i}\in I(w)$.
(1) $\mathbf{U}^{-}_{q}(w)\cap\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{up}}$ *is a basis of* $\mathbf{U}^{-}_{q}(w)$.
(2) *every element* $G^{\mathrm{up}}(b)$ *of* $\mathbf{U}^{-}_{q}(w)\cap\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{up}}$ *satisfies the following conditions:*

(DCB1) $\sigma(G^{\mathrm{up}}(b))=G^{\mathrm{up}}(b)$*, and*
(DCB2) $G^{\mathrm{up}}(b)=F^{\mathrm{up}}(\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{i})+\sum_{\boldsymbol{c}'<\boldsymbol{c}}d^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{c}'}F^{\mathrm{up}}(\boldsymbol{c}',\boldsymbol{i})$ *with* $d^{\boldsymbol{i}}_{\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{c}'}\in q\mathbb{Z}[q]$ *for a unique* $\boldsymbol{c}\in\mathbb{Z}^{\ell}_{\geq 0}$.

Here $<$ *denotes the left lexicographic order on* $\mathbb{Z}^{\ell}_{\geq 0}$*, that is, we write* $(c_1,\dots,c_\ell)<(c'_1,\dots,c'_\ell)$ *if and only if there exists* $k\in\{1,\dots,\ell\}$ *such that* $c_1=c'_1,\dots,c_{k-1}=c'_{k-1}$ *and* $c_k<c'_k$.

Definition 2.47. Proposition 2.46 (2) says that $F^{\mathrm{up}}(\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{i})$ determines a unique dual canonical basis element $G^{\mathrm{up}}(b)$ in $\mathbf{U}^{-}_{q}(w)$. We write the corresponding element of $\mathscr{B}(\infty)$ as $b(\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{i})$. Then $\mathbf{U}^{-}_{q}(w)\cap\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{up}}=\{G^{\mathrm{up}}(b(\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{i}))\}_{\boldsymbol{c}\in\mathbb{Z}^{\ell}_{\geq 0}}$. Write $\mathscr{B}(\mathbf{U}^{-}_{q}(w)):=\{b(\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{i})\}_{\boldsymbol{c}\in\mathbb{Z}^{\ell}_{\geq 0}}$. Note that $\mathscr{B}(\mathbf{U}^{-}_{q}(w))$ does not depend on the choice of $\boldsymbol{i}\in I(w)$. Set $b_{-1}(\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{i}):=*(b(\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{i}))$. Then $\mathbf{A}_{q}[N_{-}(w)]\cap\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{up}}=\{G^{\mathrm{up}}(b_{-1}(\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{i}))\}_{\boldsymbol{c}\in\mathbb{Z}^{\ell}_{\geq 0}}$.

The following is the specialization result for the quantum unipotent subgroup. Hence, in this paper, we regard $\mathbf{A}_{q}[N_{-}(w)]$ as a quantum analogue of the unipotent subgroup $N_{-}(w)$ associated with $w\in W$.

Theorem 2.48 ([Kim12, Theorem 4.44])**.** *For* $w\in W$*, we set* $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]}[N_{-}(w)]:=\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]}[N_{-}]\cap\mathbf{A}_{q}[N_{-}(w)]$*. Then we have*

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]}[N_{-}(w)]\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbb{C}\simeq\mathbb{C}[N_{-}(w)].$$

Remark 2.49. In [Kim12], the $\mathcal{A}$-form $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]}[N_{-}(w)]$ is defined by the non-degenerate bilinear form $(-,-)_{K}$ on $\mathbf{U}^{-}_{q}(\mathfrak{g})$ with $(f_i,f_i)_{K}=1$ for $i\in I$. But this specialization result does not affect since the structure constants among the dual canonical bases defined using

$(-,-)_K$ and $(-,-)_L$ does not changed under the change of the non-degenerate bilinear forms. For more details, see [Kim12, Lemma 2.12].

2.7. **Quantum closed unipotent cells.** In this section, we review the definition of quantum closed unipotent cells. For more details, see [Kim12, Section 5].

Definition 2.50. Let $M = \bigoplus_{\mu\in P} M_\mu$ be a $\mathbf{U}_q$-module with weight space decomposition on which e_i and f_i act locally nilpotently for all $i \in I$. (Such a module is said to be integrable.) For $i \in I$, there exists a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-linear automorphism T_i of M given by

$$T_i(m) := \sum_{-a+b-c=\langle\mu,h_i\rangle} (-1)^b q_i^{-ac+b} e_i^{(a)} f_i^{(b)} e_i^{(c)}.m,$$
$$T_i^{-1}(m) = \sum_{a-b+c=\langle\mu,h_i\rangle} (-1)^b q_i^{ac-b} f_i^{(a)} e_i^{(b)} f_i^{(c)}.m$$

for $m \in M_\mu$, $\mu \in P$. The maps T_i and T_i^{-1} are denoted by $T_i = T''_{i,1}$ and $T_i = T'_{i,-1}$ respectively in [Lus10, Chapter 5].

The following propositions are fundamental properties of T_i. See, for example, [Lus10, Chapter 37, 39]:

Proposition 2.51. *Let M be an integrable $\mathbf{U}_q$-module. (See Definition 2.50.)* (1) *For $x \in \mathbf{U}_q$ and $m \in M$, we have $T_i(x.m) = T_i(x).T_i(m)$.*

(2) *For $w \in W$, the composition map $T_w := T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_\ell} \colon M \to M$ does not depend on the choice of $(i_1, \ldots, i_\ell) \in I(w)$.*

(3) *For $\mu \in P$ and $w \in W$, T_w maps M_μ to $M_{w\mu}$.*

Proposition 2.52. *Let $\lambda \in P_+$, $w \in W$ and $\boldsymbol{i} = (i_1, \ldots, i_\ell) \in I(w)$. Then we have*

$$u_{w\lambda} := (T_{w^{-1}})^{-1}(u_\lambda) = f_{i_1}^{(a_1)} f_{i_2}^{(a_2)} \ldots f_{i_\ell}^{(a_\ell)}.u_\lambda,$$

where $a_1 = \langle h_{i_1}, s_{i_2} \ldots s_{i_\ell}\lambda\rangle, \ldots, a_\ell = \langle h_{i_\ell}, \lambda\rangle$. Note that $a_1, \ldots, a_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Actually, the vector $u_{w\lambda}$ belongs to $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{low}}(\lambda)$ and $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{up}}(\lambda)$.

Definition 2.53. For $\lambda \in P_+$ and $w \in W$, we set $f_{w\lambda} := u^*_{w\lambda} \in V(\lambda)^*$. See Definition 2.30 for the definition of $u^*_{w\lambda}$.

Proposition 2.54 ([Kas93a, Proposition 3.2.3, 3.2.5])**.** (1) *For $\lambda \in P_+$, $w \in W$ and $\boldsymbol{i} = (i_1, \cdots, i_\ell) \in I(w)$, we set*

$$\mathscr{B}_w(\lambda) := \left\{ \widetilde{f}_{i_1}^{a_1} \cdots \widetilde{f}_{i_\ell}^{a_\ell} u_\lambda \mid \boldsymbol{a} = (a_1, \cdots, a_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\ell \right\} \setminus \{0\} \subset \mathscr{B}(\lambda).$$

Then we have

$$V_w(\lambda) := \mathbf{U}_q^+.u_{w\lambda} = \bigoplus_{b\in\mathscr{B}_w(\lambda)} \mathbb{Q}(q)\, G_\lambda^{\mathrm{low}}(b).$$

(2) *For $w \in W$ and $\boldsymbol{i} = (i_1, \cdots, i_\ell) \in I(w)$, we set*

$$\mathscr{B}_w(\infty) = \left\{ \widetilde{f}_{i_1}^{a_1} \cdots \widetilde{f}_{i_\ell}^{a_\ell} u_\infty \mid \boldsymbol{a} = (a_1, \cdots, a_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\ell \right\}$$

and $\mathbf{U}^-_{w,q} := \sum_{a_1,\cdots,a_\ell} \mathbb{Q}(q) f_{i_1}^{a_1} \cdots f_{i_\ell}^{a_\ell}$. *Then we have*

$$\mathbf{U}^-_{w,q} = \bigoplus_{b \in \mathscr{B}_w(\infty)} \mathbb{Q}(q) G^{\mathrm{low}}(b).$$

Remark 2.55. In fact, we have

$$\mathbf{U}^-_{w,\mathcal{A}} := \mathbf{U}^-_{w,q} \cap \mathbf{U}^-_{\mathcal{A}} = \bigoplus_{b \in \mathscr{B}_w(\infty)} \mathcal{A} G^{\mathrm{low}}(b).$$

Hence we have

$$\mathbf{U}^-_{w,\mathcal{A}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{U}^-_w,$$

where $\mathbf{U}^-_w := \sum_{a_1,\cdots,a_\ell} \mathbb{C} f_{i_1}^{a_1} \cdots f_{i_\ell}^{a_\ell} \subset \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{n}_-)$ for a reduced expression $\boldsymbol{i} \in I(w)$.

For more details on Demazure modules and its crystal basis, see Kashiwara [Kas93a].

Remark 2.56. We have

$$\bigcup_{\lambda \in P_+} \overline{\jmath}_\lambda (\mathscr{B}_w(\lambda)) = \mathscr{B}_w(\infty).$$

See also Theorem 2.69.

Definition 2.57 (quantum closed unipotent cells [Kim12, 5.1.3])**.** Let $w \in W$. Set

$$\left(\mathbf{U}^-_{w,q}\right)^\perp := \{x \in \mathbf{U}^-_q \mid (x, \mathbf{U}^-_{w,q})_L = 0\}.$$

Then, by $\Delta\left(\mathbf{U}^-_{w,q}\right) \subset \mathbf{U}^-_{w,q}\mathbf{U}^0_q \otimes \mathbf{U}^-_{w,q}$ and Lemma 2.24, $\left(\mathbf{U}^-_{w,q}\right)^\perp$ is a two-sided ideal of $\mathbf{U}^-_q$. Hence we obtain a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-algebra $\mathbf{U}^-_q / \left(\mathbf{U}^-_{w,q}\right)^\perp$, denoted by $\mathbf{A}_q[N_- \cap X_w]$ and called the *quantum closed unipotent cell*.

The quantum closed unipotent cell has a Q_--graded algebra structure induced from that of $\mathbf{U}^-_q$. Note that

$$\left(\mathbf{U}^-_{w,q}\right)^\perp = \bigoplus_{b \in \mathscr{B}(\infty) \setminus \mathscr{B}_w(\infty)} \mathbb{Q}(q) G^{\mathrm{up}}(b).$$

Describe the canonical projection $\mathbf{U}^-_q \to \mathbf{A}_q[N_- \cap X_w]$ as $x \mapsto [x]$. The element $[x]$ clearly depends on w, however, we omit to write w because it will cause no confusion below.

Remark 2.58. In [Kim12, 5.1.3], $\mathbf{A}_q[N_- \cap X_w]$ is denoted by $\mathcal{O}_q\left[\overline{N_w}\right]$. The notation $\mathbf{A}_q[N_- \cap X_w]$ is justified by the following specialization theorem.

Let us consider the intersection $N_- \cap X_w \subset G/B_+$. Since $N_- \subset G/B_+$ is an Zariski open immersion, $N_- \cap X_w \subset X_w$ is an open immersion and it is reduced and $N_- \cap X_w$ is a closed (affine) subscheme of N_-. It also coincides with the scheme-theoretic intersection. We have the following description of characterization of the coordinate ring of $N_- \cap X_w$, that is we describe the kernel of the quotient map $\mathbb{C}[N_-] \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{C}[N_- \cap X_w]$.

For a reduced expression $\boldsymbol{i} = (i_1, \cdots, i_\ell) \in I(w)$ of a Weyl group element$w \in W$, we consider a morphism $y_{\boldsymbol{i}} \colon \mathbb{A}^{\ell(w)} \to N_-$ defined by

$$y_{\boldsymbol{i}}(t_1, \cdots, t_\ell) := y_{i_1}(t_1) \ldots y_{i_\ell}(t_\ell).$$

We note that the associated ring homomorphism $y_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{*}\colon \mathbb{C}[N_-] \to \mathbb{C}\left[\mathbb{A}^{\ell(w)}\right] = \mathbb{C}[z_1, \cdots, z_\ell]$ is nothing but the (classical) Feigin map or Geiß-Leclerc-Schröer's φ-map (see Geiß-Leclerc-Schröer [GLS11, Section 6]).

Proposition 2.59. *For $w \in W$, we have isomorphisms of $\mathbb{C}$-algebras:*

$$\mathbb{C}[N_-] / \left(\mathbf{U}_w^-\right)^{\perp} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[N_- \cap X_w].$$

Proof. Let us consider the morphism $y_{\boldsymbol{i}}\colon \mathbb{A}^{\ell(w)} \to N_-$. It can be shown that the set-theoretic image of the morphism $y_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ is included in $N_- \cap X_w$ and set-theoretic image includes $N_- \cap \mathring{X}_w$. Since $\mathbb{A}^{\ell(w)}$ is reduced and the Zariski closure of $N_- \cap \mathring{X}_w$ is $N_- \cap X_w$, the scheme-theoretic image of $y_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ (into N_-) is $N_- \cap X_w$. The claim follows from the claim $(\mathbf{U}_w^-)^{\perp} = \{f \in \mathbb{C}[N_-] \mid y_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{*}(f) = 0\}$ which is clear from the definition of Geiß-Leclerc-Schröer's φ-map. □

The following is the specialization result for quantum closed unipotent cell.
We set the $\mathcal{A}$-form $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]}[N_- \cap X_w]$ of $\mathbf{A}_q[N_- \cap X_w]$ by

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]}[N_- \cap X_w] := \mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]}[N_-] / \left(\left(\mathbf{U}_{w,q}^-\right)^{\perp} \cap \mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]}[N_-]\right).$$

We note that we have

$$\left(\mathbf{U}_{w,q}^-\right)^{\perp} \cap \mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]}[N_-] = \bigoplus_{b \in \mathscr{B}(\infty) \setminus \mathscr{B}_w(\infty)} \mathcal{A} G^{\mathrm{up}}(b)$$

by the construction.

Theorem 2.60. *For $w \in W$, we have*

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]}[N_- \cap X_w] \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{C}[N_- \cap X_w].$$

Proof. Since $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]}[N_- \cap X_w]$ admits the projected dual canonical basis, $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]}[N_- \cap X_w]$ is free as $\mathcal{A}$-module, in particular it is flat over $\mathcal{A}$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]}[N_- \cap X_w] \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbb{C} &\simeq \frac{\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]}[N_-] \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbb{C}}{\left((\mathbf{U}_w^-)^{\perp} \cap \mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]}[N_-]\right) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbb{C}} \\ &= \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{n}_-)_{\mathrm{gr}}^{*} / \left(\mathbf{U}_w^-\right)^{\perp}.\end{aligned}$$

It suffices for us to prove that $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{n}_-)_{\mathrm{gr}}^{*} / (\mathbf{U}_w^-)^{\perp} \simeq \mathbb{C}[N_- \cap X_w]$. This is proved in the above Proposition. So we obtained the claim. □

2.8. **Unipotent quantum matrix coefficients.**

Definition 2.61 (Unipotent quantum matrix coefficients)**.** For $\lambda \in P_+$ and $u, u' \in V(\lambda)$, define the element $D_{u,u'} \in \mathbf{U}_q^-$ by

$$(D_{u,u'}, x)_L = (u, x.u')_{\lambda}^{\varphi}$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{U}_q^-$. We call an element of this form a unipotent quantum matrix coefficients. Note that $\mathrm{wt}\,(D_{u,u'}) = \mathrm{wt}\,u - \mathrm{wt}\,u'$ for weight vectors $u, u' \in V(\lambda)$. For $w, w' \in W$, we write

$$D_{w\lambda,w'\lambda} := D_{u_{w\lambda},u_{w'\lambda}},$$

which is called a unipotent quantum minor. See [Kim12, Section 6].

Definition 2.62. Let $\lambda \in P_+$. Define a surjective $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-linear map $\pi_{w\lambda}^\vee \colon \mathbf{U}_q^- \to V_w(\lambda)$ by

$$\pi_{w\lambda}^\vee(y) = (y)^\vee . u_{w\lambda}.$$

Proposition 2.63 ([Lus10, Proposition 25.2.6],[Kas94, 8.2.2 (iii), (iv)])**.** *Let $\lambda \in P_+$ and $w \in W$. Then there exists a surjective map $\pi_{w\lambda}^\vee \colon \mathscr{B}(\infty) \to \mathscr{B}_w(\lambda) \coprod \{0\}$ such that*

$$\pi_{w\lambda}^\vee(G^{\mathrm{low}}(b)) = G_\lambda^{\mathrm{low}}(\pi_{w\lambda}^\vee(b))$$

for $b \in \mathscr{B}(\infty)$, here $G_\lambda^{\mathrm{low}}(0) = 0$. Moreover, $\pi_{w\lambda}^\vee$ induces a bijection $(\pi_{w\lambda}^\vee)^{-1}(\mathscr{B}_w(\lambda)) \to \mathscr{B}_w(\lambda)$.

Definition 2.64. Let $\lambda \in P_+$ and $w \in W$. Define $j_{w\lambda}^\vee \colon V(\lambda)/V_w(\lambda)^\perp \hookrightarrow \mathbf{U}_q^-$ as the dual homomorphism of $\pi_{w\lambda}^\vee$ given by the non-degenerate bilinear forms $(\ ,\ )_\lambda^\varphi : V(\lambda) \times V(\lambda) \to \mathbb{Q}(q)$ and $(\ ,\ )_L : \mathbf{U}_q^- \times \mathbf{U}_q^- \to \mathbb{Q}(q)$, that is

$$(j_{w\lambda}^\vee(v), y)_L = (v, \pi_{w\lambda}^\vee(y))_\lambda^\varphi \,(= (v, y^\vee . u_{w\lambda})_\lambda^\varphi = (\varphi(y^\vee) . v, u_{w\lambda})_\lambda^\varphi).$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V(\lambda)/(V_w(\lambda))^\perp & \xrightarrow{j_{w\lambda}^\vee} & \mathbf{U}_q^- \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (V_w(\lambda))_{\mathrm{gr}}^* & \xrightarrow{(\pi_{w\lambda}^\vee)_{\mathrm{gr}}^*} & (\mathbf{U}_q^-)_{\mathrm{gr}}^* \end{array}$$

In the following, the map $V(\lambda) \to \mathbf{U}_q^-$ given by $v \mapsto j_{w\lambda}^\vee(p_w(v))$ is also denoted by $j_{w\lambda}^\vee$, here p_w denotes the canonical projection $V(\lambda) \to V(\lambda)/V_w(\lambda)^\perp$.

The following proposition immediately follows from Proposition 2.63.

Proposition 2.65. *Let $\lambda \in P_+$ and $w \in W$. Then there is an injective map $\overline{j}_{w\lambda}^\vee \colon \mathscr{B}_w(\lambda) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{B}(\infty)$ such that*

$$\left(G_\lambda^{\mathrm{up}}(b), G^{\mathrm{low}}(b')^\vee . u_{w\lambda}\right)_\lambda^\varphi = \delta_{b',\overline{j}_{w\lambda}^\vee(b)}$$

for any $b \in \mathscr{B}_w(\lambda)$ and $b' \in \mathscr{B}(\infty)$. That is, we have $j_{w\lambda}^\vee(G_\lambda^{\mathrm{up}}(b)) = G^{\mathrm{up}}(\overline{j}_{w\lambda}^\vee(b))$. .

Remark 2.66. Let $\lambda \in P_+$ and $w \in W$. Then,

- $\mathrm{wt}\,\overline{j}_{w\lambda}^\vee(b) = -\mathrm{wt}\,b + w\lambda$ for $b \in \mathscr{B}_w(\lambda)$, and
- $\overline{j}_{w\lambda}^\vee(\pi_{w\lambda}^\vee(b)) = b$ for $b \in (\pi_{w\lambda}^\vee)^{-1}(\mathscr{B}_w(\lambda))$.

Proposition 2.67. *Let $\lambda \in P_+$ and $w \in W$. Then the following hold:*

1. $D_{G_\lambda^{\mathrm{up}}(b),u_\lambda} = G^{\mathrm{up}}(\overline{j}_\lambda(b))$ *for all* $b \in \mathscr{B}(\lambda)$,
2. $D_{u_{w\lambda},G_\lambda^{\mathrm{up}}(b)} = G^{\mathrm{up}}(*\overline{j}_{w\lambda}^\vee(b))$ *for all* $b \in \mathscr{B}_w(\lambda)$, *and*
3. $D_{u_{w\lambda},G_\lambda^{\mathrm{up}}(b)} = 0$ *for all* $b \in \mathscr{B}(\lambda) \setminus \mathscr{B}_w(\lambda)$.

Proof. The equality (1) follows immediately by Proposition 2.33. For $y \in \mathbf{U}_q^-$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(D_{u_{w\lambda},G^{\mathrm{up}}_\lambda(b)}, y)_L &= (u_{w\lambda}, y.G^{\mathrm{up}}_\lambda(b))^\varphi_\lambda \\ &= (G^{\mathrm{up}}_\lambda(b), (*(y))^\vee.u_{w\lambda})^\varphi_\lambda \\ &= (G^{\mathrm{up}}(\overline{j}^\vee_{w\lambda}(b)), *(y))_L \\ &= (G^{\mathrm{up}}(*\overline{j}^\vee_{w\lambda}(b)), y)_L.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (2). The assertion (3) follows from the similar calculation and Proposition 2.54. □

Proposition 2.68 ([Kim12, Corollary 6.4])**.** *Let $w \in W$ and $\boldsymbol{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_\ell) \in I(w)$. For $i \in I$, define $\boldsymbol{n}^{(i)} = (n_1^{(i)}, \dots, n_\ell^{(i)}) \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell_{\geq 0}$ by*

$$n_k^{(i)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i_k = i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For $\lambda \in P_+$, set $\boldsymbol{n}^\lambda := \sum_{i\in I}\langle\lambda, h_i\rangle \boldsymbol{n}^{(i)}$. Then we have

$$D_{w\lambda,\lambda} = G^{\mathrm{up}}(b_{-1}(\boldsymbol{n}^\lambda, \boldsymbol{i})).$$

2.9. **Kumar-Peterson identity.** We investigate the map $\overline{j}^\vee_{w\lambda}$ a little more. Kumar and Peterson studied the identity which expresses the H-characters of the coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[X_w \cap U_v]$ of the intersection $X_w \cap U_v$ of Schubert varieties X_w and v-translates of the open cell U_v as the limit of a family of "twisted" characters of Demazure modules in general Kac-Moody Lie algebras, see Kumar [Kum02, Theorem 12.1.3]. In the special case with $v = w$, it reduces the case of Schubert cells, that is we have $X_w \cap U_v = \mathring{X}_w$ (see Kumar [Kum02, Lemma 7.3.10]) and the following bijection can be considered as a crystalized Kumar-Peterson identity.

Theorem 2.69. *We have*

$$\bigcup_{\lambda\in P_+} \overline{j}^\vee_{w\lambda}(\mathscr{B}_w(\lambda)) = \mathscr{B}\left(\mathbf{U}_q^-(w)\right).$$

The rest of this subsection is devoted to the proof of Theorem 2.69.

Lemma 2.70 ([KO16, Lemma 3.17])**.** *For $w \in W$, let $\mathbf{U}_q^-(w)^\perp$ be the orthogonal complement of $\mathbf{U}_q^-(w)$ with respect to $(-,-)_L$. We have an isomorphism as $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-vector spaces:*

$$\mathbf{U}_q^-(w) \otimes \left(\mathbf{U}_q^- \cap T_w\mathbf{U}_q^- \cap \mathrm{Ker}(\varepsilon)\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \left(\mathbf{U}_q^-(w)\right)^\perp \subset \mathbf{U}_q^-$$

under the multiplication $\mathbf{U}_q^-(w) \otimes \left(\mathbf{U}_q^- \cap T_w\mathbf{U}_q^-\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{U}_q^-$.

Lemma 2.71. *For $y \in \mathbf{U}_q^-(w)^\perp$, we have $y^\vee.u_{w\lambda} = 0$ for all $\lambda \in P_+$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.70, we write $y = \sum y_{(1)}y_{(2)}$ with $y_{(1)} \in \mathbf{U}_q^-(w)$ and homogeneous elements $y_{(2)} \in \mathbf{U}_q^- \cap T_w\mathbf{U}_q^- \cap \mathrm{Ker}(\varepsilon)$. Then we have

$$(y)^\vee.u_{w\lambda} = (T_{w^{-1}})^{-1}\left(\sum T_{w^{-1}}\left(y^\vee_{(1)}\right)T_{w^{-1}}\left(y^\vee_{(2)}\right).u_\lambda\right) = 0$$

because $\mathrm{wt}\left(T_{w^{-1}}\left(y_{(2)}^{\vee}\right)\right) \in Q_+ \setminus \{0\}$. □

Proposition 2.72. *We have*

$$\bigcup_{\lambda \in P_+} \overline{j}_{w\lambda}^{\vee}\left(\mathscr{B}_w\left(\lambda\right)\right) \subset \mathscr{B}\left(\mathbf{U}_q^-\left(w\right)\right).$$

Proof. Let $\pi\left(w\right) : \mathbf{U}_q^- \to \mathbf{U}_q^-$ be the orthogonal projection with respect to $\mathbf{U}_q^- = \mathbf{U}_q^-\left(w\right) \oplus \mathbf{U}_q^-\left(w\right)^{\perp}$. Since $\mathbf{U}_q^-\left(w\right)^{\perp} \cap \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{low}}$ is a basis of $\mathbf{U}_q^-\left(w\right)^{\perp}$ by Proposition 2.46, we note that $\pi\left(w\right)\left(G^{\mathrm{low}}\left(b\right)\right) \neq 0$ if and only if $b \in \mathscr{B}\left(\mathbf{U}_q^-\left(w\right)\right)$ for $b \in \mathscr{B}\left(\infty\right)$. Let $b \in \bigcup_{\lambda \in P_+} \overline{j}_{w\lambda}^{\vee}\left(\mathscr{B}_w\left(\lambda\right)\right)$. Then there exists $\lambda \in P_+$ such that $\left(G^{\mathrm{low}}\left(b\right)\right)^{\vee}.u_{w\lambda} \neq 0$. By Proposition 2.71, we have

$$\left(G^{\mathrm{low}}\left(b\right)\right)^{\vee}.u_{w\lambda} = \left(\pi\left(w\right)\left(G^{\mathrm{low}}\left(b\right)\right)\right)^{\vee}.u_{w\lambda}.$$

In particular, we have $\pi\left(w\right)\left(G^{\mathrm{low}}\left(b\right)\right) \neq 0$. This completes the proof. □

We prove the opposite inclusion.

Proposition 2.73. *We have*

$$\mathscr{B}\left(\mathbf{U}_q^-\left(w\right)\right) \subset \bigcup_{\lambda \in P_+} \overline{j}_{w\lambda}^{\vee}\left(\mathscr{B}_w\left(\lambda\right)\right).$$

Proof. Let $b \in \mathscr{B}\left(\mathbf{U}_q^-\left(w\right)\right)$, that is $0 \neq \pi\left(w\right)\left(G^{\mathrm{low}}\left(b\right)\right) \in \mathbf{U}_q^-\left(w\right)$. (See the proof of Proposition 2.72.) By Proposition 2.63 and Remark 2.66, it suffices to show that $G^{\mathrm{low}}\left(b\right)^{\vee}.u_{w\lambda} = \left(\pi\left(w\right)\left(G^{\mathrm{low}}\left(b\right)\right)\right)^{\vee}.u_{w\lambda} \neq 0$ for some $\lambda \in P_+$. Note that $\left(\pi\left(w\right)\left(G^{\mathrm{low}}\left(b\right)\right)\right)^{\vee}.u_{w\lambda} \neq 0$ is equivalent to $\overline{\left(\pi\left(w\right)\left(G^{\mathrm{low}}\left(b\right)\right)\right)}^{\vee}.u_{w\lambda} \neq 0$.

By the way, we have

$$\overline{y}^{\vee}.u_{w\lambda} = \left(T_{w^{-1}}\right)^{-1}\left(\left(T_{w^{-1}} \circ \vee \circ \overline{}\right)\left(y\right).u_{\lambda}\right) = \left(T_{w^{-1}}\right)^{-1}\left(\left(\vee \circ \overline{} \circ T_w^{-1}\right)\left(y\right).u_{\lambda}\right).$$

Since $y_0 := \pi\left(w\right)\left(G^{\mathrm{low}}\left(b\right)\right) \in \mathbf{U}_q^- \cap T_w\mathbf{U}_q^{\geq 0}$, we have $\left(\vee \circ \overline{} \circ T_w^{-1}\right)\left(y_0\right) \in \mathbf{U}_q^{\leq 0}$. It is well-known that, for $\xi \in Q_-$, there exists an element $\lambda \in P_+$ such that the projection $\left(\mathbf{U}_q^-\right)_{\xi} \to V\left(\lambda\right)_{\xi+\lambda}$ given by $y \mapsto y.u_{\lambda}$ is an isomorphism of vector space. Hence it can be shown that there exists $\lambda \in P_+$ such that $\left(\vee \circ \overline{} \circ T_w^{-1}\right)\left(y_0\right).u_{\lambda} \neq 0$. □

3. Quantum unipotent cell and the De Concini-Procesi isomorphism

Let $N_- \to G/B_+$ be the open immersion defined by $n_- \mapsto n_-B_+$.

Definition 3.1. For $w \in W$, we set

$$N_-^w := N_- \cap \mathring{X}_w \subset G/B_+,$$

N_-^w is called the *unipotent cell*.

In this section, we introduce quantum unipotent cells $\mathbf{A}_q\left[N_-^w\right]$ following De Concini-Procesi and show their isomorphism in general. Also we introduce the dual canonical bases of the quantum unipotent cells. At first, we recall the descriptions of the coordinate ring of $\mathbb{C}\left[N_-^w\right]$ and $\mathbb{C}\left[N_-\left(w\right)\cap wG_0\right]$, where $N_-^w = N_-\cap \mathring{X}_w$.

For $\lambda \in P$, we define a line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X\left(\lambda\right)$ as follows. We denote the composite

$$B_+ \to H \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mathbb{G}_m$$

by $b \mapsto b^\lambda$ for $b \in B_+$. Let $\mathcal{O}_X\left(\lambda\right) = G\times^{B_+}\mathbb{C}_\lambda \to G/B_+$ be the associated invertible sheaf on G/B_+. For $\lambda \in P_+$, the generalized minor $\Delta_{w\lambda,\lambda}$ is considered as a section of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X\left(\lambda\right)$. That is defined by

$$\Delta_{w\lambda,\lambda}\left(g\right) = \left(u_{w\lambda}, gu_\lambda\right)_\lambda.$$

Also $D_{w\lambda,\lambda}$ be the restriction to G_0 and U_e.

By Corollary 2.15, we have

$$wG_0 = \left\{g\in G \mid \Delta_{w\lambda,\lambda}\left(g\right)\neq 0\right\}.$$

We have

$$\mathbb{C}\left[N_-\left(w\right)\cap wG_0\right] = \mathbb{C}\left[N_-\left(w\right)\right]\left[D_{w\lambda,\lambda}^{-1} \mid \lambda\in P_+\right].$$

We have the following recognizing criterion of the point in the Schubert cells in the Schubert variety in terms of (unipotent) minors $\Delta_{w\lambda,\lambda}$ (resp. $D_{w\lambda,\lambda}$). It is proved by Williams [Wil13, Lemma 4.15] in the (minimal) Kac-Moody group setting. Though we are treating in the Kashiwara's flag scheme, since we are studying finite Schubert varieties, so it reduces to the study in the (minimal) Kac-Moody group setting.

Lemma 3.2. *A point gB_+ on the Schubert variety X_w belongs to the Schubert cell $\mathring{X}_w$ if and only if $\Delta_{w\lambda,\lambda}\left(gB_+\right)\neq 0$ for $\lambda\in P_+$.*

Since N_-^w is also reduced, the set-theoretic intersection $N_-\cap\mathring{X}_w$ coincides with the scheme-theoretic intersection, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3. *For $w\in W$, we have isomorphisms of $\mathbb{C}$-algebras:*

$$\left(\mathbb{C}\left[N_-\right]/\left(\mathbf{U}_w^-\right)^\perp\right)\left[\left[D_{w\lambda,\lambda}\right]_w^{-1} \mid \lambda\in P_+\right] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}\left[N_-^w\right].$$

where $\left[D_{w\lambda,\lambda}\right]_w = D_{w\lambda,\lambda}|_{N_-\cap X_w}\colon N_-\cap X_w\to\mathbb{C}$ is the restriction to $N_-\cap X_w$.

We obtain the following by combining the result above with Geiß-Leclerc-Schörer [GLS11, Proposition 8.5].

Corollary 3.4. *For $w\in W$, we have isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}$-algebras:*

$$\mathbb{C}\left[N_-\left(w\right)\cap wG_0\right]\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathbb{C}\left[N_-^w\right],$$

which is induced by localizing the homomorphism $\mathbb{C}\left[N_-\left(w\right)\right]\hookrightarrow\mathbb{C}\left[N_-\right]\twoheadrightarrow\mathbb{C}\left[N_-\cap X_w\right]$ with respect to $\left\{D_{w\lambda,\lambda}\mid\lambda\in P_+\right\}$.

The De Concini-Procesi isomorphism is a quantum analogue of the above isomorphism.

3.1. **Quantum unipotent cells.** To define the quantum unipotent cells, we use the localizations of $\mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w)]$ and $\mathbf{A}_q[N_- \cap X_w]$. We recall the Ore properties of the unipotent quantum minors.

We prove the following proposition (Proposition 3.6) and theorem (Theorem 4.21) simultaneously.

The following is the multiplicative property of the dual canonical bases with respect to the unipotent quantum minors.

Theorem 3.5 ([Kim12, Theorem 6.24, Theorem 6.25])**.** *Let $w \in W$.*

(1) *For $\lambda \in P_+$ and $b \in \mathscr{B}_w(\infty)$, there exists $b' \in \mathscr{B}_w(\infty)$ such that*

$$q^{-(\lambda, \operatorname{wt} b)}[D_{w\lambda,\lambda}][G^{\mathrm{up}}(b)] = [G^{\mathrm{up}}(b')].$$

(2) *For $\lambda \in P_+$, $\boldsymbol{i} \in I(w)$ and $\boldsymbol{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\ell(w)}$, we have*

$$q^{-(\lambda, \operatorname{wt} b_{-1}(\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{i}))} D_{w\lambda,\lambda} G^{\mathrm{up}}(b_{-1}(\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{i})) = G^{\mathrm{up}}(b_{-1}(\boldsymbol{c}+\boldsymbol{n}^{\lambda},\boldsymbol{i})),$$

where $\boldsymbol{n}^{\lambda}$ is defined as in Proposition 2.68.

As a corollary, we obtain the following q-central property. Recall Proposition 2.26.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $w \in W$ and set $\mathcal{D}_w := q^{\mathbb{Z}}\{D_{w\lambda,\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in P_+}$. Then the sets $\mathcal{D}_w$ and $[\mathcal{D}_w]$ are Ore sets of $\mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w)]$ and $\mathbf{A}_q[N_- \cap X_w]$ respectively consisting of q-central elements. More explicitly, for $\lambda, \lambda' \in P_+$ and homogeneous elements $x \in \mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w)]$, $y \in \mathbf{A}_q[N_- \cap X_w]$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} q^{-(\lambda, w\lambda' - \lambda')} D_{w\lambda,\lambda} D_{w\lambda',\lambda'} &= D_{w(\lambda+\lambda'),\lambda+\lambda'} \\ D_{w\lambda,\lambda} x &= q^{(\lambda + w\lambda, \operatorname{wt} x)} x D_{w\lambda,\lambda} \text{ in } \mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w)], \text{ and} \\ [D_{w\lambda,\lambda}][y] &= q^{(\lambda+w\lambda, \operatorname{wt} y)}[y][D_{w\lambda,\lambda}] \text{ in } \mathbf{A}_q[N_- \cap X_w]. \end{aligned}$$

Using the Proposition 3.6, we obtain the definition of quantum unipotent cells.

Definition 3.7. For $w \in W$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w) \cap \dot{w}G_0] &:= \mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w)]\left[\mathcal{D}_w^{-1}\right], \\ \mathbf{A}_q\left[N_-^w\right] &:= \mathbf{A}_q[N_- \cap X_w]\left[[\mathcal{D}_w]^{-1}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Those algebras have Q-graded algebra structures in an obvious way. The algebra $\mathbf{A}_q\left[N_-^w\right]$ is called a quantum unipotent cell.

Remark 3.8. We note that the notations $\mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w) \cap \dot{w}G_0]$ and $\mathbf{A}_q\left[N_-^w\right]$ will be justified after proving the existence of the dual canonical bases of that.

3.2. **Dual canonical bases of quantum unipotent cells.** In this subsection, we define the dual canonical bases of quantum unipotent cells using localization and the "multiplicative property" of the dual canonical bases of $\mathbf{A}_q[N_-^w]$ and $\mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w) \cap \dot{w}G_0]$.

Proposition 3.9. *Let $w \in W$ and $\boldsymbol{i} \in I(w)$. Then the following hold:*
(1) *The subset*

$$\{q^{(\lambda,\operatorname{wt} b+\lambda-w\lambda)}[D_{w\lambda,\lambda}]^{-1}[G^{\mathrm{up}}(b)] \mid \lambda \in P_+, b \in \mathscr{B}_w(\infty)\}$$

of $\mathbf{A}_q[N_-^w]$ forms a basis of $\mathbf{A}_q[N_-^w]$.
(2) *The subset*

$$\{q^{(\lambda,\operatorname{wt} b_{-1}(\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{i})+\lambda-w\lambda)}D_{w\lambda,\lambda}^{-1}G^{\mathrm{up}}(b_{-1}(\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{i})) \mid \lambda \in P_+, \boldsymbol{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\ell(w)}\}$$

of $\mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w) \cap \dot{w}G_0]$ forms a basis of $\mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w) \cap \dot{w}G_0]$.

Proof. We prove only (1). The assertion (2) is proved in the same manner. The given subset obviously spans the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-vector space $\mathbf{A}_q[N_-^w]$. Hence it remains to show that this set is a linearly independent set. For $(\lambda, b), (\lambda', b') \in P_+ \times \mathscr{B}_w(\infty)$, write $(\lambda, b) \sim (\lambda', b')$ if and only if $q^{(\lambda,\operatorname{wt} b+\lambda-w\lambda)}[D_{w\lambda,\lambda}]^{-1}[G^{\mathrm{up}}(b)] = q^{(\lambda',\operatorname{wt} b'+\lambda'-w\lambda')}[D_{w\lambda',\lambda'}]^{-1}[G^{\mathrm{up}}(b')]$. The relation $\sim$ is clearly an equivalence relation, and we take a complete set F of coset representatives of $(P_+ \times \mathscr{B}_w(\infty))/\sim$.

Suppose that there exists a finite subset $F' \subset F$ and $a_{\lambda,b} \in \mathbb{Q}(b)$ $((\lambda, b) \in F')$ such that $\sum_{(\lambda,b)\in F'} q^{(\lambda,\operatorname{wt} b+\lambda-w\lambda)}a_{\lambda,b}[D_{w\lambda,\lambda}]^{-1}[G^{\mathrm{up}}(b)] = 0$. There exists $\lambda_0 \in P_+$ such that $\lambda_0 - \lambda \in P_+$ for all $\lambda \in P_+$ such that $(\lambda, b) \in F'$ for some $b \in \mathscr{B}_w(\infty)$. Now the equality $\sum_{(\lambda,b)\in F'} q^{(\lambda,\operatorname{wt} b+\lambda-w\lambda)}a_{\lambda,b}[D_{w\lambda,\lambda}]^{-1}[G^{\mathrm{up}}(b)] = 0$ is equivalent to the equality

$$[D_{w\lambda_0,\lambda_0}]\left(\sum_{(\lambda,b)\in F'} q^{(\lambda,\operatorname{wt} b+\lambda-w\lambda)}a_{\lambda,b}[D_{w\lambda,\lambda}]^{-1}[G^{\mathrm{up}}(b)]\right) = 0. \tag{3.1}$$

By Proposition 3.6 and Proposition 3.5, for $(\lambda, b) \in F'$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}[D_{w\lambda_0,\lambda_0}]\left(q^{(\lambda,\operatorname{wt} b+\lambda-w\lambda)}[D_{w\lambda,\lambda}]^{-1}[G^{\mathrm{up}}(b)]\right) &= q^{-(\lambda_0-\lambda,w\lambda-\lambda)+(\lambda,\operatorname{wt} b+\lambda-w\lambda)}[D_{w(\lambda_0-\lambda),(\lambda_0-\lambda)}][G^{\mathrm{up}}(b)] \\ &= q^{(\lambda_0,\operatorname{wt} b+\lambda-w\lambda)}[G^{\mathrm{up}}(b^{(\lambda_0-\lambda)})]\end{aligned}$$

for some $b^{(\lambda_0-\lambda)} \in \mathscr{B}_w(\infty)$. Note that $\operatorname{wt} b + \lambda - w\lambda = \operatorname{wt} b^{(\lambda_0-\lambda)} - \operatorname{wt} D_{w\lambda_0,\lambda_0}$. Therefore if $b^{(\lambda_0-\lambda)} = (b')^{(\lambda_0-\lambda')}$ for $(\lambda, b), (\lambda', b') \in F'$ then we have the equality

$$[D_{w\lambda_0,\lambda_0}]\left(q^{(\lambda,\operatorname{wt} b+\lambda-w\lambda)}[D_{w\lambda,\lambda}]^{-1}[G^{\mathrm{up}}(b)]\right) = [D_{w\lambda_0,\lambda_0}]\left(q^{(\lambda',\operatorname{wt} b'+\lambda'-w\lambda')}[D_{w\lambda',\lambda'}]^{-1}[G^{\mathrm{up}}(b')]\right),$$

hence $(\lambda, b) = (\lambda', b')$. Thus (3.1) implies $a_{\lambda,b} = 0$ for all $(\lambda, b) \in F'$. This completes the proof. □

Definition 3.10. Let $w \in W$. We call

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathrm{up},w} &:= \{q^{(\lambda,\operatorname{wt} b+\lambda-w\lambda)}[D_{w\lambda,\lambda}]^{-1}[G^{\mathrm{up}}(b)] \mid \lambda \in P_+, b \in \mathscr{B}_w(\infty)\}, \text{ and} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathrm{up}}(w) &:= \{q^{(\lambda,\operatorname{wt} b_{-1}(\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{i})+\lambda-w\lambda)}D_{w\lambda,\lambda}^{-1}G^{\mathrm{up}}(b_{-1}(\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{i})) \mid \lambda \in P_+, \boldsymbol{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\ell(w)}\}\end{aligned}$$

the dual canonical bases of $\mathbf{A}_q[N_-^w]$ and $\mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w) \cap \dot{w}G_0]$, respectively.

For $\lambda \in P$, there exist $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in P_+$ such that $\lambda = -\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$. Set

$$D_{w,\lambda} := q^{(\lambda_1,w\lambda-\lambda)}D_{w\lambda_1,\lambda_1}^{-1}D_{w\lambda_2,\lambda_2} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathrm{up}}(w).$$

Then $D_{w,\lambda}$ does not depend on the choice of $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in P_+$ by Proposition 3.9. Note that $\operatorname{wt} D_{w,\lambda} = w\lambda - \lambda$.

The following is straightforwardly proved by Proposition 3.6.

Proposition 3.11. *Let $w \in W$ and $\lambda, \lambda' \in P_+$. Then the following hold:*

(1) $D_{w,\lambda} = q^{(\lambda, w\lambda_1 - \lambda_1)} D_{w\lambda_2,\lambda_2} D^{-1}_{w\lambda_1,\lambda_1}$ *for $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in P_+$ with $\lambda = -\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$.*
(2) $D_{w,\lambda} D_{w,\lambda'} = q^{(\lambda, w\lambda' - \lambda')} D_{w,\lambda+\lambda'}$. *In particular, $D^{-1}_{w,\lambda} = q^{(\lambda, w\lambda - \lambda)} D_{w,-\lambda}$.*
(3) $D_{w,\lambda} x = q^{(\lambda + w\lambda, \operatorname{wt} x)} x D_{w,\lambda}$ *for $\lambda \in P_+$ and a homogeneous element $x \in \mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w) \cap \dot{w}G_0]$.*

Remark 3.12. By using Proposition 3.5 (2), we can parametrize explicitly the elements of $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathrm{up}}(w)$. Fix $\boldsymbol{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_\ell) \in I(w)$. An element $\boldsymbol{c} \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell_{\geq 0}$ is said to have gaps if $\min\{c_k \mid i_k = i\} = 0$ for all $i \in I$. Then, by Propositions 3.5 (2) and 3.9 (2), we obtain the non-overlapping parametrization of the elements of $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathrm{up}}(w)$ as follows:

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathrm{up}}(w) = \{q^{-(\lambda, \operatorname{wt} b_{-1}(\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{i}))} D_{w,\lambda} G^{\mathrm{up}}(b_{-1}(\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{i})) \mid \lambda \in P, \boldsymbol{c} \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell_{\geq 0} \text{ has gaps}\}.$$

We define the dual bar involutions on $\mathbf{A}_q[N_-^w]$ and $\mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w) \cap \dot{w}G_0]$, which are useful when we study the dual canonical bases.

Proposition 3.13. *The following hold:*

(1) *The twisted dual bar involution σ' induces $\mathbb{Q}$-anti-algebra involutions $\mathbf{A}_q[N_- \cap X(w)] \to \mathbf{A}_q[N_- \cap X(w)]$ and $\mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w)] \to \mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w)]$. See Definition 2.27 for the definition of σ'. Moreover these maps are extended to $\mathbb{Q}$-anti-algebra involutions $\sigma' \colon \mathbf{A}_q\left[N_-^w\right] \to \mathbf{A}_q\left[N_-^w\right]$ and $\sigma' \colon \mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w) \cap \dot{w}G_0] \to \mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w) \cap \dot{w}G_0]$.*

(2) *Define a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-linear isomorphism $c_{\mathrm{tw}} \colon \mathbf{A}_q[N_-^w] \to \mathbf{A}_q[N_-^w]$ (resp. $\mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w) \cap \dot{w}G_0] \to \mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w) \cap \dot{w}G_0]$) by*

$$x \mapsto q^{(\operatorname{wt} x, \operatorname{wt} x)/2 - (\operatorname{wt} x, \rho)} x$$

for every homogeneous element $x \in \mathbf{A}_q[N_-^w]$ (resp. $x \in \mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w) \cap \dot{w}G_0]$).

Set $\sigma := c_{\mathrm{tw}} \circ \sigma'$. Then for homogeneous elements $x, y \in \mathbf{A}_q[N_-^w]$ (resp. $\mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w) \cap \dot{w}G_0]$) we have

$$\sigma(xy) = q^{(\operatorname{wt} x, \operatorname{wt} y)} \sigma(y)\sigma(x). \tag{3.2}$$

Moreover the elements of the dual canonical bases $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathrm{up},w}$ and $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathrm{up}}(w)$ are fixed by σ.

Definition 3.14. The $\mathbb{Q}$-linear isomorphisms σ and $\sigma' \colon \mathbf{A}_q[N_-^w] \to \mathbf{A}_q[N_-^w], \mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w) \cap \dot{w}G_0] \to \mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w) \cap \dot{w}G_0]$ defined in Proposition 3.13 will be also called the dual bar involution and the twisted dual bar involution, respectively.

Proof of Proposition 3.13. Recall that $\sigma'(G^{\mathrm{up}}(b)) = q^{-(\operatorname{wt} b, \operatorname{wt} b)/2 + (\operatorname{wt} b, \rho)} G^{\mathrm{up}}(b)$ for all $b \in \mathscr{B}(\infty)$. See Remark 2.28. Hence (1) follows from the compatibility of the algebras $\mathbf{A}_q[N_- \cap X(w)]$, $\mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w)]$ and the dual canonical basis (Definition 2.47, Definition 2.57), and the universality of localization [GW89, Proposition 6.3]. A direct calculation immediately

shows the equality 3.2. For $\lambda \in P_+$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \sigma(D_{w\lambda,\lambda} D_{w\lambda,\lambda}^{-1}) \\ &= q^{-(w\lambda-\lambda,w\lambda-\lambda)} \sigma(D_{w\lambda,\lambda}^{-1}) \sigma(D_{w\lambda,\lambda}) \\ &= q^{2(\lambda,w\lambda-\lambda)} \sigma(D_{w\lambda,\lambda}^{-1}) D_{w\lambda,\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

in $\mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w) \cap \dot{w} G_0]$. Hence

$$\sigma(D_{w\lambda,\lambda}^{-1}) = q^{-2(\lambda,w\lambda-\lambda)} D_{w\lambda,\lambda}^{-1}.$$

Let $b \in \mathscr{B}_w(\infty)$. Then, by Proposition 3.6 and the equality above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma(q^{(\lambda,\mathrm{wt}\, b+\lambda-w\lambda)} [D_{w\lambda,\lambda}]^{-1} [G^{\mathrm{up}}(b)]) \\ &= q^{-(\lambda,\mathrm{wt}\, b+\lambda-w\lambda)+(\lambda-w\lambda,\mathrm{wt}\, b)} \sigma([G^{\mathrm{up}}(b)]) \sigma([D_{w\lambda,\lambda}]^{-1}) \\ &= q^{-(\lambda,\mathrm{wt}\, b+\lambda-w\lambda)+(\lambda-w\lambda,\mathrm{wt}\, b)-2(\lambda,w\lambda-\lambda)} [G^{\mathrm{up}}(b)] [D_{w\lambda,\lambda}]^{-1} \\ &= q^{-(\lambda,\mathrm{wt}\, b+\lambda-w\lambda)+(\lambda-w\lambda,\mathrm{wt}\, b)-2(\lambda,w\lambda-\lambda)+(\lambda+w\lambda,\mathrm{wt}\, b)} [D_{w\lambda,\lambda}]^{-1} [G^{\mathrm{up}}(b)] \\ &= q^{(\lambda,\mathrm{wt}\, b+\lambda-w\lambda)} [D_{w\lambda,\lambda}]^{-1} [G^{\mathrm{up}}(b)]. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the dual bar invariance property for $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathrm{up},w}$. The assertion for $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathrm{up}}(w)$ is proved in the same manner. □

As a corollary of the existence of the dual canonical bases of $\mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w) \cap wG_0]$ and $\mathbf{A}_q\left[N_-^w\right]$, we have the following specialization theorem.

Corollary 3.15. *Let $w \in W$*

(1) *Let $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]}[N_-(w) \cap wG_0]$ be the free $\mathcal{A}$-module spanned by $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathrm{up}}(w)$. Then it is a $\mathcal{A}$-subalgebra of $\mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w) \cap wG_0]$ and we have an isomorphism*

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]}[N_-(w) \cap wG_0] \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{C}[N_-(w) \cap wG_0]$$

as $\mathbb{C}$-algebras.

(2) *Let $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]}\left[N_-^w\right]$ be the free $\mathcal{A}$-module spanned by $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathrm{up},w}$. Then it is a $\mathcal{A}$-subalgebra of $\mathbf{A}_q\left[N_-^w\right]$ and we have an isomorphism*

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]}\left[N_-^w\right] \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{C}\left[N_-^w\right]$$

as $\mathbb{C}$-algebras.

3.3. **De Concini-Procesi isomorphism.** In this subsection, we prove the De Concini-Procesi isomorphism.

3.3.1. In this subsection, we give a proof of a generalization of the De Concini-Procesi isomorphism between $\mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w)]$ and $\mathbf{A}_q[N_- \cap X_w]$ using theory of canonical bases and specialization.

Proposition 3.16 ([Kim12, Theorem 5.13])**.** *Let $w \in W$. Define $\iota_w \colon \mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w)] \to \mathbf{A}_q[N_- \cap X(w)]$ as a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-algebra homomorphisms induced from the canonical projection $\mathbf{U}_q^- \to \mathbf{A}_q[N_- \cap X(w)]$. Recall Definition 2.44 and 2.57. Then ι_w is injective, or equivalently, $*(\mathscr{B}(\mathbf{U}_q^-(w))) \subset \mathscr{B}_w(\infty)$.*

The following is a generalization of the De Concini-Procesi isomorphism for general symmetrizable Kac-Moody Lie algebras.

Theorem 3.17. *Let $w \in W$. Then ι_w induces an isomorphism;*

$$\iota_w \colon \mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w) \cap \dot{w}G_0] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{A}_q[N_-^w].$$

Proof. The map ι_w in Proposition 3.16 induces an injective algebra homomorphism $\iota_w \colon \mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w)] \to \mathbf{A}_q[N_-^w]$. Since this map sends $D_{w\lambda,\lambda}$ to $[D_{w\lambda,\lambda}]$ for $\lambda \in P_+$, it is extended to the injective algebra homomorphism

$$\iota_w \colon \mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w) \cap \dot{w}G_0] = \mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w)][\mathcal{D}_w^{-1}] \to \mathbf{A}_q[N_-^w] \tag{3.3}$$

by the universality of localization. It follows immediately from the definition of dual canonical bases and Proposition 3.16 that ι_w induces an injective map from $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathrm{up}}(w)$ to $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathrm{up},w}$. Therefore the map (3.3) is an isomorphism if and only if the (well-defined) map

$$\iota_w \mid_{q=1} \colon \mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]}[N_-(w) \cap \dot{w}G_0] \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbb{C} \to \mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]}[N_-^w] \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbb{C} \tag{3.4}$$

is an isomorphism. Through the isomorphisms in Corollary 3.15, the map $\iota_w \mid_{q=1}$ coincides with the map in Corollary 3.4 by definition of ι_w; hence it is an isomorphism. This completes the proof. □

4. Quantum twist isomorphisms

In this section, we construct the quantum twist isomorphisms between $\mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w) \cap wG_0]$ and $\mathbf{A}_q\big[N_-^w\big]$ (see Theorem 4.21) and define the quantum twist automorphisms on $\mathbf{A}_q\big[N_-^w\big]$ as a composite of the quantum twist isomorphism and the De Concini-Procesi isomorphism.

4.1. Quantized coordinate algebras.

In this subsection, we give a brief review on the quantized coordinate rings. For more details, see [Jos95, Chapter 9, 10].

Definition 4.1. Let M be a $\mathbf{U}_q$-module. For $f \in M^*$ and $u \in M$, define a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-linear map $c_{f,u}^M \in \mathbf{U}_q^*$ given by

$$x \mapsto \langle f, x.u \rangle$$

for $x \in \mathbf{U}_q$. When $M = V(\lambda)$ ($\lambda \in P_+$), we abbreviate $c_{f,u}^{V(\lambda)}$ to $c_{f,u}^{\lambda}$. For $w, w' \in W$ and $\lambda \in P_+$, we write

$$c_{w\lambda,w'\lambda}^{\lambda} := c_{f_{w\lambda},u_{w'\lambda}}^{\lambda}.$$

Definition 4.2. Let M be a $\mathbf{U}_q$-module. For $\mu \in P$, we set

$$M_\mu := \{m \in M \mid q^h.m = q^{\langle \mu,h \rangle} m \text{ for all } h \in P^*\}.$$

For a $\mathbf{U}_q$-module $M = \bigoplus_{\mu \in P} M_\mu$ with weight space decomposition, we write its graded dual $\bigoplus_{\mu \in P} M_\mu^*$ as $M^\star$. Note that $M^\star$ is a right $\mathbf{U}_q$-module. For $\lambda \in P_+$, $V(\lambda)^\star$ is a integrable highest weight right $\mathbf{U}_q$-module with highest weight λ. Note that $V(\lambda)^\star = \{u^* \mid u \in V(\lambda)\}$.

Definition 4.3. The quantized coordinate algebra $\mathbf{R}_q$ associated with $\mathbf{U}_q$ is the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-vector subspace of $\mathbf{U}_q^*$ spanned by the elements

$$\left\{c_{f,u}^{\lambda} \mid f \in V(\lambda)^{\star}, u \in V(\lambda) \text{ and } \lambda \in P_+\right\}.$$

Then $\mathbf{R}_q$ is a subalgebra of $\mathbf{U}_q^*$, and isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{\lambda\in P_+} V(\lambda)^{\star}\otimes V(\lambda)$ as a $\mathbf{U}_q$-bimodule. Note that the algebra structure of $\mathbf{U}_q^*$ is induced from the coalgebra structure of $\mathbf{U}_q$. See [Kas93b, Chapter 7].

Definition 4.4. Let $v, w \in W$ and $\lambda \in P_+$. Set

$$\mathbf{R}_q^{w(+)}(\lambda) := \{c_{f,u_{w\lambda}}^{\lambda} \mid f \in V(\lambda)^{\star}\},\ \mathbf{R}_q^{w(+)} := \sum_{\lambda'\in P_+} \mathbf{R}_q^{w(+)}(\lambda') \subset \mathbf{R}_q,$$

$$\mathbf{Q}_v^{w(+)}(\lambda) := \{c_{f,u_{w\lambda}}^{\lambda} \mid f \in V(\lambda)^{\star},\ \langle f, \mathbf{U}_q^+.u_{v\lambda}\rangle = 0\},\ \mathbf{Q}_v^{w(+)} := \sum_{\lambda'\in P_+} \mathbf{Q}_v^{w(+)}(\lambda') \subset \mathbf{R}_q.$$

When $w = e$, we write $\mathbf{R}_q^{e(+)}$ (resp. $\mathbf{Q}_v^{e(+)}$) as $\mathbf{R}_q^{+}$ (resp. $\mathbf{Q}_u^{+}$). It is easy to show that, for all $w \in W$, $\mathbf{R}_q^{w(+)}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathbf{R}_q$, and isomorphic to $\mathbf{R}_q^+$ as algebras via $c_{f,u_{w\lambda}}^{\lambda} \mapsto c_{f,u_{\lambda}}^{\lambda}$. See, for example, [Tan15, Chapter 3]. Moreover, for all $v, w \in W$, $\mathbf{Q}_v^{w(+)}$ is a two-sided ideal of $\mathbf{R}_q^{w(+)}$, and the above isomorphism induces the isomorphism from $\mathbf{R}_q^{w(+)}/\mathbf{Q}_v^{w(+)}$ to $\mathbf{R}_q^+/\mathbf{Q}_v^+$.

4.2. **Other descriptions of quantum unipotent subgroups and quantum unipotent cells.** In this subsection, we describe the algebras, quantum unipotent subgroups and quantum unipotent cells, by using the quantized coordinate algebra $\mathbf{R}_q$. The following descriptions are essentially shown in [Jos95, 9.1.7], [Yak10, Theorem 3.7]. However, we state them again emphasizing the terms of dual canonical bases. Actually, we can now prove each statement immediately.

Notation 4.5. Let $v, w \in W$. By abuse of notation, we describe the canonical projection $\mathbf{R}_q^{w(+)} \to \mathbf{R}_q^{w(+)}/\mathbf{Q}_v^{w(+)}$ as $c \mapsto [c]$.

Definition 4.6. As a bridge between quantized enveloping algebras and quantized coordinate algebras, we consider the following two linear maps:

$$\Phi\colon \check{\mathbf{U}}_q^{\leq 0} \to (\mathbf{U}_q^{\leq 0})^*,\ y_1 \mapsto (y_2 \mapsto (\psi(y_1), y_2)_D),$$
$$\Phi^+\colon \check{\mathbf{U}}_q^{\geq 0} \to (\mathbf{U}_q^{\geq 0})^*,\ x_1 \mapsto (x_2 \mapsto (x_1, \psi(x_2))_D).$$

By the properties of the Drinfeld pairing, Φ is the injective algebra homomorphism and Φ^+ is the injective anti-algebra homomorphism.

Definition 4.7. Let $\lambda \in P_+$. Set

$$\mathbf{U}_q^-(\lambda) := j_{\lambda}(V(\lambda)) = \sum\nolimits_{b\in\mathscr{B}(\lambda)} \mathbb{Q}(q) G^{\mathrm{up}}(\overline{j}_{\lambda}(b)).$$

The following propositions follow from the nondegeneracy of the Drinfeld pairing, Lemma 2.24 and Proposition 2.67.

Proposition 4.8. *The restriction map* $\mathbf{U}_q^* \to (\mathbf{U}_q^{\leq 0})^*$ *induces the injective algebra homomorphism* $r_{\leq 0}\colon \mathbf{R}_q^+ \to (\mathbf{U}_q^{\leq 0})^*$, *and* $\operatorname{Im} r_{\leq 0} \subset \operatorname{Im} \Phi$. *Moreover the well-defined* $\mathbb{Q}(q)$*-algebra homomorphism* $\mathbf{R}_q^+ \to \check{\mathbf{U}}_q^{\leq 0}$, $c \mapsto (\Phi^{-1} \circ r_{\leq 0})(c)$ *induces the* $\mathbb{Q}(q)$*-algebra isomorphism* $\mathcal{I}\colon \mathbf{R}_q^+ \to \sum_{\lambda \in P_+} \mathbf{U}_q^-(\lambda) q^{-\lambda}$.

Proposition 4.9. *For* $\lambda \in P_+$ *and* $b \in \mathscr{B}(\lambda)$, *we have*

$$\mathcal{I}\left(c^{\lambda}_{G^{\mathrm{up}}_{\lambda}(b)^*, u_\lambda}\right) = G^{\mathrm{up}}\left(\overline{\jmath}_\lambda(b)\right) q^{-\lambda} = D_{G^{\mathrm{up}}_{\lambda}(b), u_\lambda} q^{-\lambda}.$$

In particular, we have

$$\mathcal{I}\left(\mathbf{Q}_w^+(\lambda)\right) = \sum\nolimits_{b \in \mathscr{B}(\lambda) \setminus \mathscr{B}_w(\lambda)} \mathbb{Q}(q) G^{\mathrm{up}}\left(\overline{\jmath}_\lambda(b)\right) q^{-\lambda}.$$

Definition 4.10. An element z of $\mathbf{R}_q^+$ (resp. $\mathbf{R}_q^+/\mathbf{Q}_w^+$) is said to be q-central if, for every weight vectors $f \in V(\lambda)^\star$ and $\lambda \in P_+$, there exists $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$z c^{\lambda}_{f,u_\lambda} = q^l c^{\lambda}_{f,u_\lambda} z \quad (\text{resp. } z[c^{\lambda}_{f,u_\lambda}] = q^l [c^{\lambda}_{f,u_\lambda}] z).$$

Corollary 4.11. *The set* $\{c^{\lambda}_{\lambda,\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in P_+}$ *is an Ore set in* $\mathbf{R}_q^+$ *consisting of* q*-central elements. In particular,* $\mathcal{S} := \{[c^{\lambda}_{\lambda,\lambda}]\}_{\lambda \in P_+}$ *is an Ore set in* $\mathbf{R}_q^+/\mathbf{Q}_w^+$ *consisting of* q*-central elements.*

By Corollary 4.11, we can consider the algebra $(\mathbf{R}_q^+/\mathbf{Q}_w^+)[\mathcal{S}^{-1}]$. Proposition 4.8 and 4.9 together with Remark 2.56 immediately imply the following proposition. This gives the description of $\mathbf{A}_q[N_- \cap X(w)]$ in terms of the quantized coordinate algebra $\mathbf{R}_q$. This kind of description appears in [Jos95, 9.1.7].

Proposition 4.12. *Let* $w \in W$. *Set* $\mathbf{A}_q[N_- \cap X(w)]^{\mathrm{ex}} := \check{\mathbf{U}}_q^{\leq 0}/(\mathbf{U}_w^-)^{\perp}\check{\mathbf{U}}_q^0$. *Note that* $(\mathbf{U}_w^-)^{\perp}\check{\mathbf{U}}_q^0$ *is a two-sided ideal of* $\check{\mathbf{U}}_q^{\leq 0}$. *Then the* $\mathbb{Q}(q)$*-algebra isomorphism* $\mathcal{I}$ *induces the* $\mathbb{Q}(q)$*-algebra isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{I}_w\colon \left(\mathbf{R}_q^+/\mathbf{Q}_w^+\right)[\mathcal{S}^{-1}] \to \mathbf{A}_q[N_- \cap X(w)]^{\mathrm{ex}}.$$

Moreover the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$*-algebra* $\sum_{\lambda \in P_+} \left(\mathbf{R}_q^+(\lambda)/\mathbf{Q}_w^+\right)[c^{\lambda}_{\lambda,\lambda}]^{-1} (\subset \left(\mathbf{R}_q^+/\mathbf{Q}_w^+\right)[\mathcal{S}^{-1}])$ *is isomorphic to* $\mathbf{A}_q[N_- \cap X(w)]$.

Next, we study the quantum unipotent subgroups via the quantized coordinate rings following Joseph and Yakimov.

Next we consider the algebra $\mathbf{R}_q^{w(+)}/\mathbf{Q}_w^{w(+)}$, which is isomorphic to $\mathbf{R}_q^+/\mathbf{Q}_w^+$. See Definition 4.4.

Definition 4.13. Let $w \in W$ and $\lambda \in P_+$. Set

$$\mathbf{U}_q^+(w,\lambda) := \left(j^\vee_{w\lambda}\left(V(\lambda)/V_w(\lambda)^{\perp}\right)\right)^\vee = \sum\nolimits_{b \in \mathscr{B}_w(\lambda)} \mathbb{Q}(q) G^{\mathrm{up}}\left(\overline{\jmath}^\vee_{w\lambda}(b)\right)^\vee.$$

The following proposition follows again from the nondegeneracy of the Drinfeld pairing, the equality (2.2), Lemma 2.24, Proposition 2.36 and Proposition 2.67.

Proposition 4.14. *Let $w \in W$. The restriction map $\mathbf{U}_q^* \to (\mathbf{U}_q^{\geq 0})^*$ induces the algebra homomorphism $r_{\geq 0}^w \colon \mathbf{R}_q^{w(+)} \to (\mathbf{U}_q^{\geq 0})^*$, and it satisfies $\mathrm{Ker}(r_{\geq 0}^w) = \mathbf{Q}_w^{w(+)}$ and $\mathrm{Im}\, r_{\geq 0}^w \subset \mathrm{Im}\, \Phi^+$. Hence $r_{\geq 0}^w$ induces the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-algebra isomorphism $\overline{r}_{\geq 0}^w \colon \mathbf{R}_q^{w(+)}/\mathbf{Q}_w^{w(+)} \to \mathrm{Im}\, r_{\geq 0}^w$. Moreover we have an well-defined anti-algebra isomorphism $\mathcal{I}_w^+ \colon \mathbf{R}_q^+/\mathbf{Q}_w^+ \to \sum_{\lambda \in P_+} \mathbf{U}_q^+(w,\lambda) q^{-w\lambda}$ given by $[c_{f,u_\lambda}^\lambda] \mapsto \left((\Phi^+)^{-1} \circ \overline{r}_{\geq 0}^w\right)\left([c_{f,u_{w\lambda}}^\lambda]\right)$ for $f \in V(\lambda)^\star$, $\lambda \in P_+$. We have*

$$\mathcal{I}_w^+ \left([c_{G_\lambda^{\mathrm{up}}(b)^*, u_\lambda}^\lambda] \right) = G^{\mathrm{up}} \left(\overline{\jmath}_{w\lambda}^\vee (b) \right)^\vee q^{-w\lambda} = \varphi(D_{u_{w\lambda}, G_\lambda^{\mathrm{up}}(b)}) q^{-w\lambda}$$

for $b \in \mathscr{B}_w(\lambda)$.

Corollary 4.15. *The set $\mathcal{S}_w := \{[c_{w\lambda,\lambda}^\lambda]\}_{\lambda \in P_+}$ is an Ore set in $\mathbf{R}_q^+/\mathbf{Q}_w^+$ consisting of q-central elements.*

Remark 4.16. The description in Proposition 4.14 implies that the algebra $\mathbf{R}_q^+/\mathbf{Q}_w^+$ has no zero divisors.

By Corollary 4.15, we can consider the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-algebra $(\mathbf{R}_q^+/\mathbf{Q}_w^+)[\mathcal{S}_w^{-1}]$. Proposition 4.14 immediately implies the following proposition. This gives the description of $\mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w)]$ in terms of the quantized coordinate algebra $\mathbf{R}_q$. This kind of description appears in [Yak10, Theorem 3.7].

Proposition 4.17. *Let $w \in W$. Then $\mathcal{I}_w^+$ induces the anti-algebra isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{I}_w^+ \colon \left(\mathbf{R}_q^+/\mathbf{Q}_w^+\right)[\mathcal{S}_w^{-1}] \to \mathbf{U}_q^+(w)\check{\mathbf{U}}_q^0.$$

Moreover the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-algebra $\sum_{\lambda \in P_+} \left(\mathbf{R}_q^+(\lambda)/\mathbf{Q}_w^+\right)[c_{w\lambda,\lambda}^\lambda]^{-1} (\subset \left(\mathbf{R}_q^+/\mathbf{Q}_w^+\right)[\mathcal{S}_w^{-1}])$ is anti-isomorphic to $\mathbf{U}_q^+(w)$, and is isomorphic to $\mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w)]$ via φ.

Proof. It suffices to show that $\sum_{\lambda \in P_+} \mathbf{U}_q^+(w,\lambda) = \mathbf{U}_q^+(w)$. This follows from Theorem 2.69. □

4.3. **Quantum twist isomorphisms and dual canonical bases.**

Notation 4.18. Let $\mathbf{V}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}]$-module. For a subset $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathbf{V}$, write $q^{\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{M} := \{q^k m \mid m \in \mathcal{M}, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

The following lemma easily follows from Corollary 4.11 and 4.15. See also [GW89, Proposition 6.3]. This localization is important in the proof of Theorem 4.21.

Lemma 4.19. *Let $w \in W$. Then the set $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_w := q^{\mathbb{Z}}\{[c_{w\lambda,\lambda}^\lambda c_{\lambda',\lambda'}^{\lambda'}]\}_{\lambda,\lambda' \in P_+}$ is an Ore set in $\mathbf{R}_q^+/\mathbf{Q}_w^+$ consisting of q-central elements.*

Moreover the maps $(\mathbf{R}_q^+/\mathbf{Q}_w^+)[\mathcal{S}^{-1}] \to (\mathbf{R}_q^+/\mathbf{Q}_w^+)[\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_w^{-1}]$, $[c_{f,u_\lambda}^\lambda][c_{\lambda',\lambda'}^{\lambda'}]^{-1} \mapsto [c_{f,u_\lambda}^\lambda][c_{\lambda',\lambda'}^{\lambda'}]^{-1}$ and $(\mathbf{R}_q^+/\mathbf{Q}_w^+)[\mathcal{S}_w^{-1}] \to (\mathbf{R}_q^+/\mathbf{Q}_w^+)[\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_w^{-1}]$, $[c_{f,u_\lambda}^\lambda][c_{w\lambda',\lambda'}^{\lambda'}]^{-1} \mapsto [c_{f,u_\lambda}^\lambda][c_{w\lambda',\lambda'}^{\lambda'}]^{-1}$ are injective $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-algebra homomorphisms.

Remark 4.20.

Theorem 4.21. *There exists an isomorphism of the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-algebras*

$$\gamma_{w,q}\colon \mathbf{A}_q[N_-^w] \to \mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w) \cap \dot{w}G_0]$$

given by

$$[D_{u,u_\lambda}] \mapsto q^{-(\lambda,\mathrm{wt}\, u-\lambda)} D^{-1}_{w\lambda,\lambda} D_{u_{w\lambda},u} \qquad\qquad [D_{w\lambda,\lambda}]^{-1} \mapsto q^{(\lambda,w\lambda-\lambda)} D_{w\lambda,\lambda}$$

for a weight vector $u \in V(\lambda)$ and $\lambda \in P_+$.

Definition 4.22. We call $\gamma_{w,q}$ a quantum twist isomorphism. See also Proposition 5.3 below.

Proof of Proposition 3.6 and Theorem 4.21. By Proposition 4.12 (see also Proposition 4.9), we have the algebra isomorphism

$$\mathbf{A}_q[N_- \cap X(w)] \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}_w^{-1}} \sum_{\lambda\in P_+} \left(\mathbf{R}_q^+(\lambda)/\mathbf{Q}_w^+\right)[c^\lambda_{\lambda,\lambda}]^{-1} \tag{4.1}$$

given by

$$[D_{u,u_\lambda}] \mapsto [c^\lambda_{u^*,u_\lambda}][c^\lambda_{\lambda,\lambda}]^{-1} \tag{4.2}$$

for $\lambda \in P_+$ and $u \in V(\lambda)$. In particular, $\mathcal{I}_w^{-1}([D_{w\lambda,\lambda}]) = [c^\lambda_{w\lambda,\lambda}][c^\lambda_{\lambda,\lambda}]^{-1}$.

By Lemma 4.19, $\sum_{\lambda\in P_+}\left(\mathbf{R}_q^+(\lambda)/\mathbf{Q}_w^+\right)[c^\lambda_{\lambda,\lambda}]^{-1}$ is naturally regarded as a subalgebra of $(\mathbf{R}_q^+/\mathbf{Q}_w^+)[\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_w^{-1}]$, and in the latter algebra, the set $q^{\mathbb{Z}}\{[c^\lambda_{w\lambda,\lambda}][c^\lambda_{\lambda,\lambda}]^{-1}\}_{\lambda\in P_+}$ is a multiplicative set consisting of invertible q-central elements. Hence the algebra isomorphism (4.1) is extended to the algebra isomorphism

$$\mathcal{J}_1\colon \mathbf{A}_q[N_-^w] \to \sum_{\substack{\lambda,\lambda',\lambda''\in P_+\\ \lambda=\lambda'+\lambda''}} \left(\mathbf{R}_q^+(\lambda)/\mathbf{Q}_w^+\right)[c^{\lambda'}_{\lambda',\lambda'} c^{\lambda''}_{w\lambda'',\lambda''}]^{-1}. \tag{4.3}$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 4.17 (see also Proposition 4.14), we have an algebra isomorphism

$$\sum_{\lambda\in P_+}\left(\mathbf{R}_q^+(\lambda)/\mathbf{Q}_w^+\right)[c^\lambda_{w\lambda,\lambda}]^{-1} \xrightarrow{\varphi\circ\mathcal{I}_w^+} \mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w)], \tag{4.4}$$

given by

$$[c^\lambda_{w\lambda,\lambda}]^{-1}[c^\lambda_{u^*,u_\lambda}] \mapsto D_{u_{w\lambda},u} \tag{4.5}$$

for $\lambda \in P_+$ and $u \in V(\lambda)$. In particular, $(\varphi\circ\mathcal{I}_w^+)([c^\lambda_{w\lambda,\lambda}]^{-1}[c^\lambda_{\lambda,\lambda}]) = D_{w\lambda,\lambda}$.

As above, the set $q^{\mathbb{Z}}\{[c^\lambda_{w\lambda,\lambda}]^{-1}[c^\lambda_{\lambda,\lambda}]\}_{\lambda\in P_+}$ is a multiplicative set consisting of invertible q-central elements of $(\mathbf{A}_q^+/\mathbf{Q}_w^+)[\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_w^{-1}]$. Hence the algebra isomorphism (4.4) is extended to the algebra isomorphism

$$\mathcal{J}_2\colon \sum_{\substack{\lambda,\lambda',\lambda''\in P_+\\ \lambda=\lambda'+\lambda''}} \left(\mathbf{R}_q^+(\lambda)/\mathbf{Q}_w^+\right)[c^{\lambda'}_{\lambda',\lambda'} c^{\lambda''}_{w\lambda'',\lambda''}]^{-1} \to \mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w)\cap \dot{w}G_0]. \tag{4.6}$$

By (4.3) and (4.6), we obtain the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-algebra isomorphism

$$\gamma_{w,q} := \mathcal{J}_2 \circ \mathcal{J}_1 \colon \mathbf{A}_q[N_-^w] \to \mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w) \cap \dot{w}G_0].$$

Moreover, for $\lambda \in P_+$ and a weight vector $u \in V(\lambda)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{w,q}(D_{u,u_\lambda}) &= \mathcal{J}_2([c^\lambda_{u^*,u_\lambda}][c^\lambda_{\lambda,\lambda}]^{-1}) \text{ by (4.2)},\\
&= \mathcal{J}_2(q^{-(\lambda,\mathrm{wt}\, u-\lambda)}[c^\lambda_{\lambda,\lambda}]^{-1}[c^\lambda_{u^*,u_\lambda}])\\
&= \mathcal{J}_2(q^{-(\lambda,\mathrm{wt}\, u-\lambda)}[c^\lambda_{\lambda,\lambda}]^{-1}[c^\lambda_{w\lambda,\lambda}][c^\lambda_{w\lambda,\lambda}]^{-1}[c^\lambda_{u^*,u_\lambda}])\\
&= q^{-(\lambda,\mathrm{wt}\, u-\lambda)} D^{-1}_{w\lambda,\lambda} D_{u_{w\lambda,u}} \text{ by (4.5)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &= \gamma_{w,q}([D_{w\lambda,\lambda}][D_{w\lambda,\lambda}]^{-1})\\
&= q^{-(\lambda,w\lambda-\lambda)} D^{-1}_{w\lambda,\lambda} \gamma_{w,q}([D_{w\lambda,\lambda}]^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\gamma_{w,q}([D_{w\lambda,\lambda}]^{-1}) = q^{(\lambda,w\lambda-\lambda)} D_{w\lambda,\lambda}.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

The quantum twist isomorphism $\gamma_{w,q}$ is compatible with the dual canonical bases:

Theorem 4.23. *Let $w \in W$. Then the quantum twist isomorphism $\gamma_{w,q} \colon \mathbf{A}_q[N_-^w] \to \mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w) \cap \dot{w}G_0]$ is restricted to the bijection $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathrm{up},w} \to \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathrm{up}}(w)$ given by*

$$q^{(\lambda,\mathrm{wt}(\overline{\jmath}_{\lambda'}(b))+\lambda-w\lambda)}[D_{w\lambda,\lambda}]^{-1}[G^{\mathrm{up}}(\overline{\jmath}_{\lambda'}(b))] \mapsto q^{-(\lambda-\lambda',\mathrm{wt}(*\overline{\jmath}^\vee_{w\lambda'}(b)))} D_{w,\lambda-\lambda'} G^{\mathrm{up}}(*\overline{\jmath}^\vee_{w\lambda'}(b))$$

for $\lambda, \lambda' \in P_+, b \in \mathscr{B}_w(\lambda')$. In particular, $\gamma_{w,q}([D_{w,\lambda}]) = D_{w,-\lambda}$ for $\lambda \in P$, and $\gamma_{w,q} \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ \gamma_{w,q}$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.67, for $\lambda, \lambda' \in P_+$ and $b \in \mathscr{B}_w(\lambda')$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\gamma_{w,q}(q^{(\lambda,\mathrm{wt}(\overline{\jmath}_{\lambda'}(b))+\lambda-w\lambda)}[D_{w\lambda,\lambda}]^{-1}[G^{\mathrm{up}}(\overline{\jmath}_{\lambda'}(b))])\\
&= \gamma_{w,q}(q^{(\lambda,\mathrm{wt}\, b-\lambda'+\lambda-w\lambda)}[D_{w\lambda,\lambda}]^{-1}[D_{G^{\mathrm{up}}_{\lambda'}(b),u_{\lambda'}}])\\
&= q^{(\lambda,\mathrm{wt}\, b-\lambda'+\lambda-w\lambda)}(q^{(\lambda,w\lambda-\lambda)} D_{w\lambda,\lambda})(q^{-(\lambda',\mathrm{wt}\, b-\lambda')} D^{-1}_{w\lambda',\lambda'} D_{u_{w\lambda'},G^{\mathrm{up}}_{\lambda'}(b)})\\
&= q^{-(\lambda-\lambda',\mathrm{wt}(*\overline{\jmath}^\vee_{w\lambda'}(b)))} D_{w,\lambda-\lambda'} G^{\mathrm{up}}(*\overline{\jmath}^\vee_{w\lambda'}(b)).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

5. Twist automorphisms on quantum unipotent cells

5.1. In this subsection, we recall the (classical) twist automorphism following Berenstein-Zelevinsky and Geiss-Leclerc-Schröer.

Following Berenstein-Zelevinsky and Geiß-Leclerc-Schröer, we introduce the twist automorphisms on the unipotent cells.

Definition 5.1. For $w \in W$, let $O_w = N_- \cap wG_0$. We define a map $\tilde{\gamma}_w \colon O_w \to N_-$ by

$$\tilde{\gamma}_w(z) = \left[z^T \overline{w}\right]_-.$$

The following is proved by Berenstein-Zelevinsky [BZ97] (see also Geiß-Leclerc-Schröer [GLS11, Proposition 8.4]).

Proposition 5.2. *The following properties hold:*
(1) *The map* $\tilde{\gamma}_w \colon N_- \cap wG_0 \to N_-$ *defined by* $\tilde{\gamma}_w(z) = \left[z^T \overline{w}\right]_-$ *is a morphism between schemes.*
(2) *The image of* $\tilde{\gamma}_w$ *is* N_-^w.
(3) *The restriction* $\gamma_w := \tilde{\gamma}_w|_{N_-(w)\cap O_w} \colon N_-(w) \cap \dot{w}G_0 \to N_-^w$ *is a bijection.*
(4) *We have* $N_-^w \subset wG_0$ *and* $\eta_w := \gamma_w \circ \pi_w \colon N_-^w \to N_-^w$ *is an automorphism, where* $\pi_w \colon N_- \to N_-(w)$ *is the projection for the isomorphism* $(N_- \cap wN_-w^{-1}) \times N_-(w) \xrightarrow{\sim} N_-$ *given by multiplication.*

We describe the classical twist map $\tilde{\gamma}_w$ in terms of matrix coefficients.

Proposition 5.3. *For* $w \in W$, $\lambda \in P_+$ *and* $u \in V(\lambda)$ *, we have*

$$\tilde{\gamma}_w^*\left(D_{u,u_\lambda}\right) = D^{-1}_{u_{w\lambda},u_\lambda} D_{u_{w\lambda},u},$$

where $u_{w\lambda} = \overline{w}u_\lambda$.

Proof. We compute the value of functions. For $z \in N_-(w) \cap O_w$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \tilde{\gamma}_w^*\left(D_{u,u_\lambda}\right), z\rangle &= \left(u, \tilde{\gamma}_w(z)\, u_\lambda\right)_\lambda = \left(u, \left[z^T\overline{w}\right]_- u_\lambda\right)_\lambda \\
&= \left(u, z^T\overline{w}u_\lambda\right)_\lambda / \left(u_\lambda, \left[z^T\overline{w}\right]_0 u_\lambda\right)_\lambda \\
&= \left(u, z^T\overline{w}u_\lambda\right)_\lambda / \left(u_\lambda, z^T\overline{w}u_\lambda\right)_\lambda \\
&= \left(zu, u_{w\lambda}\right)_\lambda / \left(zu_\lambda, u_{w\lambda}\right)_\lambda .
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtained the claim. □

5.2. **Definition of quantum twist automorphisms.** We now obtain the twist automorphisms on quantum unipotent cells.

Theorem 5.4. *Let* $w \in W$. *Then there exist* $\mathbb{Q}(q)$*-algebra automorphisms;*

$$\eta_{w,q} := \iota_w \circ \gamma_{w,q} \colon \mathbf{A}_q[N_-^w] \to \mathbf{A}_q[N_-^w]$$

given by

$$[D_{u,u_\lambda}] \mapsto q^{-(\lambda, \operatorname{wt} u - \lambda)}[D_{w\lambda,\lambda}]^{-1}[D_{u_{w\lambda},u}] \qquad [D_{w\lambda,\lambda}]^{-1} \mapsto q^{(\lambda, w\lambda-\lambda)}[D_{w\lambda,\lambda}]$$

for a weight vector $u \in V(\lambda)$ *and* $\lambda \in P_+$.

In particular, $\operatorname{wt}\eta_{w,q}([x]) = -\operatorname{wt}[x]$ *for homogeneous elements* $[x] \in \mathbf{A}_q[N_-^w]$.

Moreover $\eta_{w,q}$ *is restricted to a permutation on the dual canonical bases* $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathrm{up},w}$. *In particular,* $\eta_{w,q}$ *commutes with the dual bar involution* σ, *and* $\eta_{w,q}([D_{w,\lambda}]) = [D_{w,-\lambda}]$ *for* $\lambda \in P_+$.

The following follows from the theorem above and Proposition 5.3.

Corollary 5.5. *Let $w \in W$. Then the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-algebra automorphism $\eta_{w,q}\colon \mathbf{A}_q[N_-^w] \to \mathbf{A}_q[N_-^w]$ induces a $\mathcal{A}$-algebra automorphism $\eta_{w,\mathcal{A}}\colon \mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]}[N_-^w] \to \mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]}[N_-^w]$ and a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra automorphism*

$$\eta_{w,q}\mid_{q=1}\colon \mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]}[N_-^w] \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbb{C} \to \mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}]}[N_-^w] \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbb{C}.$$

Moreover, through the isomorphism in Corollary 3.15, the automorphism $\eta_{w,q}\mid_{q=1}$ coincides with η_w^*.

Definition 5.6. Let $w \in W$. Then we call the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-algebra automorphism $\eta_{w,q}\colon \mathbf{A}_q[N_-^w] \to \mathbf{A}_q[N_-^w]$ a twist automorphism on the quantum unipotent cell N_-^w.

Remark 5.7. In order to apply quantum twist automorphisms to a dual canonical basis element $[G^{\mathrm{up}}(\tilde{b})]$, $\tilde{b} \in \mathscr{B}(\infty)$, we have to find $\lambda \in P_+$ and $b \in \mathscr{B}(\lambda)$ such that $G^{\mathrm{up}}(\tilde{b}) = D_{G^{\mathrm{up}}_\lambda(b),u_\lambda} = G^{\mathrm{up}}(\overline{\jmath}_\lambda(b))$. By Proposition 2.38, we can take λ as $\lambda_{\tilde{b}} := \sum_{i\in I} \varepsilon_i^*(\tilde{b})\varpi_i$. Note that $\lambda_{\tilde{b}}$ is "minimal" in an appropriate sense.

6. Quantum twist automorphism and quantum cluster algebras

In this section, we consider an additive categorification of the twist automorphism $\eta_{w,q}$ on a quantum unipotent cell $\mathbf{A}_q[N_-^w]$ in the sense of Geiß-Leclerc-Schröer. When $\mathfrak{g}$ is symmetric, Geiß-Leclerc-Schröer [GLS12] obtained a categorification of the twist automorphism η_w^* on the coordinate algebra of a unipotent cell N_-^w (Proposition 6.18). They used subcategories $\mathcal{C}_w$, introduced by Buan-Iyama-Reiten-Scott [BIRS09], of the module category of the preprojective algebra Π corresponding to the Dynkin diagram for $\mathfrak{g}$. Geiß-Leclerc-Schröer [GLS13] have also shown that the quantum unipotent subgroup $\mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w)]$ is isomorphic to a certain quantum cluster algebra $\mathscr{A}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathcal{C}_w)$, which is determined by data of $\mathcal{C}_w$ (Proposition 6.13). Combining these results, we obtain a categorification of the twist automorphism $\eta_{w,q}$ (Theorem 6.19). See also Corollary 6.20.

In this section, we always assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ is symmetric. We may assume that $(\alpha_i, \alpha_i) = 2$ for all $i \in I$. Note that $q_i = q$ for all $i \in I$.

Notation 6.1. Henceforth, write $I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ for the later convenience. For $m, m' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $m \leq m'$, set $[m, m'] := \{k \in \mathbb{Z} \mid m \leq k \leq m'\}$. In particular, $I = [1, n]$.

6.1. Quantum cluster algebras.

In this subsection, we briefly review quantum cluster algebras. The main references are [BZ05] and [GLS13].

Definition 6.2. Let ℓ be a positive integer such that $n \leq \ell$. Let $\Lambda = (\lambda_{ij})_{i,j\in[1,\ell]}$ be a skew-symmetric integer matrix. The skew-symmetric integer matrix Λ determines a skew-symmetric $\mathbb{Z}$-bilinear form $\mathbb{Z}^\ell \times \mathbb{Z}^\ell \to \mathbb{Z}$ by $\Lambda(\boldsymbol{e}_i, \boldsymbol{e}_j) = \lambda_{ij}$ for $i, j \in [1, \ell]$, denoted also by Λ. Here $\{\boldsymbol{e}_i \mid i \in [1, \ell]\}$ denotes the standard basis of $\mathbb{Z}^\ell$. *The based quantum torus $\mathcal{T}(= \mathcal{T}(\Lambda))$ associated with Λ* is the $\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1/2}]$-algebra defined as follows; as a $\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1/2}]$-module $\mathcal{T}$ is free and has a $\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1/2}]$-basis $\{X^{\boldsymbol{a}} \mid \boldsymbol{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell\}$. The multiplication is defined by

$$X^{\boldsymbol{a}} X^{\boldsymbol{b}} = q^{\Lambda(a,b)/2} X^{\boldsymbol{a}+\boldsymbol{b}}$$

for $\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell$. Then

- $\mathcal{T}$ is an associative algebra,
- $X^{\boldsymbol{a}}X^{\boldsymbol{b}} = q^{\Lambda(\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b})}X^{\boldsymbol{b}}X^{\boldsymbol{a}}$ for $\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell$,
- $X^{\boldsymbol{0}} = 1$ and $(X^{\boldsymbol{a}})^{-1} = X^{-\boldsymbol{a}}$ for $\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell$.

The based quantum torus $\mathcal{T}$ is contained in the skew-field of fractions $\mathcal{F}(= \mathcal{F}(\Lambda))$ [BZ05, Appendix A]. Note that $\mathcal{F}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}(q^{1/2})$-algebra. Write $X_i := X^{\boldsymbol{e}_i}$ for $i \in [1,\ell]$.

Next we define an important operation, called *mutation*. Let $\widetilde{B} = (b_{ij})_{i\in[1,\ell],j\in[1,\ell-n]}$ be an $\ell \times (\ell - n)$ integer matrix. The submatrix $B = (b_{ij})_{i,j\in[1,\ell-n]}$ of $\widetilde{B}$ is called *the principal part of* $\widetilde{B}$. The pair $(\Lambda, \widetilde{B})$ is said to be *compatible* if, for $i \in [1,\ell]$ and $j \in [1,\ell-n]$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} b_{kj}\lambda_{ki} = \delta_{ij}d_j \text{ for some } d_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}.$$

Note that, when $(\Lambda, \widetilde{B})$ is compatible, $\widetilde{B}$ has full rank $\ell - n$ and its principal part $B = (b_{ij})_{i,j\in[1,\ell-n]}$ is skew-symmetrizable [BZ05, Proposition 3.3]. We will assume that B is skew-symmetric.

For $k \in [1,\ell-n]$, define $E^{(k)} = (e_{ij})_{i,j\in[1,\ell]}$ and $F^{(k)} = (f_{ij})_{i,j\in[1,\ell-n]}$ as follows;

$$e_{ij} = \begin{cases} \delta_{i,j} & \text{if } j \neq k, \\ -1 & \text{if } i = j = k, \\ \max(0,-b_{ik}) & \text{if } i \neq j = k, \end{cases} \qquad f_{ij} = \begin{cases} \delta_{i,j} & \text{if } i \neq k, \\ -1 & \text{if } i = j = k, \\ \max(0,b_{kj}) & \text{if } i = k \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Set

$$\mu_k(\Lambda) = (E^{(k)})^T\Lambda E^{(k)} \qquad\qquad \mu_k(\widetilde{B}) = E^{(k)}\widetilde{B}F^{(k)}.$$

Then $\mu_k(\Lambda,\widetilde{B}) := (\mu_k(\widetilde{B}), \mu_k(\Lambda))$ is again compatible [BZ05, Proposition 3.4]. It is said that $\mu_k(\Lambda,\widetilde{B})$ *is obtained from* $(\Lambda,\widetilde{B})$ *by the mutation in direction* k. Note that $\mu_k(\mu_k(\Lambda,\widetilde{B})) = (\Lambda,\widetilde{B})$.

The pair $\mathscr{S} = (\{X_i\}_{i\in[1,\ell]}, \widetilde{B}, \Lambda)$ is called *a quantum seed in* $\mathcal{F}$, and $\{X_i\}_{i\in[1,\ell]}$ is called *the quantum cluster of* $\mathscr{S}$. For $k \in [1,\ell-n]$, define $\mu_k(\{X_i\}_{i\in[1,\ell]}) = \{X_i'\}_{i\in[1,\ell]} \subset \mathcal{F}\setminus\{0\}$ by

- $X_i' = X_i$ if $i \neq k$,
- $X_k' = X^{-\boldsymbol{e}_k - \sum_{j;-b_{jk}>0} b_{jk}\boldsymbol{e}_j} + X^{-\boldsymbol{e}_k + \sum_{j;b_{jk}>0} b_{jk}\boldsymbol{e}_j}$.

Then there is an injective $\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm1/2}]$-algebra homomorphisms $\mathcal{T}(\mu_k(\Lambda)) \to \mathcal{F}(\Lambda)$ given by $X_i^{\pm1} \mapsto (X_i')^{\pm1}$ $(i \in [1,\ell])$. Moreover there exist a basis $\{\boldsymbol{c}_i\}_{i\in[1,\ell]}$ of $\mathbb{Z}^\ell$ and a $\mathbb{Q}(q^{1/2})$-algebra automorphism $\tau\colon \mathcal{F}(\Lambda) \to \mathcal{F}(\Lambda)$ such that $\tau(X^{\boldsymbol{c}_i}) = X_i'$ for $i \in [1,\ell]$ [BZ05, Proposition 4.7]. Hence the map above is extended to the isomorphism $\mathcal{F}(\mu_k(\Lambda)) \to \mathcal{F}(\Lambda)$. Through this isomorphism, we identify $\mathcal{F}(\mu_k(\Lambda))$ with $\mathcal{F}(\Lambda)$, and henceforth always write $\mathcal{F}$ for this skew-field. Write

$$\mu_k(\mathscr{S}) := (\mu_k(\{X_i\}_{i\in[1,\ell]}), \mu_k(\widetilde{B}), \mu_k(\Lambda))$$

and this is called *a quantum seed obtained from the mutation of* $\mathscr{S}$ *in direction* k. By the argument above, we can consider the iterated mutations in arbitrary various directions

$k \in [1, \ell - n]$. Note that $\mu_k(\mu_k(\mathscr{S}')) = \mathscr{S}'$ for any quantum seed $\mathscr{S}$ and $k \in [1, \ell - n]$. The subset $\{X_i \mid i \in [\ell - n + 1, \ell]\}$, called the set of *frozen variables*, is contained in the quantum cluster of an arbitrary seed obtained by iterated mutations of $\mathscr{S}$.

The quantum cluster algebra $\mathscr{A}_{q^{\pm 1/2}}(\mathscr{S})$ is defined as the $\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1/2}]$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}$ generated by the union of the quantum clusters of all quantum seeds obtained by iterated mutations of $\mathscr{S}$. An element $M \in \mathscr{A}_{q^{\pm 1/2}}(\mathscr{S})$ is called *a quantum cluster monomial* if there exists a quantum cluster $\{X_i' = (X')^{\boldsymbol{e}_i}\}_{i \in [1,\ell]}$ of a quantum seed obtained by iterated mutations of $\mathscr{S}$ such that $M = (X')^{\boldsymbol{a}}$ for some $\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\ell}$.

Proposition 6.3 ([BZ05, Corollary 5.2])**.** *The quantum cluster algebra $\mathscr{A}_{q^{\pm 1/2}}(\mathscr{S})$ is contained in the based quantum torus generated by the quantum cluster of an arbitrary quantum seed obtained by iterated mutations of $\mathscr{S}$.*

6.2. **Quantum cluster algebra structure on quantum unipotent subgroups and quantum unipotent cells.** In this subsection, we review the construction of the quantum cluster algebra structure on $\mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w)]$ following [GLS11, GLS12, GLS13]. We note that our convention is slightly different from Geiß-Leclerc-Schröer's one, see Remark 6.10.

Definition 6.4. Let $\mathbf{Q} = (\mathbf{Q}_0, \mathbf{Q}_1, s, t)$ be a finite quiver without edge loops which corresponds to the symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrix A. See, for instance, [GLS11, Subsection 2.1 and 4.1]. In particular, $\mathbf{Q}_0 = I = [1, n]$. Let $\overline{\mathbf{Q}} = (\mathbf{Q}_0, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_1 := \mathbf{Q}_1 \coprod \mathbf{Q}_1^*, s, t)$ be the double quiver of $\mathbf{Q}$, which is obtained from $\mathbf{Q}$ by adding to each arrow $a \in \mathbf{Q}_1$ an arrow $a^* \in \mathbf{Q}_1$ such that $s(a^*) = t(a)$ and $t(a^*) = s(a)$. Set

$$\Pi := \mathbb{C}\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/(\sum_{a \in \mathbf{Q}_1} (a^* a - a a^*)),$$

Here $\mathbb{C}\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ is a path algebra of $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$, and $(\sum_{a \in \mathbf{Q}_1}(a^* a - a a^*))$ is the two-sided ideal generated by $\sum_{a \in \mathbf{Q}_1}(a^* a - a a^*)$. This is called *the preprojective algebra associated with* $\mathbf{Q}$. Denote by $\mathbf{e}_i$ the idempotent of Π corresponding to $i \in I$. For a finite dimensional Π-module X, write $\underline{\dim}\, X := -\sum_{i \in I}(\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbf{e}_i.X)\alpha_i \in Q_-$. Remark that we do not regard $\underline{\dim}\, X$ as an element of Q_+. A finite dimensional Π-module X is said to be *nilpotent* if there exists $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $a_1 \cdots a_N.X = 0$ for any sequence $(a_1, \ldots, a_N) \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_1^N$ with $s(a_j) = t(a_{j+1})$, $j = 1, \ldots, N-1$.

A finite dimensional nilpotent Π-module X determines an element φ_X of $\mathbb{C}[N_-] = \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{n}_-)^*_{\mathrm{gr}}$ through Lusztig's construction of the universal enveloping algebra $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{n}_-)$ of $\mathfrak{n}^-$ as a space $\mathcal{M}$ consisting of certain constructible functions with convolution product [Lus00]. There is a short summary, for instance, in [GLS11, Subsection 2.2]. However we remark that, in this paper, we consider the convolution product on $\mathcal{M}$ opposite to the one in [GLS11, Subsection 2.2]. See also Remark 6.10. Note that $\mathrm{wt}\, \varphi_X = \underline{\dim}\, X$.

Definition 6.5. Let S_i be the (simple) Π-module such that $\underline{\dim}\, S_i = -\alpha_i$ for $i \in I$. For a Π-module X and $i \in I$, define $\mathrm{soc}_i(X) \subset X$ by the sum of all submodules of X isomorphic to S_i. For a sequence $(i_1, \ldots, i_k) \in I^k$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$), there exists a unique chain

$$X \supset X_0 \supset X_1 \supset X_2 \supset \cdots \supset X_k = 0$$

of submodules of X such that $X_{j-1}/X_j \simeq \mathrm{soc}_{i_j}(X/X_j)$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$. Set $\mathrm{soc}_{(i_1,\dots,i_k)}(X) := X_0$. For $i \in I$, denote by $\hat{I}_i$ the indecomposable injective Π-module with socle S_i. Let $w \in W$ and $\boldsymbol{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_\ell) \in I(w)$. For $k = 1, \dots, \ell$, set

$$V_{\boldsymbol{i},k} := \mathrm{soc}_{(i_1,\dots,i_k)}(\hat{I}_{i_k}).$$

Set $V_{\boldsymbol{i}} := \bigoplus_{k=1,\dots,\ell} V_{\boldsymbol{i},k}$. Define $\mathcal{C}_w$ as a full subcategory of the category of Π-modules consisting of all Π-modules X such that there exist $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and a surjective homomorphism $V_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{\oplus t} \to X$. Then it is known that $\mathcal{C}_w$ does not depend on the choice of $\boldsymbol{i} \in I(w)$. Note that all objects of $\mathcal{C}_w$ are nilpotent Π-modules. An object $C \in \mathcal{C}_w$ is called *$\mathcal{C}_w$-projective* (resp. *$\mathcal{C}_w$-injective*) if $\mathrm{Ext}^1_\Pi(C, X) = 0$ (resp. $\mathrm{Ext}^1_\Pi(X, C) = 0$) for all $X \in \mathcal{C}_w$. The category $\mathcal{C}_w$ is closed under extension and Frobenius. In particular, an object $X \in \mathcal{C}_w$ is $\mathcal{C}_w$-projective if and only if it is $\mathcal{C}_w$-injective. An object T of $\mathcal{C}_w$ is called *$\mathcal{C}_w$-maximal rigid* if $\mathrm{Ext}^1_\Pi(T \oplus X, X) = 0$ with $X \in \mathcal{C}_w$ implies that X is isomorphic to a direct summand of a direct sum of T. In fact, $V_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ is a basic $\mathcal{C}_w$-maximal rigid module. See [BIRS09] for more details, and [GLS11, Subsection 2.4] for more detailed summaries.

Let T be a basic $\mathcal{C}_w$-maximal rigid module. For any indecomposable direct summand X of T which is not $\mathcal{C}_w$-projective-injective, we can define *the mutation $\mu_X(T)$ of T in direction X*. The module $\mu_X(T)$ is again a basic $\mathcal{C}_w$-maximal rigid module. See [GLS11, Subsection 2.7] and references therein for precise definitions. An object T of $\mathcal{C}_w$ is said to be *reachable (in $\mathcal{C}_w$)* if T is isomorphic to a direct summand of a direct sum of a basic $\mathcal{C}_w$-maximal rigid module which is obtained from $V_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ by iterated mutations. In fact, the notion of reachable does not depend on the choice of $\boldsymbol{i}$ [BIRS09, Proposition III.4.3].

For $\boldsymbol{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_\ell) \in I(w)$ and $k = 1, \dots, \ell$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} k^+ &:= \min(\{\ell + 1\} \cup \{k + 1 \le j \le \ell \mid i_j = i_k\}), \\ k^- &:= \max(\{0\} \cup \{1 \le j \le k - 1 \mid i_j = i_k\}), \\ k^{\max} &:= \max\{1 \le j \le \ell \mid i_j = i_k\}, \\ k^{\min} &:= \min\{1 \le j \le \ell \mid i_j = i_k\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $1 \le a < b \le \ell$ with $i_a = i_b$, there exists a natural injective homomorphism $V_{\boldsymbol{i},a^-} \to V_{\boldsymbol{i},b}$ of Π-modules, and the cokernel of this homomorphism is denoted by $M_{\boldsymbol{i}}[b, a]$. Here we set $V_{\boldsymbol{i},0} := 0$. In particular, $M_{\boldsymbol{i}}[b, b^{\min}]$ is isomorphic to $V_{\boldsymbol{i},b}$. Geiß-Leclerc-Schröer shows that $M_{\boldsymbol{i}}[b, a]$ is reachable for all $1 \le a < b \le \ell$ with $i_a = i_b$ [GLS11, Section 13].

Proposition 6.6 ([CB00, Lemma 1])**.** *For any finite dimensional Π-module X, Y, we have*

$$(\underline{\dim}\, X, \underline{\dim}\, Y) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Hom}_\Pi(X, Y) + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Hom}_\Pi(Y, X) - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Ext}^1_\Pi(X, Y).$$

Remark 6.7. Let T be a basic reachable $\mathcal{C}_w$-maximal rigid module, and $T = T_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus T_\ell$ its indecomposable decomposition. By Proposition 6.6, for any $i, j \in [1, \ell]$, we have

$$(\underline{\dim}\, T_i, \underline{\dim}\, T_j) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Hom}_\Pi(T_i, T_j) + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Hom}_\Pi(T_j, T_i).$$

Definition 6.8. We use the notation in Definition 6.5. Geiß-Leclerc-Schröer construct a quantum cluster algebra $\mathscr{A}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathcal{C}_w)$ associated with $\mathcal{C}_w$. We may assume that all elements of $I = [1, n]$ appears in the sequence $\boldsymbol{i}$.

Let T be a basic $\mathcal{C}_w$-maximal rigid module and $T = T_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus T_\ell$ its indecomposable decomposition. Then $\ell = \ell(w)$. See also [GLS11, Theorem 2.9]. We always number indecomposable modules as $T_{\ell-n+i}$ is a $\mathcal{C}_w$-projective-injective module with socle S_i for $i \in I$. Note that this labelling is different from the labelling $V_{\boldsymbol{i}} = \bigoplus_{k\in[1,\ell]} V_{\boldsymbol{i},k}$. Let Γ_T be the Gabriel quiver of $A_T := \mathrm{End}_\Pi(T)^{\mathrm{op}}$, that is, the vertex set of Γ_T is $[1,\ell]$ and $d_{ij} := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Ext}^1_{A_T}(S_{T_i}, S_{T_j})$ arrows from i to j, where S_{T_i} is the head of a (projective) A_T-module $\mathrm{Hom}_\Pi(T, T_i)$.

Define $\widetilde{B}_T = (b_{ij})_{i\in[1,\ell],j\in[1,\ell-n]}$ and $\Lambda_T := (\lambda_{ij})_{i,j\in[1,\ell]}$ by

$$b_{ij} := d_{ji} - d_{ij},$$
$$\lambda_{ij} := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Hom}_\Pi(T_i, T_j) - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Hom}_\Pi(T_j, T_i).$$

Geiß-Leclerc-Schröer have shown the following properties:

- $(\widetilde{B}_T, \Lambda_T)$ is compatible in the sense of Definition 6.2 [GLS13, Proposition 10.1],
- $\mu_k(\widetilde{B}_T, \Lambda_T) = (\widetilde{B}_{\mu_{T_k}(T)}, \Lambda_{\mu_{T_k}(T)})$ for $k \in [1, \ell-n]$ [GLS13, Proposition 10.2],

The quantum cluster algebra $\mathscr{A}_{q^{\pm1/2}}(\mathcal{C}_w)$ is defined as the quantum cluster algebra with the initial seed $((X_T)_i)_{i\in[1,\ell]}, \widetilde{B}_T, \Lambda_T)$ for a basic reachable $\mathcal{C}_w$-maximal rigid module T. Note that this algebra $\mathscr{A}_{q^{\pm1/2}}(C_w)$ does not depend on the choice of T. By the properties above, we may write

$$\mu_k(((X_T)_i)_{i\in[1,\ell]}, \widetilde{B}_T, \Lambda_T) = (((X_{\mu_{T_k}(T)})_i)_{i\in[1,\ell]}, \widetilde{B}_{\mu_{T_k}(T)}, \Lambda_{\mu_{T_k}(T)})$$

for $k \in [1, \ell-n]$. Moreover, for $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell_{\geq 0}$, set $X_{\bigoplus_{i\in[1,\ell]} T_i^{\oplus a_1}} := (X_T)^{\boldsymbol{a}}$. Then the quantum cluster monomials of $\mathscr{A}_{q^{\pm1/2}}(\mathcal{C}_w)$ is indexed by reachable Π-modules in $\mathcal{C}_w$.

Set

$$Y_R := q^{(\underline{\dim} R, \underline{\dim} R)/4} X_R.$$

for every reachable Π-module R in $\mathcal{C}_w$. Recall that $\underline{\dim} R \in Q_-$. Define *the rescaled quantum cluster algebra* $\mathscr{A}_{q^{\pm1}}(\mathcal{C}_w)$ as an $\mathcal{A}(:= \mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm1}])$-subalgebra of $\mathscr{A}_{q^{\pm1/2}}(\mathcal{C}_w)$ generated by $\{Y_R \mid R \text{ is reachable in } \mathcal{C}_w\}$. For any basic reachable $\mathcal{C}_w$-maximal rigid module $T = T_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus T_\ell$, the rescaled quantum cluster algebra $\mathscr{A}_{q^{\pm1}}(\mathcal{C}_w)$ is contained in *the rescaled based quantum torus* $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A},T} := \mathcal{A}[Y_{T_k}^{\pm1} \mid k \in [1,\ell]] (\subset \mathcal{F})$ [GLS13, Lemma 10.4 and Proposition 10.5] (they are cited as (6.1) and Proposition 6.11 below). Note that, for $(a_1, \ldots, a_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell_{\geq 0}$, we have

$$Y_R = q^{\alpha(R)} Y_{T_1}^{a_1} \cdots Y_{T_\ell}^{a_\ell}, \tag{6.1}$$

here we set $R := \bigoplus_{i\in[1,\ell]} T_i^{\oplus a_i}$ and

$$\alpha(R) := \sum_{i\in[1,\ell]} a_i(a_i-1) \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Hom}_\Pi(T_i, T_i)/2 + \sum_{i<j;i,j\in[1,\ell]} a_i a_j \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Hom}_\Pi(T_j, T_i).$$

Note that $\mathbf{I} := q^{\mathbb{Z}}\{Y_{\bigoplus_{i\in[\ell-n+1,\ell]} T_i^{a_i}} \mid (a_{\ell-n+1}, \ldots, a_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}\}$ is an Ore set in $\mathscr{A}_{q^{\pm1}}(\mathcal{C}_w)$. Set $\widetilde{\mathscr{A}_{q^{\pm1}}}(\mathcal{C}_w) := \mathscr{A}_{q^{\pm1}}(\mathcal{C}_w)[\mathbf{I}^{-1}]$, and $\mathscr{A}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathcal{C}_w) := \mathbb{Q}(q) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathscr{A}_{q^{\pm1}}(\mathcal{C}_w)$, $\widetilde{\mathscr{A}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}}(\mathcal{C}_w) := \mathbb{Q}(q) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \widetilde{\mathscr{A}_{q^{\pm1}}}(\mathcal{C}_w)$.

For $X \in \mathcal{C}_w$, denote by $I(X)$ the injective hull of X in $\mathcal{C}_w$, and by $\Omega_w^{-1}(X)$ the cokernel of the corresponding injective homomorphism $X \to I(X)$. Hence we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to X \to I(X) \to \Omega_w^{-1}(X) \to 0.$$

Proposition 6.9 ([GLS11, Proposition 13.4])**.** *Let $w \in W$, T a basic reachable $\mathcal{C}_w$-maximal rigid module and $T = T_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus T_\ell$ its indecomposable decomposition. Then $T' := \Omega_w^{-1}(T) \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in I} T_{\ell-n+i}$ is also a basic reachable $\mathcal{C}_w$-maximal rigid module; hence there exists a bijection $[1, \ell-n] \to [1, \ell-n], k \mapsto k^*$ such that $T'_{k^*} = \Omega_w^{-1}(T_k)$.*

Let $k \in [1, \ell-n]$ and write $\mu_{T_k}(T) = (T/T_k) \oplus T_k^*$. Then we have

$$\mu_{T'_{k^*}}(T') = (T'/T'_{k^*}) \oplus \Omega_w^{-1}(T_k^*).$$

Remark 6.10. Let $w \in W$. In this remark, we explain the difference between our convention and Geiß-Leclerc-Schröer's one in [GLS11, GLS12, GLS13]. An object $\mathcal{X}$ in Geiß-Leclerc-Schröer's papers is denoted by $\mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{GLS}}$ here.

The category $\mathcal{C}_w$ is the same category as $\mathcal{C}_{w^{-1}}^{\mathrm{GLS}}$. Moreover $N_-(w) = (N(w^{-1})^{\mathrm{GLS}})^T$ and $N_-^w = ((N^{w^{-1}})^{\mathrm{GLS}})^T$. We omitted the definition of φ_X for a finite dimensional nilpotent Π-module X, however the algebra $\mathcal{M}$ used for its precise definition (see Definition 6.4) is the same space as $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{GLS}}$ in [GLS11, Subsection 2.2] equipped with the opposite convolution product.

Thus there exist algebra isomorphisms $\mathbb{C}[N_-(w)] \to \mathbb{C}[N(w^{-1})^{\mathrm{GLS}}]$ and $\mathbb{C}[N_-^w] \to \mathbb{C}[N^{w^{-1},\mathrm{GLS}}]$ given by $f \to f \circ (-)^T$. Moreover $\varphi_X = \varphi_X^{\mathrm{GLS}} \circ (-)^T$ for all $X \in \mathcal{C}_w = \mathcal{C}_{w^{-1}}^{\mathrm{GLS}}$. See also [GLS11, Chapter 6]. (This is the reason why we consider the opposite product on $\mathcal{M}$.)

The quantum nilpotent subalgebra $\mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{n}(w^{-1}))^{\mathrm{GLS}}$ in [GLS13] is equal to $\mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w)]^\vee$. Geiß-Leclerc-Schröer consider a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-algebra $\mathbf{A}_q(\mathfrak{n}(w^{-1}))^{\mathrm{GLS}}$, called the quantum coordinate ring, which is defined in $(\mathbf{U}_q^+)^*$ [GLS13, (4.6)], and define an algebra isomorphism $\Psi^{\mathrm{GLS}} \colon \mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{n}(w^{-1}))^{\mathrm{GLS}} \to \mathbf{A}_q(\mathfrak{n}(w^{-1}))^{\mathrm{GLS}}$ by using a nondegenerate bilinear form $(-,-)^{\mathrm{GLS}}$ [GLS13, Proposition 4.1]. Actually, for $x \in (\mathbf{U}_q^+)_\beta$, $y \in (\mathbf{U}_q^+)_{\beta'}$ $(\beta, \beta' \in Q_+)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(x,y)^{\mathrm{GLS}} &= \delta_{\beta,\beta'}(1-q^{-2})^{\mathrm{ht}\,\beta}\overline{(\overline{x},\overline{y})_L^+} \\
&= \delta_{\beta,\beta'}(1-q^{-2})^{\mathrm{ht}\,\beta}\overline{(\overline{x^\vee},\overline{y^\vee})_L} \\
&= \delta_{\beta,\beta'}(1-q^{-2})^{\mathrm{ht}\,\beta}(x^\vee, \sigma(\overline{y^\vee}))_L \\
&= q^{(\beta,\beta)/2}(q^{-1}-q)^{\mathrm{ht}\,\beta}(x^\vee, \varphi(y))_L.
\end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from Proposition 2.26. By the way, there exists a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-algebra automorphism $m_{\mathrm{norm}} \colon \mathbf{U}_q^- \to \mathbf{U}_q^-$ given by $f_i \mapsto (q^{-1}-q)^{-1} f_i$ for $i \in I$. We now have the following $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-algebra isomorphism;

$$I_{\mathrm{norm}} \colon \mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w)] \xrightarrow{m_{\mathrm{norm}}} \mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w)] \xrightarrow{\vee} \mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{n}(w^{-1}))^{\mathrm{GLS}} \xrightarrow{\Psi^{\mathrm{GLS}}} \mathbf{A}_q(\mathfrak{n}(w^{-1}))^{\mathrm{GLS}},$$

which maps $x \in (\mathbf{U}_q^-)_\beta$ $(\beta \in -Q_+)$ to $q^{(\beta,\beta)/2}(x, \varphi(-))_L$. By using this isomorphism, we describe their results. Note that $I_{\mathrm{norm}}(D_{w\lambda,w'\lambda}) = q^{(w\lambda-w'\lambda,w\lambda-w'\lambda)/2} D^{\mathrm{GLS}}_{w'\lambda,w\lambda}$ for $w, w' \in W$ and $\lambda \in P_+$ [GLS13, (5.5)].

The definitions of the quantum cluster algebra $\mathscr{A}_{q^{\pm 1/2}}(\mathcal{C}_w) = \mathscr{A}_{q^{\pm 1/2}}(\mathcal{C}_{w^{-1}}^{\mathrm{GLS}})$ are the same. We have $Y_R = q^{(\underline{\dim}\, R, \underline{\dim}\, R)/2} Y_R^{\mathrm{GLS}}$ for every reachable Π-module R [GLS13, (10.16)]. Note that $(\underline{\dim}\, R, \underline{\dim}\, R)/2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore we have $\mathscr{A}_{q^{\pm 1}}(\mathcal{C}_w) = \mathscr{A}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathcal{C}_{w^{-1}}^{\mathrm{GLS}})^{\mathrm{GLS}}$.

The following propositions describe mutations of quantum clusters and twisted dual bar involutions in $\mathscr{A}_{q^{\pm 1}}(\mathcal{C}_w)$.

Proposition 6.11 ([GLS13, Proposition 10.5])**.** *Let T be a basic reachable $\mathcal{C}_w$-maximal rigid module, and $T = T_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus T_\ell$ its indecomposable decomposition. Fix $k \in [1, \ell - n]$. Write $\tilde{B}_T = (b_{ij})_{i \in [1,\ell], j \in [1,\ell-n]}$ and $\mu_{T_k}(T) = (T/T_k) \oplus T_k^*$. Set $T_+ := \bigoplus_{j; b_{jk} > 0} T_j^{\oplus b_{jk}}$ and $T_- := \bigoplus_{j; b_{jk} < 0} T_j^{\oplus(-b_{jk})}$. Then we have*

$$Y_{T_k^*} Y_{T_k} = q^{-\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Hom}_\Pi(T_k, T_k^*)} (q Y_{T_+} + Y_{T_-}).$$

Proposition 6.12 ([GLS13, Lemma 10.6, Lemma 10.7])**.** *Let T be a basic reachable $\mathcal{C}_w$-maximal rigid module. Then there exists a unique $\mathbb{Q}$-anti-algebra involution σ'_T on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A},T}$ such that*

$$q \mapsto q^{-1},\ Y_R \mapsto q^{-(\underline{\dim}\, R, \underline{\dim}\, R)/2 + (\underline{\dim}\, R, \rho)} Y_R$$

for every direct summand R of a direct sum of T. Moreover σ'_T induces $\mathbb{Q}$-anti-algebra-involutions σ' on $\mathscr{A}_{q^{\pm 1}}(\mathcal{C}_w)$ and $\widetilde{\mathscr{A}_{q^{\pm 1}}}(\mathcal{C}_w)$, and σ' does not depend on the choice of a basic reachable $\mathcal{C}_w$-maximal rigid module T.

Geiß-Leclerc-Schröer showed that a rescaled quantum cluster algebra $\mathscr{A}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathcal{C}_w)$ gives an additive categorification of the quantum unipotent subgroup $\mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w)]$ as follows.

Proposition 6.13 ([GLS13, Theorem 12.3])**.** *Let $w \in W$ and $\boldsymbol{i} = (i_1, \ldots, i_\ell) \in I(w)$. Then there is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-algebras $\kappa\colon \mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w)] \to \mathscr{A}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathcal{C}_w)$ given by*

$$D_{s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_b} \varpi_{i_b}, s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{d-}} \varpi_{i_d}} \mapsto Y_{M[b,d]}$$

for all $1 \le d < b \le \ell$ with $i_b = i_d$. Moreover we have $\sigma' \circ \kappa = \kappa \circ \sigma'$. Recall Definition 2.27.

By Theorem 3.17, this result also gives an additive categorification of the quantum unipotent cell $\mathbf{A}_q[N_-^w]$.

Corollary 6.14. *Let $w \in W$ and $\boldsymbol{i} = (i_1, \ldots, i_\ell) \in I(w)$. Then there is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-algebras $\widetilde{\kappa}\colon \mathbf{A}_q[N_-^w] \to \widetilde{\mathscr{A}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}}(\mathcal{C}_w)$ given by*

$$[D_{s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_b} \varpi_{i_b}, s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{d-}} \varpi_{i_d}}] \mapsto Y_{M[b,d]}$$

for all $1 \le d < b \le \ell$ with $i_b = i_d$. Moreover we have $\sigma' \circ \widetilde{\kappa} = \widetilde{\kappa} \circ \sigma'$. Recall Definition 3.14.

The following is the classical counterpart of the results above due to Geiß-Leclerc-Schröer. Note that we explain it as a "specialization" of the results above but it is actually the preceding result of them.

Proposition 6.15 ([GLS11, Theorem 3.1, Theorem 3.3])**.** *Let $w \in W$. For every reachable Π-module R in $\mathcal{C}_w$, we have $\varphi_R \in \mathbb{C}[N_-(w)]$, and the correspondence*

$$\varphi_R(\textit{resp. } [\varphi_R]) \mapsto 1 \otimes Y_R.$$

gives the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra isomorphism from $\mathbb{C}[N_-(w)]$ (resp. $\mathbb{C}[N_-^w]$) to $\mathbb{C}\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\mathscr{A}_{q^{\pm 1}}(\mathcal{C}_w)$ (resp. $\mathbb{C}\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}\widetilde{\mathscr{A}_{q^{\pm 1}}}(\mathcal{C}_w)$).

Remark 6.16. The isomorphism in Propsition 6.15 is the "specialization" of the one in Proposition 6.13. However Geiß-Leclerc-Schröer did not prove the isomorphism between $\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{A}}[N_-(w)]$ and $\mathscr{A}_{q^{\pm 1}}(\mathcal{C}_w)$. See [GLS13, Conjecture 12.7].

Definition 6.17. Let T be a basic reachable $\mathcal{C}_w$-maximal rigid module and $T = T_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus T_\ell$ its indecomposable decomposition. Then a Q_--grading on $\mathbb{Q}[q^{\pm 1}][Y_k \mid k = 1, \ldots, \ell] (\subset \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A},T})$ given by $\operatorname{wt} Y_{T_k} = \underline{\dim}\, T_k$ is extended to the Q-grading on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A},T}$. A homogeneous element $X \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A},T}$ is said to be *dual bar invariant* if

$$\sigma'_T(X) = q^{-(\operatorname{wt} X, \operatorname{wt} X)/2 + (\operatorname{wt} X, \rho)} X.$$

When $X \in \mathscr{A}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathcal{C}_w)$ (resp. $\widetilde{\mathscr{A}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}}(\mathcal{C}_w)$), the Q-grading and the definition of dual bar invariance of homogeneous elements are compatible with the corresponding notions in $\mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w)]$ (resp. $\mathbf{A}_q[N_-^w]$) via κ (resp. $\widetilde{\kappa}$). See Remark 2.28. Note that Y_R is dual bar invariant for any reachable Π-module R.

Geiß-Leclerc-Schröer also obtained an additive categorification of the twist automorphism η_w^* on the coordinate algebra $\mathbb{C}[N_-^w]$ of a unipotent cell N_-^w in non-quantum settings. Here the image of $\varphi_X \in \mathbb{C}[N_-]$ under the restriction map $\mathbb{C}[N_-] \to \mathbb{C}\left[N_-^w\right]$ is denoted by $[\varphi_X] \in \mathbb{C}\left[N_-^w\right]$.

Proposition 6.18 ([GLS12, Theorem 6])**.** *Let $w \in W$. Then for every $X \in \mathcal{C}_w$ we have*

$$\eta_w^*([\varphi_X]) = \frac{\left[\varphi_{\Omega_w^{-1}(X)}\right]}{\left[\varphi_{I(X)}\right]}.$$

6.3. **Quantum twists automorphisms and the quantum algebra structure.** Our main result in this subsection is the following quantum analogue of Proposition 6.18. Recall Proposition 6.9.

Theorem 6.19. *Let $w \in W$, T a basic reachable $\mathcal{C}_w$-maximal rigid module, and $T = T_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus T_\ell$ its indecomposable decomposition. Through $\widetilde{\kappa}$ in Corollary 6.14, we regard the quantum twist map $\eta_{w,q}$ as an algebra automorphism on $\widetilde{\mathscr{A}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}}(\mathcal{C}_w)$. Then, for every reachable Π-module R in $\mathcal{C}_w$, we have*

$$\eta_{w,q}(Y_R) = q^{\sum_{i\in I} \lambda_i \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbf{e}_i.R} Y_{I(R)}^{-1} Y_{\Omega_w^{-1}(R)}. \tag{6.2}$$

here we write $I(R) = \bigoplus_{i\in I} T_{\ell-n+i}^{\oplus \lambda_i}$.

As a corollary of the above result, we obtain the following.

Corollary 6.20. *Let R be a reachable Π-module in $\mathcal{C}_w$. Then $\kappa^{-1}(Y_R) \in \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{up}} \cap \mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w)]$ if and only if $\kappa^{-1}(Y_{\Omega_w^{-1}(R)}) \in \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{up}} \cap \mathbf{A}_q[N_-(w)]$.*

Before proving Theorem 6.19, we show its corollary.

Proof. By Theorem 5.4 and 6.19, $\kappa^{-1}(Y_R) \in \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{up}}$ if and only if $\widetilde{\kappa}^{-1}(q^{\sum_{i\in I}\lambda_i \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbf{e}_i.R} Y_{I(R)}^{-1} Y_{\Omega_w^{-1}(R)}) \in \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathrm{up},w}$. By Theorem 5.4 and the dual bar invariance of Y_R, the element $q^{\sum_{i\in I}\lambda_i \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbf{e}_i.R} Y_{I(R)}^{-1} Y_{\Omega_w^{-1}(R)}$ is also dual bar invariant. Combining this fact with the definition of $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathrm{up},w} = \iota_w(\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathrm{up}}(w))$ and the dual bar invariance of $Y_{\Omega_w^{-1}(R)}$, we have $\widetilde{\kappa}^{-1}(q^{\sum_{i\in I}\lambda_i \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbf{e}_i.R} Y_{I(R)}^{-1} Y_{\Omega_w^{-1}(R)}) \in \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathrm{up},w}$ if and only if $\kappa^{-1}(Y_{\Omega_w^{-1}(R)}) \in \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{up}}$. □

Remark 6.21. Kang-Kashiwara-Kim-Oh [KKKO14, KKKO15] have shown that all (rescaled) quantum cluster monomials belong to $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{up}}$ by using the categorification via representations of quiver Hecke algebras. Hence we have already known that Y_R is an element of $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{up}}$ for an arbitrary reachable Π-module in $\mathcal{C}_w$. However there is now no proof of this strong result through the additive categorification above. Therefore it would be interesting to determine the quantum monomials in $\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{up}}$ which are obtained from Corollary 6.20 and, for example, $(Y_{V_{\boldsymbol{i}}})^{\boldsymbol{a}}$ for $\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\ell(w)}$ and $\boldsymbol{i} \in I(w)$. Actually, it is easy to show that $(Y_{V_{\boldsymbol{i}}})^{\boldsymbol{a}} \in \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{up}}$ by Proposition 3.5. Moreover it is unclear whether a quantum twist automorphism $\eta_{w,q}$ is categorified by using finite dimensional representations of quiver Hecke algebras. In particular, we do not know that quantum twist automorphisms preserve the basis coming from the simple modules of quiver Hecke algebras.

The rest of this subsection is devoted to the proof of Theorem 6.19. In this proof, we essentially use Geiß-Leclerc-Schröer's theory.

Lemma 6.22. *Let T be a basic reachable $\mathcal{C}_w$-maximal rigid module and $T = T_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus T_\ell$ its indecomposable decomposition. Take $(a_1, \ldots, a_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell$. Then there exists a unique integer m such that $q^m Y_{T_1}^{a_1} \cdots Y_{T_\ell}^{a_\ell}$ is dual bar invariant in $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A},T}$.*

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma'_T(q^m Y_{T_1}^{a_1} \cdots Y_{T_\ell}^{a_\ell}) &= q^{-m} \sigma'_T(Y_{T_\ell})^{a_\ell} \cdots \sigma'_T(Y_{T_1})^{a_1} \\
&= q^{-m+\sum_{i\in[1,\ell]} a_i(-(\underline{\dim}\, T_i, \underline{\dim}\, T_i)/2 + (\underline{\dim}\, T_i, \rho))} Y_{T_\ell}^{a_\ell} \cdots Y_{T_1}^{a_1} \\
&= q^{-m+\sum_{i\in[1,\ell]} a_i(-(\underline{\dim}\, T_i, \underline{\dim}\, T_i)/2 + (\underline{\dim}\, T_i, \rho)) - \sum_{i<j} a_i a_j \lambda_{ij}} Y_{T_1}^{a_1} \cdots Y_{T_\ell}^{a_\ell}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we write $\Lambda_T = (\lambda_{ij})_{i,j\in[1,\ell]}$. Therefore $q^m Y_{T_1}^{a_1} \cdots Y_{T_\ell}^{a_\ell}$ is dual bar invariant if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}
&m - \sum_{i\in[1,\ell]} a_i^2 (\underline{\dim}\, T_i, \underline{\dim}\, T_i)/2 - \sum_{i<j} a_i a_j (\underline{\dim}\, T_i, \underline{\dim}\, T_j) + \sum_{i\in[1,\ell]} a_i (\underline{\dim}\, T_i, \rho) \\
&= -m + \sum_{i\in[1,\ell]} a_i (-(\underline{\dim}\, T_i, \underline{\dim}\, T_i)/2 + (\underline{\dim}\, T_i, \rho)) - \sum_{i<j} a_i a_j \lambda_{ij}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Remark 6.7, this is equivalent to

$$2m = \sum_{i\in[1,\ell]} a_i(a_i-1)(\underline{\dim}\, T_i, \underline{\dim}\, T_i)/2 + 2\sum_{i<j} a_i a_j \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\Pi}(T_j, T_i).$$

The right-hand side is an element of $2\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore we can take an integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ uniquely which satisfies this equality. □

Remark 6.23. For $(a_1, \dots, a_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\ell}$, the dual bar invariant element in $q^{\mathbb{Z}}\{Y_{T_1}^{a_1} \cdots Y_{T_\ell}^{a_\ell}\}$ is nothing but $Y_{\bigoplus_{i\in[1,\ell]} T_i^{\oplus a_i}}$. See Definition 6.8.

Lemma 6.24. *With the notation in Theorem 6.19, $q^{\sum_{i\in I}\lambda_i \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbf{e}_i.R} Y_{I(R)}^{-1} Y_{\Omega_w^{-1}(R)}$ is dual bar invariant.*

Proof. By Proposition 6.13,

$$\kappa^{-1}(Y_{I(R)}) = D_{w\lambda,\lambda},$$

here $\lambda := \sum_{j\in I} \lambda_j \varpi_j$. Hence, by Proposition 3.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa^{-1}(Y_{I(R)} Y_{\Omega_w^{-1}(R)}) &= D_{w\lambda,\lambda}\kappa^{-1}(Y_{\Omega_w^{-1}(R)}) \\
&= q^{(\lambda+w\lambda, \underline{\dim}\, \Omega_w^{-1}(R))} \kappa^{-1}(Y_{\Omega_w^{-1}(R)}) D_{w\lambda,\lambda} \\
&= q^{(\lambda+w\lambda, \underline{\dim}\, \Omega_w^{-1}(R))} \kappa^{-1}(Y_{\Omega_w^{-1}(R)} Y_{I(R)}).
\end{aligned}$$

By the way, $\underline{\dim}\, \Omega_w^{-1}(R) = \underline{\dim}\, I(R) - \underline{\dim}\, R = w\lambda - \lambda - \underline{\dim}\, R$. Hence $(\lambda + w\lambda, \underline{\dim}\, \Omega_w^{-1}(R)) = -(\lambda + w\lambda, \underline{\dim}\, R)$. Therefore

$$Y_{I(R)}^{-1} Y_{\Omega_w^{-1}(R)} = q^{(\lambda+w\lambda, \underline{\dim}\, R)} Y_{\Omega_w^{-1}(R)} Y_{I(R)}^{-1}$$

Note that $\sum_{i\in I} \lambda_i \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbf{e}_i.R = -(\lambda, \underline{\dim}\, R)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&q^{(\underline{\dim}\, \Omega_w^{-1}(R) - \underline{\dim}\, I(R), \underline{\dim}\, \Omega_w^{-1}(R) - \underline{\dim}\, I(R))/2 - (\underline{\dim}\, \Omega_w^{-1}(R) - \underline{\dim}\, I(R), \rho)} \sigma_T'(q^{-(\lambda, \underline{\dim}\, R)} Y_{I(R)}^{-1} Y_{\Omega_w^{-1}(R)}) \\
&= q^{(\underline{\dim}\, R, \underline{\dim}\, R)/2 + (\underline{\dim}\, R, \rho)} \sigma_T'(q^{(w\lambda, \underline{\dim}\, R)} Y_{\Omega_w^{-1}(R)} Y_{I(R)}^{-1}) \\
&= q^{(\underline{\dim}\, R, \underline{\dim}\, R)/2 + (\underline{\dim}\, R, \rho) - (w\lambda, \underline{\dim}\, R)} \sigma_T'(Y_{I(R)}^{-1}) \sigma_T'(Y_{\Omega_w^{-1}(R)}) \\
&= q^{(\underline{\dim}\, R, \underline{\dim}\, R)/2 - (\underline{\dim}\, \Omega_w^{-1}(R), \underline{\dim}\, \Omega_w^{-1}(R))/2 + (\underline{\dim}\, I(R), \underline{\dim}\, I(R))/2 - (w\lambda, \underline{\dim}\, R)} Y_{I(R)}^{-1} Y_{\Omega_w^{-1}(R)} \\
&= q^{(\underline{\dim}\, I(R), \underline{\dim}\, R) - (w\lambda, \underline{\dim}\, R)} Y_{I(R)}^{-1} Y_{\Omega_w^{-1}(R)} \\
&= q^{-(\lambda, \underline{\dim}\, R)} Y_{I(R)}^{-1} Y_{\Omega_w^{-1}(R)}.
\end{aligned}$$

This competes the proof. □

Lemma 6.25. *Let T be a basic reachable $\mathcal{C}_w$-maximal rigid module and $T = T_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus T_\ell$ its indecomposable decomposition. Then the equality (6.2) with $R = T_k$ holds for all $k = 1, \dots, \ell$ if and only if the one with $R = T_1^{\oplus a_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus T_\ell^{\oplus a_\ell}$ holds for all $(a_1, \dots, a_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\ell}$.*

Proof. The latter obviously implies the former. Suppose that the equality (6.2) holds for $R = T_k$, $k = 1, \dots, \ell$. Write

$$\eta_{w,q}(Y_{T_k}) = q^{m_k} Y_{I(T_k)}^{-1} Y_{\Omega_w^{-1}(T_k)},\ m_k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

for $k = 1, \dots, \ell$. Set $R = T_1^{\oplus a_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus T_\ell^{\oplus a_\ell}$ for $(a_1, \dots, a_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\ell}$. Note that $I(R) = I(T_1)^{\oplus a_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus I(T_\ell)^{\oplus a_\ell}$ and $\Omega_w^{-1}(R) = \Omega_w^{-1}(T_1)^{\oplus a_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \Omega_w^{-1}(T_\ell)^{\oplus a_\ell}$. (Actually $I(T_{\ell-n+i}) = T_{\ell-n+i}$ and $\Omega_w^{-1}(T_{\ell-n+i}) = 0$ for $i \in I$.) There exist unique $A_1, A_2, A_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that the following hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_{w,q}(Y_R) &= q^{A_1} \eta_{w,q}(Y_{T_1}^{a_1} \cdots Y_{T_\ell}^{a_\ell}) \\
&= q^{A_1} (q^{m_1} Y_{I(T_1)}^{-1} Y_{\Omega_w^{-1}(T_1)})^{a_1} \cdots (q^{m_\ell} Y_{I(T_\ell)}^{-1} Y_{\Omega_w^{-1}(T_\ell)})^{a_\ell} \\
&= q^{A_2} (Y_{I(T_1)}^{a_1} \cdots Y_{I(T_\ell)}^{a_\ell})^{-1} Y_{\Omega_w^{-1}(T_1)}^{a_1} \cdots Y_{\Omega_w^{-1}(T_\ell)}^{a_\ell} \\
&= q^{A_3} Y_{I(R)}^{-1} Y_{\Omega_w^{-1}(R)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover $\eta_{w,q}(Y_R)$ is dual bar invariant because of the dual bar invariance of Y_R and Theorem 5.4. Hence, by Lemma 6.22 and Lemma 6.24, the equality (6.2) also holds for R. □

Proof of Theorem 6.19. Recall that we always assume that $T_{\ell-n+i}$ is a $\mathcal{C}_w$-projective-injective module with socle S_i for all $i \in I = [1, n]$, in particular, the isomorphism class of $T_{\ell-n+i}$ does not depend on the choice of T. From now on, we identify $\widetilde{\mathscr{A}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\mathcal{C}_w)}$ with $\mathbf{A}_q[N_-^w]$ via $\widetilde{\kappa}$. First we consider the case that R in the statement of Theorem 6.19 is equal to $T_{\ell-n+i}$ for $i \in I$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_{w,q}(Y_{T_{\ell-n+i}}) &= \eta_{w,q}([D_{w\varpi_i, \varpi_i}]) \\
&= q^{-(\varpi_i, w\varpi_i - \varpi_i)} [D_{w\varpi_i, \varpi_i}]^{-1} \\
&= q^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbf{e}_i . T_{\ell-n+i}} Y_{T_{\ell-n+i}}^{-1},
\end{aligned}$$

which is the desired equality in this case since $I(T_{\ell-n+i}) = T_{\ell-n+i}$ and $\Omega_w^{-1}(T_{\ell-n+i}) = 0$. Next we consider the case that $R = V_{\boldsymbol{i},k}$ for some $\boldsymbol{i} \in I(w)$ and $k \in [1, \ell]$ with $k^+ \neq \ell + 1$. Then $I(V_{\boldsymbol{i},k}) = V_{\boldsymbol{i},k^{\max}}$ and $\Omega_w^{-1}(V_{\boldsymbol{i},k}) = M_{\boldsymbol{i}}[k^{\max}, k^+]$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_{w,q}(Y_{V_{\boldsymbol{i},k}}) &= \eta_{w,q}(\left[D_{s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_k} \varpi_{i_k}, \varpi_{i_k}}\right]) \\
&= q^{-(\varpi_{i_k}, s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_k} \varpi_{i_k} - \varpi_{i_k})} \left[D_{w\varpi_{i_k}, \varpi_{i_k}}\right]^{-1} \left[D_{u_{w\varpi_{i_k}}, s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_k} \varpi_{i_k}}\right] \\
&= q^{-(\varpi_{i_k}, \underline{\dim} V_{\boldsymbol{i},k})} Y_{V_{\boldsymbol{i},k^{\max}}}^{-1} Y_{M_{\boldsymbol{i}}[k^{\max}, k^+]} \\
&= q^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbf{e}_i . V_{\boldsymbol{i},k}} Y_{I(V_{\boldsymbol{i},k})}^{-1} Y_{\Omega_w^{-1}(V_{\boldsymbol{i},k})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose that the equality (6.2) hold for $R = T_1^{\oplus a_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus T_\ell^{\oplus a_\ell}$, where $T = T_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus T_\ell$ is a basic reachable $\mathcal{C}_w$-maximal rigid module. Fix $k \in [1, \ell - n]$. Write $\mu_{T_k}(T) = (T/T_k) \oplus T_k^*$ and $I(T_k^*) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} T_{\ell-n+i}^{\oplus \lambda_i}$. By Lemma 6.25, it remains to prove the following equality;

$$\eta_{w,q}(Y_{T_k^*}) = q^{\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbf{e}_i . T_k^*} Y_{I(T_k^*)}^{-1} Y_{\Omega_w^{-1}(T_k^*)}. \tag{6.3}$$

Write $\tilde{B}_T = (b_{ij})_{i\in[1,\ell],j\in[1,\ell-n]}$. Set $T_+ := \bigoplus_{j;b_{jk}>0} T_j^{\oplus b_{jk}}$ and $T_- := \bigoplus_{j;b_{jk}<0} T_j^{\oplus(-b_{jk})}$. By Proposition 6.11, 6.15 and 6.18, we have

$$\eta_w^*(\left[\varphi_{T_k}\varphi_{T_k^*}\right]) = \eta_w^*(\left[\varphi_{T_+}\right] + \left[\varphi_{T_-}\right]) = \frac{\left[\varphi_{\Omega_w^{-1}(T_+)}\right]}{\left[\varphi_{I(T_+)}\right]} + \frac{\left[\varphi_{\Omega_w^{-1}(T_-)}\right]}{\left[\varphi_{I(T_-)}\right]},$$

and

$$\eta_w^*(\left[\varphi_{T_k}\varphi_{T_k^*}\right]) = \frac{\left[\varphi_{\Omega_w^{-1}(T_k)}\right]}{\left[\varphi_{I(T_k)}\right]} \cdot \frac{\left[\varphi_{\Omega_w^{-1}(T_k^*)}\right]}{\left[\varphi_{I(T_k^*)}\right]}.$$

Therefore

$$\left[\varphi_{\Omega_w^{-1}(T_k)}\varphi_{\Omega_w^{-1}(T_k^*)}\right] = \left[\varphi_{I(T_k\oplus T_k^*)}\right]\left(\frac{\left[\varphi_{\Omega_w^{-1}(T_+)}\right]}{\left[\varphi_{I(T_+)}\right]} + \frac{\left[\varphi_{\Omega_w^{-1}(T_-)}\right]}{\left[\varphi_{I(T_-)}\right]}\right). \tag{6.4}$$

By Proposition 6.9, $T' := \Omega_w^{-1}(T) \oplus \bigoplus_{i\in I} T_{\ell-n+i}$ is a basic reachable $\mathcal{C}_w$-maximal rigid module; hence there exists a bijection $[1,\ell-n] \to [1,\ell-n], j \mapsto j^*$ such that $T'_{j^*} = \Omega_w^{-1}(T_j)$. Moreover we have

$$\mu_{T'_{k^*}}(T') = (T'/T'_{k^*}) \oplus \Omega_w^{-1}(T_k^*).$$

Write $\tilde{B}_{T'} = (b'_{ij})_{i\in[1,\ell],j\in[1,\ell-n]}$ and $(T'_{k^*})^* := \Omega_w^{-1}(T_k^*)$. Set $T'_+ := \bigoplus_{j;b'_{j^*k^*}>0}(T'_{j^*})^{\oplus b'_{j^*k^*}}$ and $T'_- := \bigoplus_{j;b'_{j^*k^*}<0}(T'_{j^*})^{\oplus(-b'_{j^*k^*})}$. Then, by Proposition 6.11, 6.15 and (6.4), we have

$$\left[\varphi_{I(T_k\oplus T_k^*)}\right]\left(\frac{\left[\varphi_{\Omega_w^{-1}(T_+)}\right]}{\left[\varphi_{I(T_+)}\right]} + \frac{\left[\varphi_{\Omega_w^{-1}(T_-)}\right]}{\left[\varphi_{I(T_-)}\right]}\right) = \left[\varphi_{T'_+}\right] + \left[\varphi_{T'_-}\right]. \tag{6.5}$$

We now recall our assumption that the equality (6.2) hold for $R = T_1^{\oplus a_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus T_\ell^{\oplus a_\ell}$. By Proposition 6.11 and our assumption, there exist unique $A_1, A'_1, A_2, A'_2, A_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_{w,q}(Y_{T_k}Y_{T_k^*}) &= \eta_{w,q}(q^{A_1}Y_{T_+} + q^{A_2}Y_{T_-}) \\ &= q^{A'_1}Y^{-1}_{I(T_+)}Y_{\Omega_w^{-1}(T_+)} + q^{A'_2}Y^{-1}_{I(T_-)}Y_{\Omega_w^{-1}(T_-)},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\eta_{w,q}(Y_{T_k}Y_{T_k^*}) = q^{A_3}Y^{-1}_{I(T_k)}Y_{T'_{k^*}}\eta_{w,q}(Y_{T_k^*}).$$

Therefore, by (6.5), there exist unique $A, A''_1, A''_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_{w,q}(Y_{T_k^*}) &= q^A Y^{-1}_{T'_{k^*}}Y^{-1}_{I(T_k^*)}Y_{I(T_k\oplus T_k^*)}(q^{A'_1}Y^{-1}_{I(T_+)}Y_{\Omega_w^{-1}(T_+)} + q^{A'_2}Y^{-1}_{I(T_-)}Y_{\Omega_w^{-1}(T_-)}) \\ &= Y^{-1}_{I(T_k^*)}Y^{-1}_{T'_{k^*}}\left(q^{A''_1}Y_{T'_+} + q^{A''_2}Y_{T'_-}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Note that all rescaled quantum cluster monomials appearing in the rightmost side are elements of the standard basis of the based quantum torus $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A},T'}$. By Theorem 5.4 and Proposition 6.12, $\eta_{w,q}(Y_{T_k^*})$ is dual bar invariant. Hence $q^{A''_1}Y^{-1}_{I(T_k^*)}Y^{-1}_{T'_{k^*}}Y_{T'_+}$ and $q^{A''_2}Y^{-1}_{I(T_k^*)}Y^{-1}_{T'_{k^*}}Y_{T'_-}$ are

dual bar invariant elements of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A},T'}$. By Lemma 6.22, A_1'' and A_2'' are uniquely determined by this property. On the other hand, by Proposition 6.11, $q^{\sum_{i\in I}\lambda_i \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbf{e}_i.T_k^*} Y^{-1}_{I(T_k^*)} Y_{(T'_{k^*})^*}$ is of the following form as an element of $\mathcal{T}_{q^{\pm 1},T'}$;

$$Y^{-1}_{I(T_k^*)} Y^{-1}_{T'_{k^*}} \left(q^{M_1} Y_{T'_+} + q^{M_2} Y_{T'_-} \right),\ M_1, M_2 \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Moreover, by Lemma 6.24, $q^{\sum_{i\in I}\lambda_i \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbf{e}_i.T_k^*} Y^{-1}_{I(T_k^*)} Y_{(T'_{k^*})^*} = q^{\sum_{i\in I}\lambda_i \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbf{e}_i.T_k^*} Y^{-1}_{I(T_k^*)} Y_{\Omega_w^{-1}(T_k^*)}$ is dual bar invariant. Hence, by the argument above, $M_1 = A_1'$ and $M_2 = A_2''$. Therefore we obtain the equality 6.3, which completes the proof. □

7. Finite type : periodicity

Since the map $\eta_{q,w}$ is an automorphism, we can apply it repeatedly. In this section, we show the "6-periodicity" of the specific quantum twist automorphisms. Assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a finite dimensional Lie algebra, and let w_0 be the longest element of W.

Theorem 7.1. *For a homogeneous element $x \in \mathbf{A}_q[N_-^{w_0}]$, we have*

$$\eta_{w_0,q}^6(x) = q^{(\mathrm{wt}\, x + w_0\, \mathrm{wt}\, x, \mathrm{wt}\, x)} D_{w_0,-\,\mathrm{wt}\, x - w_0\, \mathrm{wt}\, x} x.$$

Remark 7.2.

(7.1) When the action of w_0 on P is given by $\mu \mapsto -\mu$,

the theorem above states that $\eta_{w_0,q}^6 = \mathrm{id}$. Hence $\eta_{w_0,q}$ is "really" periodic. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is simple, then the condition (7.1) is satisfied in the case that $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type B_n, C_n, D_{2n} for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and E_7, E_8, F_4, G_2. See [Hum90, Section 3.7].

When $\mathfrak{g}$ is symmetric, such periodicity is also explained by Geiß-Leclerc-Schröer's additive categorification of twist automorphisms (see Section 6). The periodicity corresponds to the well-known 6-periodicity of syzygy functors [AR96, ES98], that is, the property that $(\Omega_{w_0}^{-1})^6(M) \simeq M$ for an indecomposable non-projective-injective module M of Π in the notation of Section 6.

We can consider the similar periodicity problems for every $w \in W$. It would be interesting to find the necessary and sufficient condition of $w \in W$ for periodicity. Since quantum twist automorphisms are restricted to permutations on dual canonical bases, the periodicity of a quantum twist automorphism $\eta_{w,q}$ is equivalent to the periodicity of a (non-quantum) twist automorphism η_w.

Lemma 7.3. *Let $\lambda \in P_+$. Take $u, u' \in V(\lambda)$ such that $D_{u,u'} = G^{\mathrm{up}}(\tilde{b})$ for some $\tilde{b} \in \mathscr{B}(\infty)$. Then, for $i \in I$,*

$$\varepsilon_i(\tilde{b}) = \max\{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid D_{e_i^k.u,u'} \neq 0\} \qquad \varepsilon_i^*(\tilde{b}) = \max\{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid D_{u,f_i^k.u'} \neq 0\}.$$

In particular,

$$\varepsilon_i(\overline{j}_\lambda(b)) = \varepsilon_i(b) \qquad \varepsilon_i(\overline{j}^\vee_{w_0\lambda}(b)) = \varphi_i(b) (= \varepsilon_i(b) + \langle h_i, \mathrm{wt}\, b\rangle).$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.39,

$$\varepsilon_i(\tilde{b}) = \max\{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid (e_i')^k(D_{u,u'}) \neq 0\}. \tag{7.2}$$

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $x \in \mathbf{U}_q^-$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}((e_i')^k(D_{u,u'}), x)_L &= (1-q_i^2)^k (D_{u,u'}, f_i^k x)_L \\ &= (1-q_i^2)^k (u, f_i^k x.u')_\lambda^\varphi \\ &= (1-q_i^2)^k (e_i^k.u, x.u')_\lambda^\varphi = (1-q_i^2)^k (D_{e_i^k.u,u'}, x)_L.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $(e_i')^k(D_{u,u'}) = (1-q_i^2)^k D_{e_i^k.u,u'}$. Combining this equality with (7.2), we obtain the first equality. The second equality is proved in the same manner. The last two equalities are deduced from Proposition 2.39 and 2.67. □

Proof of Theorem 7.1. It is easily seen that we need only check the case that $x \in \mathbf{U}_q^-$. For $i \in I$, we have $D_{s_i\varpi_i,\varpi_i} = (1-q_i^2)f_i$. We first consider the images of $D_{s_i\varpi_i,\varpi_i}$, $i \in I$ under iterated application of $\eta_{w_0,q}$. If $I = \{i\}$, that is, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$, the quantum unipotent cell $\mathbf{A}_q[N_-^{w_0}]$ is generated by $D_{s_i\varpi_i,\varpi_i}^{\pm 1} (= D_{w_0\varpi_i,\varpi_i}^{\pm 1})$. In this case, $\eta_{w_0,q}^2(D_{s_i\varpi_i,\varpi_i}) = D_{s_i\varpi_i,\varpi_i}$. Hence $\eta_{w_0,q}^2 = \mathrm{id}$, in particular, the theorem holds. Henceforth, we consider the case that $\mathfrak{g}$ does not have ideals of Lie algebras which are isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}_2$. We have

$$\eta_{w_0,q}(D_{s_i\varpi_i,\varpi_i}) \simeq D_{w_0\varpi_i,\varpi_i}^{-1} D_{w_0\varpi_i,s_i\varpi_i}.$$

Here $\simeq$ stands for the coincidence up to some powers of q. Now, by Proposition 2.67, $D_{w_0\varpi_i,s_i\varpi_i} = G^{\mathrm{up}}(*\overline{\jmath}_{w_0\varpi_i}^\vee(u_{s_i\varpi_i}))$. By Lemma 7.3,

$$\varepsilon_j^*(*\overline{\jmath}_{w_0\varpi_i}^\vee(u_{s_i\varpi_i})) = \varepsilon_j(\overline{\jmath}_{w_0\varpi_i}^\vee(u_{s_i\varpi_i})) = \varphi_j(u_{s_i\varpi_i}) = \begin{cases} -a_{ji} & \text{if } j \neq i, \\ 0 & \text{if } j = i. \end{cases}$$

Therefore $\sum_{j\in I} \varepsilon_j^*(*\overline{\jmath}_{w_0\varpi_i}^\vee(u_{s_i\varpi_i}))\varpi_j = \varpi_i + s_i\varpi_i (=: \lambda_1)$. Recall Remark 5.7. Then there exists $b_1 \in \mathscr{B}(\lambda_1)$ such that $D_{w_0\varpi_i,s_i\varpi_i} = D_{G_{\lambda_1}^{\mathrm{up}}(b_1),u_{\lambda_1}}$, that is, $\overline{\jmath}_{\lambda_1}(b_1) = *\overline{\jmath}_{w_0\varpi_i}^\vee(u_{s_i\varpi_i})$. Then

$$\eta_{w_0,q}^2(D_{s_i\varpi_i,\varpi_i}) \simeq D_{w_0\varpi_i,\varpi_i} D_{w_0\lambda_1,\lambda_1}^{-1} D_{u_{w_0\lambda_1},G^{\mathrm{up}}(b_1)}.$$

As above, $D_{w_0\lambda_1,G_{\lambda_1}^{\mathrm{up}}(b_1)} = G^{\mathrm{up}}(*\overline{\jmath}_{w_0\lambda_1}^\vee(b_1))$, and by Lemma 7.3,

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon_j^*(*\overline{\jmath}_{w_0\lambda_1}^\vee(b_1)) &= \varepsilon_j(\overline{\jmath}_{w_0\lambda_1}^\vee(b_1)) \\ &= \varepsilon_j(b_1) + \langle h_j, \mathrm{wt}\, b_1\rangle \\ &= \varepsilon_j(\overline{\jmath}_{\lambda_1}(b_1)) + \langle h_j, w_0\varpi_i - s_i\varpi_i + \lambda_1\rangle \\ &= \varepsilon_j(*\overline{\jmath}_{w_0\varpi_i}^\vee(u_{s_i\varpi_i})) + \langle h_j, w_0\varpi_i + \varpi_i\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 2.67 and Lemma 7.3,

$$\varepsilon_j(*\overline{\jmath}_{w_0\varpi_i}^\vee(u_{s_i\varpi_i})) = \max\{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid D_{e_j^k.u_{w_0\varpi_i},u_{s_i\varpi_i}} \neq 0\}.$$

By the way, there is a bijection θ on I defined by $w_0\alpha_i = -\alpha_{\theta(i)}$. Then $w_0\varpi_i = -\varpi_{\theta(i)}$ and $s_{\theta(i)}w_0\varpi_i = w_0 s_i\varpi_i$. When $\mathfrak{g}$ does not have ideals of Lie algebras which are isomorphic to

$\mathfrak{sl}_2$, we have $D_{w_0 s_i\varpi_i, s_i\varpi_i} \neq 0$. Therefore $\varepsilon_j(*\overline{\jmath}^{\vee}_{w_0\varpi_i}(u_{s_i\varpi_i})) = \delta_{j,\theta(i)}$. Hence

$$\varepsilon_j^*(*\overline{\jmath}^{\vee}_{w_0\lambda_1}(b_1)) = \delta_{j,\theta(i)} - \delta_{j,\theta(i)} + \delta_{ij} = \delta_{ij}.$$

Therefore $\sum_{j\in I} \varepsilon_j^*(*\overline{\jmath}^{\vee}_{w_0\lambda_1}(b_1))\varpi_j = \varpi_i$. Then there exists $b_2 \in \mathscr{B}(\varpi_i)$ such that $D_{w_0\lambda_1, G^{\mathrm{up}}_{\lambda_1}(b_1)} = D_{G^{\mathrm{up}}_{\varpi_i}(b_2), u_{\varpi_i}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\eta^3_{w_0,q}(D_{s_i\varpi_i,\varpi_i}) &\simeq D^{-1}_{w_0\varpi_i,\varpi_i} D_{w_0\lambda_1,\lambda_1} D^{-1}_{w_0\varpi_i,\varpi_i} D_{u_{w_0\varpi_i}, G^{\mathrm{up}}_{\varpi_i}(b_2)} \\ &\simeq D_{w_0,-\alpha_i} D_{u_{w_0\varpi_i}, G^{\mathrm{up}}_{\varpi_i}(b_2)}.\end{aligned}$$

Here $\operatorname{wt} D_{u_{w_0\varpi_i}, G^{\mathrm{up}}_{\varpi_i}(b_2)} = w_0\varpi_i - \operatorname{wt} b_2 = w_0\varpi_i - (w_0\lambda_1 - \operatorname{wt} b_1 + \varpi_i) = w_0\varpi_i - (w_0\lambda_1 - (w_0\varpi_i - s_i\varpi_i + \lambda_1) + \varpi_i) = -\alpha_{\theta(i)}$. Hence $D_{u_{w_0\varpi_i}, G^{\mathrm{up}}_{\varpi_i}(b_2)} = D_{s_{\theta(i)}\varpi_{\theta(i)}, \varpi_{\theta(i)}}$ because both hand sides are unique elements of the dual canonical basis of weight $-\alpha_{\theta(i)}$. Therefore,

$$\eta^6_{w_0,q}(D_{s_i\varpi_i,\varpi_i}) \simeq D_{w_0,\alpha_i-\alpha_{\theta(i)}} D_{s_i\varpi_i,\varpi_i}.$$

Moreover, by Theorem 5.4, $\eta^6_{w_0,q}(D_{s_i\varpi_i,\varpi_i})$ is an element of dual canonical basis, in particular, dual bar-invariant. Therefore,

$$\eta^6_{w_0,q}(D_{s_i\varpi_i,\varpi_i}) = q^{(\alpha_i-\alpha_{\theta(i)},\alpha_i)} D_{w_0,\alpha_i-\alpha_{\theta(i)}} D_{s_i\varpi_i,\varpi_i}.$$

By this result and Proposition 3.6, 3.11, for $i_1, \dots, i_\ell \in I$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\eta^6_{w_0,q}(D_{s_{i_1}\varpi_{i_1},\varpi_{i_1}} \cdots D_{s_{i_\ell}\varpi_{i_\ell},\varpi_{i_\ell}}) \\ &= q^{\sum_{k=1}^{\ell}(\alpha_{i_k}-\alpha_{\theta(i_k)},\alpha_{i_k})} D_{w_0,\alpha_{i_1}-\alpha_{\theta(i_1)}} D_{s_{i_1}\varpi_{i_1},\varpi_{i_1}} \cdots D_{w_0,\alpha_{i_\ell}-\alpha_{\theta(i_\ell)}} D_{s_{i_\ell}\varpi_{i_\ell},\varpi_{i_\ell}} \\ &= q^{(\sum_{k=1}^{\ell}\alpha_{i_k} - \sum_{k=1}^{\ell}\alpha_{\theta(i_k)}, \sum_{k=1}^{\ell}\alpha_{i_k})} D_{w_0, \sum_{k=1}^{\ell}\alpha_{i_k} - \sum_{k=1}^{\ell}\alpha_{\theta(i_k)}} D_{s_{i_1}\varpi_{i_1},\varpi_{i_1}} \cdots D_{s_{i_\ell}\varpi_{i_\ell},\varpi_{i_\ell}}.\end{aligned}$$

This proves the theorem. □

References

[AR96] Maurice Auslander and Idun Reiten, *DTr-periodic modules and functors*, Representation theory of algebras (Cocoyoc, 1994), CMS Conf. Proc., vol. 18, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996, pp. 39–50. MR 1388043

[BCP99] Jonathan Beck, Vyjayanthi Chari, and Andrew Pressley, *An algebraic characterization of the affine canonical basis*, Duke Math. J. **99** (1999), no. 3, 455–487. MR 1712630

[BFZ96] Arkady Berenstein, Sergey Fomin, and Andrei Zelevinsky, *Parametrizations of canonical bases and totally positive matrices*, Adv. Math. **122** (1996), no. 1, 49–149. MR 1405449

[BFZ05] ———, *Cluster algebras. III. Upper bounds and double Bruhat cells*, Duke Math. J. **126** (2005), no. 1, 1–52. MR 2110627

[BIRS09] Aslak Bakke Buan, Osamu Iyama, Idun Reiten, and Jeanne Scott, *Cluster structures for 2-Calabi-Yau categories and unipotent groups*, Compos. Math. **145** (2009), no. 4, 1035–1079. MR 2521253

[BR15] Arkady Berenstein and Dylan Rupel, *Quantum cluster characters of Hall algebras*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **21** (2015), no. 4, 1121–1176. MR 3397447

[BZ97] Arkady Berenstein and Andrei Zelevinsky, *Total positivity in Schubert varieties*, Comment. Math. Helv. **72** (1997), no. 1, 128–166. MR 1456321

[BZ05] ———, *Quantum cluster algebras*, Adv. Math. **195** (2005), no. 2, 405–455. MR 2146350

[BZ14] ———, *Triangular bases in quantum cluster algebras*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2014), no. 6, 1651–1688. MR 3180605

[CB00] William Crawley-Boevey, *On the exceptional fibres of Kleinian singularities*, Amer. J. Math. **122** (2000), no. 5, 1027–1037. MR 1781930

[DKP95] De Concini, C., Kac, V. G., and Procesi, C., *Some quantum analogues of solvable Lie groups*, Geometry and analysis (Bombay, 1992), Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay, 1995, pp. 41–65. MR 1351503

[DP97] De Concini, C. and Procesi, C., *Quantum Schubert cells and representations at roots of* 1, Algebraic groups and Lie groups, Austral. Math. Soc. Lect. Ser., vol. 9, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997, pp. 127–160. MR 1635678

[Dri87] Vladimir Drinfeld, *Quantum groups*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Berkeley, Calif., 1986), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987, pp. 798–820. MR 934283

[ES98] Karin Erdmann and Nicole Snashall, *Preprojective algebras of Dynkin type, periodicity and the second Hochschild cohomology*, Algebras and modules, II (Geiranger, 1996), CMS Conf. Proc., vol. 24, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998, pp. 183–193. MR 1648626

[FZ99] Sergey Fomin and Andrei Zelevinsky, *Double Bruhat cells and total positivity*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1999), no. 2, 335–380. MR 1652878

[GLS11] Christof Geiß, Bernard Leclerc, and Jan Schröer, *Kac-Moody groups and cluster algebras*, Adv. Math. **228** (2011), no. 1, 329–433. MR 2822235

[GLS12] ———, *Generic bases for cluster algebras and the Chamber ansatz*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **25** (2012), no. 1, 21–76. MR 2833478

[GLS13] ———, *Cluster structures on quantum coordinate rings*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **19** (2013), no. 2, 337–397. MR 3090232

[GW89] K. R. Goodearl and R. B. Warfield, Jr., *An introduction to noncommutative Noetherian rings*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, vol. 16, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989. MR 1020298

[GY14] Kenneth R. Goodearl and Milen T. Yakimov, *Quantum cluster algebras and quantum nilpotent algebras*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **111** (2014), no. 27, 9696–9703. MR 3263301

[GY16a] Ken Goodearl and Milen Yakimov, *The Berenstein-Zelevinsky quantum cluster algebra conjecture*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1602.00498, 2016.

[GY16b] ———, *Quantum cluster algebra structures on quantum nilpotent algebras*, to appear in Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc, available at `https://doi.org/10.1090/memo/1169` (2016).

[Hum90] James E. Humphreys, *Reflection groups and Coxeter groups*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 29, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990. MR 1066460

[Jos95] Anthony Joseph, *Quantum groups and their primitive ideals*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], vol. 29, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995. MR 1315966

[Kas89] Masaki Kashiwara, *The flag manifold of Kac-Moody Lie algebra*, Algebraic analysis, geometry, and number theory (Baltimore, MD, 1988), Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, MD, 1989, pp. 161–190. MR 1463702

[Kas91] ———, *On crystal bases of the Q-analogue of universal enveloping algebras*, Duke Math. J. **63** (1991), no. 2, 465–516. MR 1115118

[Kas93a] ———, *The crystal base and Littelmann's refined Demazure character formula*, Duke Math. J. **71** (1993), no. 3, 839–858. MR 1240605

[Kas93b] ———, *Global crystal bases of quantum groups*, Duke Math. J. **69** (1993), no. 2, 455–485. MR 1203234

[Kas94] ———, *Crystal bases of modified quantized enveloping algebra*, Duke Math. J. **73** (1994), no. 2, 383–413. MR 1262212

[Kas95] ———, *On crystal bases*, Representations of groups (Banff, AB, 1994), CMS Conf. Proc., vol. 16, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995, pp. 155–197. MR 1357199

[Kim12] Yoshiyuki Kimura, *Quantum unipotent subgroup and dual canonical basis*, Kyoto J. Math. **52** (2012), no. 2, 277–331. MR 2914878

[KKKO14] Seok-Jin Kang, Masaki Kashiwara, Myungho Kim, and Se-jin Oh, *Monoidal categorification of cluster algebras*, arXiv preprint `https://arxiv.org/abs/1412.8106`, 12 2014.

[KKKO15] ———, *Monoidal categorification of cluster algebras II*, arXiv preprint `https://arxiv.org/abs/1502.06714`, 02 2015.

[KO16] Yoshiyuki Kimura and Hironori Oya, *Quantum twists and dual canonical bases*, arXiv preprint `http://arxiv.org/abs/1604.07748`, 04 2016.

[KT95] Masaki Kashiwara and Toshiyuki Tanisaki, *Kazhdan-Lusztig conjecture for affine Lie algebras with negative level*, Duke Math. J. **77** (1995), no. 1, 21–62. MR 1317626

[Kum02] Shrawan Kumar, *Kac-Moody groups, their flag varieties and representation theory*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 204, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2002. MR 1923198

[Lus90] George Lusztig, *Canonical bases arising from quantized enveloping algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1990), no. 2, 447–498. MR 1035415

[Lus91] ———, *Quivers, perverse sheaves, and quantized enveloping algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1991), no. 2, 365–421. MR 1088333

[Lus00] ———, *Semicanonical bases arising from enveloping algebras*, Adv. Math. **151** (2000), no. 2, 129–139. MR 1758244

[Lus10] ———, *Introduction to quantum groups*, Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2010, Reprint of the 1994 edition. MR 2759715

[Qin15] Fan Qin, *Triangular bases in quantum cluster algebras and monoidal categorification conjectures*, arXiv preprint `https://arxiv.org/abs/1501.04085`, 01 2015.

[Qin16] ———, *Compare triangular bases of acyclic quantum cluster algebras*, arXiv preprint `https://arxiv.org/abs/1606.05604`, 06 2016.

[Tan92] Toshiyuki Tanisaki, *Killing forms, Harish-Chandra isomorphisms, and universal R-matrices for quantum algebras*, Infinite analysis, Part A, B (Kyoto, 1991), Adv. Ser. Math. Phys., vol. 16, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1992, pp. 941–961. MR 1187582

[Tan15] ———, *Modules over quantized coordinate algebras and PBW-bases*, to appear in J. Math. Soc. Japan, available at `http://mathsoc.jp/publication/JMSJ/inpress.html` (2015).

[Wil13] Harold Williams, *Cluster ensembles and Kac-Moody groups*, Adv. Math. **247** (2013), 1–40. MR 3096792

[Yak10] Milen Yakimov, *Invariant prime ideals in quantizations of nilpotent Lie algebras*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **101** (2010), no. 2, 454–476. MR 2679698

Yoshiyuki Kimura: Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Kobe University, 1-1, Rokkodai, Nada-ku, Kobe 657-8501, Japan

E-mail address: `ykimura@math.kobe-u.ac.jp`

Hironori Oya: Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, Komaba, Tokyo, 153-8914, Japan

E-mail address: `oya@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp`